

Portland Area HIV Services Planning Council

Advocacy and planning for people affected by HIV in the Portland metro area Ryan White Program, Part A

Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date: May 4, 2021

Approved by Planning Council: June 1, 2021

Grantee: Multnomah County Health Department



Portland Area HIV Services Planning Council MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, May 4, 2021, 4:00 pm – 6:00 pm Virtual Zoom Meeting

AGENDA

Item ^{**}	Discussion, Motions, and Actions						
Call to Order	Emily Borke called the meeting to order at 4:00 PM & shared a Land Acknowledgeme (see slide).						
Welcome & Logistics	 Emily welcomed everyone to the Planning Council meeting. Aubrey Daquiz reviewed meeting logistics. Please say your name each time you speak Please "raise your hand" or type questions in the chat box We will mute and unmute folks as needed during the meeting If you're calling in (and not able to view Zoom), please mute yourself to minimize background noise, unless you have a question / comment Meetings are recorded for accurate meeting minutes. 						
Candle Lighting Ceremony	Greg Fowler led the lighting of the ceremonial candle in memory of Adam.						
Introductions	Emily Borke conducted a chat roll call of Planning Council members and staff. Attendees introduced themselves in the chat: name, pronouns, role or affiliation, conflicts, and one word check in. Emily shared the Council Participation Guidelines.						
Announcements	 Announcements: See slides. Asian Am Pac Isl Heritage Month – see slide Julia: study happening at OHSU looking at impact of COVID vaccine on those living with HIV. There is compensation. Asking for people who have not yet had vaccine, so blood can be drawn before and after. Scott Moore: Quest Center will be collaborating with the Miracles Club on MLK, an organization that serves the Black community in peer-supported recovery and recovery house. They will collaborate to create a substance use disorder treatment program as well as pain management program. The partnership will last for several years until miracle club is able to take over that program themselves and become an independent program. More information on that in the next month or week. 						
Agenda Review and Minutes Approval	The agenda was reviewed by the Council, and no changes were made. The meeting minutes from the April 6, 2021, meeting were approved by unanimous consent.						
Public Testimony	No public testimony. Please remind your community that we are always looking for community input on their experience with HIV.						

Item**	Discussion, Motions, and Actions						
Planning	Presenter: Julia Lager-Mesulam						
Council	Summary of Discussion:						
Elections	See slides.						
	Nominations:						
	Co-Chair (1 position)						
	 Michael Thurman-Noche 						
	 Operations Committee (3-4 positions, depending on Co-Chair election) 						
	o Bri Williams						
	o Jamie Christianson						
	o Julia Lager-Mesulam						
	o Tom Cherry						
	All nominees elected by unanimous consent.						
Review Final 20-	Presenter: Amanda Hurley						
21 Expenditures	Summary of Discussion:						
	See slideshow.						
	Grantee Updates						
	Request to reschedule HRSA Virtual Site Visit to September/October, due to lack of						
	staffing capacity						
	Program Specialist Sr. hiring update						
	Conducted interviews last week & this week						
	Top candidate identified, conducting reference checks now						
	Multiple high-caliber candidates						
	Hiring announcement to come soon!						
	 Approval from Oregon Health Authority (OHA) to use FY20-21 carryover to supplant FY21-22 Part A decrease 						
	Updated FY20-21 Expenditures & Re-allocation						
	Currently approximately 98% spent out						
	 Requesting reallocation \$63,494 from Medical Case Management into Medical 						
	 If we make that shift, we will still have unspent funds of \$68,768 						
	 Explanations of higher levels of unspent funds 						
	• MH & MCM: both have reported transitions in staff, then not being able to						
	hire quickly enough, in some cases due to COVID impact, in others due to						
	changes in services due to COVID						
	 MISSED 4:32pm If they were not doing in person convises, agong was not paying for 						
	 If they were not doing in person services, agency was not paying for services and supplies at same level 						
	• Toni: reminder that Medical/Ambulatory does not receive Part B funding, and has						
	continued to provide in the field services						
	 Decision: reallocation of \$63,494 from Medical Case Management into Medical is approved 						
Annual Report:	Presenter: Jenna Kıvanç						
Epidemiology	Summary of Discussion:						
Trends	See slideshow.						

Item ^{**}	Discussion, Motions, and Actions				
	Questions: Slide 19: Under the AI/AN category, why is the PLWH smaller than the RW number? A: The numbers are all correct. The total number of AI/AN PLWH was 54, but in our RW system the number was 186. Because of the way we are recoding our data (to be more in- line with Multnomah County and better representative of the communities we serve) this will increase the number of folks we served in some of the race/eth categories. Sorry for the confusion.				
	 Q: How does the data collection account for any potential under-reporting among specific demographics? A: The data is not perfect. There could be under-reporting or over-reporting. The underpinning of <u>REAL-D</u> is to capture demographic data in a way that is more reflective of the communities we serve. Q: Do you have any thought on the number or PLWH who fall through the cracks and do not receive services? A: In later presentation, Marisa will discuss numbers of people not in care. Q: Do we have any way to track those who are still working? A: We are consistently serving about 44% of PLWH, has not really changed that much. For the 56% of PLWH who are not part of RW system, we have a way of estimating how many are not in care. We have performance measures that the state runs for us so the epidemiologist there will have a better estimate. RW clients do engage in care at a slightly higher rate than PLWH living in the Portland TGA. Q: Is COVID responsible for that many [people reporting no income]? A: We don't know. Providers may be able to provide more information. 				
	Comment: Interesting to ask if the increase in folks with "no income" are new clients. Comment: Dental Care went down because many dental providers including our Part A provider had temporary closures and reduced services during COVID.				
Review FY 22-23 Priorities & Service Categories	Presenter: Aubrey Daquiz & Amanda Hurley Summary of Discussion: See slideshow.				
	 Reviewed Core and Support Service categories Q: What does Home & Community care look like? A: Occupational health – support for people who are able to live at home but need support. We have never funded this, to Amanda's knowledge. HRSA examples of Home and Community-Based Health Services are: Appropriate mental health, developmental, and rehabilitation services Day treatment or other partial hospitalization services Durable medical equipment Home health aide services and personal care services in the home 				
	Reviewed needs expressed throughout the year (see Needs Assessment Document).				
	Three top priorities that were identified last year: Mental Health Housing				
	Emergency Financial Assistance				

Brainstorm SEE Qs ON SLIDE Comment-1 appreciate that food delivery options, transportation help is included. Very important Access to Esther's Pantry is extremely limited. Q: Do you know if transportation being provided for food is cab vouchers? People living farther out have difficulty using mass transit, needing to transfer multiple times. A: Not aware of any providers providing transportation, but multiple agencies provided food delivery. Reminder- This will not be the last opportunity. More opportunities will be sent out and provided at future meetings to share input. Comment: would like to look at sildes while considering Annual Report: <i>Presenter: Marisa McLaughlin</i> Summary of Discussion: See sildeshow. Q: What is the measure for retention in care? X: We've been using annual lab rates and retention in care similarly. This has changed over the last few years. Retention in care now means at least one CD-4 or viral load lab during the year. Q: Why are we losing so many retained in care? X: (Emily) 1 would make a guess that because many PLWH have not been coming in for care (labs) during this last year, the retention rates will go down. This may mean folks are out of care but not necessarily. They may still be taking AAT and engaged with their medical provider, but not getting labs. And, despite that reasoning, it is definitely worth looking at the disparities that Marisa is going over to determine how we can better reach and engage folks. Marisa agrees. (See further breakdown in later slides) Heather: At KP, that has been the case. We ha	Item ^{**}	Discussion, Motions, and Actions				
Comment- I appreciate that food delivery options, transportation help is included. Very important Access to Esther's Pantry is extremely limited.Q: Do you know if transportation being provided for food is cab vouchers? People living farther out have difficulty using mass transit, needing to transfer multiple times. A: Not aware of any providers providing transportation, but multiple agencies provided food delivery.Reminder- This will not be the last opportunity. More opportunities will be sent out and provided at future meetings to share input. Comment: would like to look at slides while consideringAnnual Report: OutcomesPresenter: Marisa McLaughlin Summary of Discussion: See slideshow.Q: What is the measure for retention in care? A: We've been using annual lab rates and retention in care similarly. This has changed over the last few years. Retention in care now means at least one CD-4 or viral load lab during the year.Q: Why are we losing so many retained in care? A: (Emily) I would make a guess that because many PLWH have not been coming in for care (labs) during this last year, the retention rates will go down. This may mean folks are out of care but not necessarily. They may still be taking ART and engaged with their medical provider, but not getting labs. And, despite that reasoning, it is definitely worth looking at the disparities that Marisa is going over to determine how we can better reach and engage folks. Marisa agrees. (See further breakdown in later slides) Heather: At KP, that has been the case. We have not necessarily "lost" patients (had patients fall out of care) but rather patients in care consistently but not wanting to come in for labs for fear of exposure to Covid.Eval and ClosingPresenter: Emily Borke Thank you for participating in this meeting. If you have f		Brainstorm				
important Access to Esther's Pantry is extremely limited.Q: Do you know if transportation being provided for food is cab vouchers? People living farther out have difficulty using mass transit, needing to transfer multiple times. A: Not aware of any providers providing transportation, but multiple agencies provided food delivery.Reminder-This will not be the last opportunity. More opportunities will be sent out and provided at future meetings to share input. Comment: would like to look at slides while consideringAnnual Report: OutcomesPresenter: Marisa McLaughlin Summary of Discussion: See slideshow.Q: What is the measure for retention in care? A: We've been using annual lab rates and retention in care similarly. This has changed over the last few years. Retention in care one means at least one CD-4 or viral load lab during the year.Q: Why are we losing so many retained in care? A: (Emily) I would make a guess that because many PLWH have not been coming in for care (labs) during this last year, the retention rates will go down. This may mean folks are out of care but not necessarily. They may still be taking ART and engaged with their medical provider, but not getting labs. And, despite that reasoning, it is definitely worth looking at the disparities that Marisa is going over to determine how we can better reach and engage folks. Marisa agrees. (See further breakdown in later slides) Heather: At KP, that has been the case. We have not necessarily "lost" patients (had patients fall out of care) but rather patients in care consistently but not wanting to come in for labs for fear of exposure to Covid.Eval and ClosingPresenter: Emily Borke Thank you for participating in this meeting. If you have feedback / comments / ideas, please include them in your evaluation. <td></td> <td>SEE Qs ON SLIDE</td>		SEE Qs ON SLIDE				
farther out have difficulty using mass transit, needing to transfer multiple times. A: Not aware of any providers providing transportation, but multiple agencies provided food delivery.Reminder-This will not be the last opportunity. More opportunities will be sent out and provided at future meetings to share input. Comment: would like to look at slides while consideringAnnual Report: OutcomesPresenter: Marisa McLaughlin Summary of Discussion: See slideshow.Q: What is the measure for retention in care? A: We've been using annual lab rates and retention in care similarly. This has changed over the last few years. Retention in care now means at least one CD-4 or viral load lab during the year.Q: Why are we losing so many retained in care? A: (Emily) I would make a guess that because many PLWH have not been coming in for care (labs) during this last year, the retention rates will go down. This may mean folks are out of care but not necessarily. They may still be taking ART and engaged with their medical provider, but not getting labs. And, despite that reasoning, it is definitely worth looking at the disparities that Marisa is going over to determine how we can better reach and engage folks. Marisa agrees. (See further breakdown in later slides) Heather: At KP, that has been the case. We have not necessarily "lost" patients (had patients fall out of care) but rother patients in care consistently but not wanting to come in for labs for fear of exposure to Covid.Eval and ClosingPresenter: Emily Borke Thank you for participating in this meeting. If you have feedback / comments / ideas, please include them in your evaluation.						
provided at future meetings to share input. Comment: would like to look at slides while consideringAnnual Report: OutcomesPresenter: Marisa McLaughlin Summary of Discussion: See slideshow.Q: What is the measure for retention in care? A: We've been using annual lab rates and retention in care similarly. This has changed over the last few years. Retention in care now means at least one CD-4 or viral load lab during the year.Q: Why are we losing so many retained in care? A: (Emily) I would make a guess that because many PLWH have not been coming in for care (labs) during this last year, the retention rates will go down. This may mean folks are out of care but not necessarily. They may still be taking ART and engaged with their medical provider, but not getting labs. And, despite that reasoning, it is definitely worth looking at the disparities that Marisa is going over to determine how we can better reach and engage folks. Marisa agrees. (See further breakdown in later slides) Heather: At KP, that has been the case. We have not necessarily "lost" patients (had patients fall out of care) but rather patients in care consistently but not wanting to come in for labs for fear of exposure to Covid.Eval and ClosingPresenter: Emily Borke Thank you for participating in this meeting. If you have feedback / comments / ideas, please include them in your evaluation.		farther out have difficulty using mass transit, needing to transfer multiple times. A: Not aware of any providers providing transportation, but multiple agencies provided				
OutcomesSummary of Discussion: See slideshow.Q: What is the measure for retention in care? A: We've been using annual lab rates and retention in care similarly. This has changed over the last few years. Retention in care now means at least one CD-4 or viral load lab during 		provided at future meetings to share input.				
A: We've been using annual lab rates and retention in care similarly. This has changed over the last few years. Retention in care now means at least one CD-4 or viral load lab during the year.Q: Why are we losing so many retained in care? A: (Emily) I would make a guess that because many PLWH have not been coming in for care (labs) during this last year, the retention rates will go down. This may mean folks are out of care but not necessarily. They may still be taking ART and engaged with their medical provider, but not getting labs. And, despite that reasoning, it is definitely worth looking at the disparities that Marisa is going over to determine how we can better reach and engage folks. Marisa agrees. (See further breakdown in later slides) Heather: At KP, that has been the case. We have not necessarily "lost" patients (had patients fall out of care) but rather patients in care consistently but not wanting to come in for labs for fear of exposure to Covid.Eval and ClosingPresenter: Emily Borke Thank you for participating in this meeting. If you have feedback / comments / ideas, please include them in your evaluation.		Summary of Discussion:				
A: (Emily) I would make a guess that because many PLWH have not been coming in for care (labs) during this last year, the retention rates will go down. This may mean folks are out of care but not necessarily. They may still be taking ART and engaged with their medical provider, but not getting labs. And, despite that reasoning, it is definitely worth looking at the disparities that Marisa is going over to determine how we can better reach and engage folks. Marisa agrees. (See further breakdown in later slides) Heather: At KP, that has been the case. We have not necessarily "lost" patients (had patients fall out of care) but rather patients in care consistently but not wanting to come in for labs for fear of exposure to Covid.Presentation cut short due to lack of time Marisa will record her presentation on the remaining slides for sending out to the Council.Eval and ClosingPresenter: Emily Borke Thank you for participating in this meeting. If you have feedback / comments / ideas, please include them in your evaluation.		A: We've been using annual lab rates and retention in care similarly. This has changed over the last few years. Retention in care now means at least one CD-4 or viral load lab during				
Marisa will record her presentation on the remaining slides for sending out to the Council.Eval and ClosingPresenter: Emily Borke Thank you for participating in this meeting. If you have feedback / comments / ideas, please include them in your evaluation.		 A: (Emily) I would make a guess that because many PLWH have not been coming in for care (labs) during this last year, the retention rates will go down. This may mean folks are out of care but not necessarily. They may still be taking ART and engaged with their medical provider, but not getting labs. And, despite that reasoning, it is definitely worth looking at the disparities that Marisa is going over to determine how we can better reach and engage folks. Marisa agrees. (See further breakdown in later slides) Heather: At KP, that has been the case. We have not necessarily "lost" patients (had patients fall out of care) but rather patients in care consistently but not wanting to come in 				
Thank you for participating in this meeting. If you have feedback / comments / ideas, please include them in your evaluation.						
	Eval and Closing	Thank you for participating in this meeting. If you have feedback / comments / ideas,				
Time of 6:00 PM	Time of	6:00 PM				
Adjournment						

ATTENDANCE

Members	Present	Absent*	Members	Present	Absent*
Emily Borke (Council Co-Chair)	Х		Heather Leffler	Х	
Tom Cherry	Х		Matthew Moore	Х	
Jamie Christianson	Х		Scott Moore	Х	
Carlos Dory	Х		Laura Paz-Whitmore		E
Michelle Foley	Х		Bert Partin	Х	
Greg Fowler	Х		Sandra Poon		L
Dennis Grace-Montero	Х		Diane Quiring	Х	
Shaun Irelan	Х		Jace Richard	Х	
Lorne James (Council Co-Chair)		E	Michael Thurman-Noche	Х	
Chris Keating		E	Robert Thurman-Noche	Х	
Toni Kempner	X		Erin Waid	Х	
Robert Kenneth	Х		Sam Wardwell	Х	
Julia Lager-Mesulam	Х		Joanna Whitmore	Х	
			Abrianna Williams	Х	
PC Support Staff			Guests		
Lisa Alfano			Ashley Allison	Х	
	x		Taylor Gleffe (Day Center	x	
Laura Bradley			Program Manager, Ecumenical		
			Ministries of Oregon)		
Aubrow Doguiz	x		Dennis Torres (Community	x	
Aubrey Daquiz			Liaison, Gilead)		
Jenny Hampton (Recorder)	Х				
Amanda Hurley	Х				
Jenna Kıvanç	Х				
Marisa McLaughlin	Х				
Kim Toevs					

* A = Unexcused Absence; E = Excused Absence; L = On Leave