Table of Contents	
GENERAL INFORMATION	
SITE INFORMATION	
INTRODUCTION	
APPLICANT'S REQUEST	
SITE DESCRIPTION/SURROUNDING LAND USE	
PROPOSAL	
APPLICABLE CRITERIA	
OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULES	
Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 660-041-0000 through 660-041-05304	
MULTNOMAH COUNTY ZONING CODE	
MCC 39.1515 CODE COMPLIANCE AND APPLICATIONS5	
MCC 39.3005-3007 LOT OF RECORD5	
MCC 39.4245 DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS	
MCC 39.9045 CATEGORY 3 LAND DIVISIONS12	
MCC 39.4260 ACCESS	
MCC 39.8210 ADJUSTMENT APPROVAL CRITERIA22	
MCC 39.8215 VARIANCE APPROVAL CRITERIA24	
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	

- Appendix A Land Use Application and Deeds
- Appendix B Pre-app Notes
- Appendix C Correspondence with County
- Appendix D Measure 49 Final Order and Home Site Authorization
- Appendix E Utility and Access Documents and Certificates
- Appendix F Land Use Plans

	EXHIBIT	
tabbies" I	A.2	

GENERAL INFORMATION

Property Owner and Applicant:	Wiley Farm Enterprises LLC 13801 NW Charlton Road Portland, Oregon 97231 Contact: Robert Wiley Phone: (503) 422-3350 Email: wileyr2440@gmail.com
Applicant's Representative:	3J Consulting, Inc. 9600 SW Nimbus Avenue, Suite 100 Beaverton, OR 97008 Contact: Mercedes Serra Phone: (503) 946-9365 x211 Email: mercedes.serra@3j-consulting.com
<u>SITE INFORMATION</u> Alternate Account Number:	R971160060

<u>S</u>

Alternate Account Number:	R971160060
Address:	13801 NW Charlton Road
Map and Taxlot Number	2N1W16 -00900
Total Area:	103.04 Acres
Zoning Designation:	EFU

INTRODUCTION

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

The applicant is requesting a three-lot partition of the subject site in accordance with a Measure 49 Final Order and Home Site Authorization (Appendix "D").

SITE DESCRIPTION/SURROUNDING LAND USE

The subject site is located within the EFU zone in unincorporated Multnomah County in an area with a predominantly rural pattern of development. The property's only access is along NW Charlton Road. At 103.04 acres, the site is enveloped by farmland zoned EFU on all sides with the exception of its northwest corner – across the way from NW Charlton Road exists a pocket of properties zoned Multiple-Use Agriculture (MUA-20). The site contains three dwellings as well as miscellaneous farm buildings such as barns and sheds.

PROPOSAL

The Applicant is requesting an Administrative Decision by the Planning Director, a Category 3 Land Division, two Variances and an Adjustment Review to implement a Measure 49 Final Order that would allow the establishment of a single-family dwelling on each parcel. As part of this process, the Applicant intends to decommission or relocate one of the existing dwellings currently located on Parcel 1 such that one dwelling would be on each proposed parcel in accordance with the Measure 49 Order and applicable provisions of the MCC. A key element of this proposal concerns access for the three parcels. Given the significantly limited frontage on NW Charlton Road for the subject property, the Applicant is requesting a Variance to reduce the front lot line requirement of 50 feet to 0 feet for Parcel 1 – the Applicant intends to utilize the existing driveway as access to the three parcels by way of a shared driveway easement.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

The following sections of the Oregon Administrative Rules and Multnomah County Zoning Code have been extracted as they have been deemed to be applicable to the proposal. Following each **bold** applicable criteria or design standard, the Applicant has provided a series of draft findings. The intent of providing code and detailed responses and findings is to document, with absolute certainty, that the proposed development has satisfied the approval criteria for a Measure 49 Partition.

OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 660-041-0000 through 660-041-0530

Applicant'sThe Claimant filed an Election seeking relief under Section 6 of Measure 49. AsFinding:described in the Measure 49 (M49) Order and under Section 6 of Measure 49,
the number of home site approvals authorized by the Department cannot
exceed the lesser of the following: the number stated in the election materials
(which in this case is 3), the number described in the Measure 37 waiver issued
by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described
in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. Thus, the number of homesites
the Claimant qualifies for is a maximum of three under Section 6 of M49.

The Applicant has provided the County with a Final Order and Home Site Authorization, with a State Election Number of E129631, as Appendix "D". The Claimant for the M49 claim is Elinor Wiley, who was the owner of the fee title to the property prior to her passing.

The Measure 49 Order under Section IV states the following:

"A home site approval will not expire, except that if a claimant who received this home site authorization later conveys the property to a party other than the claimant's spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in which the claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must established the authorized lots, parcels and dwellings within 10 years of the conveyance."

Since Elinor is no longer the claimant and the property was conveyed to another party that was not the claimant's spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust, the lots, parcels and dwellings authorized by this order has 10 years from the conveyance to utilize the Measure 49 Order. The deadline is August 15th, 2028, given that the property was transferred from the Revocable Living Trust into the current LLC-owner.

The Applicant is the son of Elinor Wiley and Managing Member of Wiley Farm Enterprises, LLC, the current owner on record for the subject property.

MULTNOMAH COUNTY ZONING CODE

MCC 39.1515 CODE COMPLIANCE AND APPLICATIONS

Except as provided in subsection (A), the County shall not make a land use decision approving development, including land divisions and property line adjustments, or issue a building permit for any property that is not in full compliance with all applicable provisions of the Multnomah County Zoning Code and/or any permit approvals previously issued by the County.

(A) A permit or other approval, including building permit applications, may be authorized if:

(1) It results in the property coming into full compliance with all applicable provisions of the Multnomah County Zoning Code. This includes sequencing of permits or other approvals as part of a voluntary compliance agreement; or

(2) It is necessary to protect public safety; or

(3) It is for work related to and within a valid easement over, on or under an affected property.

(B) For the purposes of this section, Public Safety means the actions authorized by the permit would cause abatement of conditions found to exist on the property that endanger the life, health, personal property, or safety of the residents or public. Examples of that situation include but are not limited to issuance of permits to replace faulty electrical wiring; repair or install furnace equipment; roof repairs; replace or repair compromised utility infrastructure for water, sewer, fuel, or power; and actions necessary to stop earth slope failures.

Applicant's The subject property is in full compliance with all applicable provisions of the Multnomah County Zoning Code and/or any permit approvals previously issued by the County. There are currently no open compliance cases on the subject property nor are there outstanding conditions from previous land use decisions. The land use proposal for which this application concerns will be evaluated by County staff and a determination will be made to ensure the continued compliance of the subject site through anticipated conditions of approval.

MCC 39.3005-3007 LOT OF RECORD

MC39.3005

(A) An area of land is a "Lot of Record" if it meets the standards in Subsection (B) of this Section and meets the standards set forth in this Part for the Zoning District in which the area of land is located.

(B) A Lot of Record is a parcel, lot, or a group thereof that, when created or reconfigured, either satisfied all applicable zoning laws and satisfied all applicable land division laws, or complies with the criteria for the creation of new lots or parcels described in MCC 39.9700. Those laws shall include all required zoning and land division review procedures, decisions, and conditions of approval.

(a) "Satisfied all applicable zoning laws" shall mean: the parcel, lot, or group thereof was created and, if applicable, reconfigured in full compliance with all zoning minimum lot size, dimensional standards, and access requirements.

(b) "Satisfied all applicable land division laws" shall mean the parcel or lot was created:

1. By a subdivision plat under the applicable subdivision requirements in effect at the time; or 2. By a deed, or a sales contract dated and signed by the parties to the transaction, that was recorded with the Recording Section of the public office responsible for public records prior to October 19, 1978; or

3. By a deed, or a sales contract dated and signed by the parties to the transaction, that was in recordable form prior to October 19, 1978; or

4. By partitioning land under the applicable land partitioning requirements in effect on or after October 19, 1978; and

5. "Satisfied all applicable land division laws" shall also mean that any subsequent boundary reconfiguration completed on or after December 28, 1993 was approved under the property line adjustment provisions of the land division code. (See Date of Creation and Existence for the effect of property line adjustments on qualifying a Lot of Record for the siting of a dwelling in the EFU and CFU districts.)

(c) Separate Lots of Record shall be recognized and may be partitioned congruent with an "acknowledged unincorporated community" boundary which intersects a Lot of Record. 1. Partitioning of the Lot of Record along the boundary shall require review and approval under the provisions of the land division part of this Chapter, but not be subject to the minimum area and access requirements of this district.

2. An "acknowledged unincorporated community boundary" is one that has been established pursuant to OAR Chapter 660, Division 22.MC39.3070

(A) In addition to the standards in MCC 39.3005, for the purposes of the EFU district a Lot of Record is either:

(1) A parcel or lot which was not contiguous to any other parcel or lot under the same ownership on February 20, 1990, or

(2) A group of contiguous parcels or lots:

(a) Which were held under the same ownership on February 20, 1990; and

(b) Which, individually or when considered in combination, shall be aggregated to comply with a minimum lot size of 19 acres, without creating any new lot line.

1. Each Lot of Record proposed to be segregated from the contiguous group of parcels or lots shall be a minimum of 19 acres in area using existing legally created lot lines and shall not result in any remainder individual parcel or lot, or remainder of contiguous combination of parcels or lots, with less than 19 acres in area. See Examples 1 and 2 in this subsection

2. There shall be an exception to the 19 acre minimum lot size requirement when the entire same ownership grouping of parcels or lots was less than 19 acres in area on February 20, 1990, and then the entire grouping shall be one Lot of Record. See Example 3 in this subsection.

3. Three examples of how parcels and lots shall be aggregated are shown in Figure 1 below with the solid thick line outlining individual Lots of Record:

4. The requirement to aggregate contiguous parcels or lots shall not apply to lots or parcels within exception or urban zones (e.g., MUA-20, RR, RC, SRC, BRC, R-10), but shall apply to contiguous parcels and lots within all farm and forest resource zones (i.e. EFU and CFU), or

(3) A parcel or lot lawfully created by a partition or a subdivision plat after February 20, 1990.

(4) Exception to the standards of (A)(2) above:

(a) Where approval for a "Lot of Exception" or a parcel smaller than 19 acres under the "Lot size for Conditional Uses" provisions has been given by the Hearing Authority and the parcel was subsequently lawfully created, then the parcel shall be a Lot of Record that remains separately transferable, even if the parcel was contiguous to another parcel held in the same ownership on February 20, 1990.

(B) In this district, significant dates and ordinances applicable for verifying zoning compliance may include, but are not limited to, the following:

(1) July 10, 1958, F-2 zone applied;

(2) December 9, 1975, RL-C zone applied, F-2 minimum lot size increased, Ord. 115 & 116;

(3) October 6, 1977, MUA-20 and EFU38 zones applied, Ord. 148 &149;
(4) August 14, 1980, zone change from MUA-20 to EFU-38 for some properties, zone change from EFU-38 to EFU-76 for some properties.
Ord. 236 & 238;

(5) February 20, 1990, lot of record definition amended, Ord. 643;

(6) April 5, 1997, EFU zone repealed and replaced with language in compliance with 1993 Oregon Revised Statutes and 1994 Statewide Planning Goal 3 Oregon Administrative Rules for farmland, Ord. 876;

(7) May 16, 2002, Lot of Record section amended, Ord. 982, reenacted by Ord. 997;

(C) A Lot of Record which has less than the minimum lot size for new parcels, less than the front lot line minimums required, or which does not meet the access requirements of MCC 39.4260 may be occupied by any allowed use, review use or conditional use when in compliance with the other requirements of this district.

- (D) The following shall not be deemed a Lot of Record:

 (1) An area of land described as a tax lot solely for assessment and taxation purposes;
 (2) An area of land created by the foreclosure of a security interest;
 (3) A Mortgage Lot.
 (4) An area of land created by court decree.

 The pre-app notes for the subject proposal, titled PA-2020-13605 and supplied
- Applicant'sThe pre-app notes for the subject proposal, titled PA-2020-13605 and suppliedFinding:as Appendix "B", indicate that a current deed for the property will suffice for
County staff to make Lot of Record findings as required for Type 3 Reviews. At
the time of the Measure 49 Order (Appendix "F"), the subject property was
found to be a Lot of Record. A copy of the current deed for the property has
been provided as Appendix "E", which provides a description of the property
that matches the Measure 49 Order (Appendix "F"). This standard is met.

MCC 39.4245 DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

(C) Minimum Yard Dimensions

Front	Side	Street Side	Rear
30	10	30	30

Maximum Structure Height – 35 feet

Applicant'sThe minimum yard dimensions above are met by the configuration of the
parcels shown in the provided tentative plan, provided in Appendix "D". All
existing structures are appropriately setback from new property lines in
conformance with the yard requirements of this section. Future structures
shall abide by the maximum structure height and yard requirement of this
section and will be verified at the time of building permit submittal.

On Parcel 1, the existing modular home to the south is setback 38 feet from its rear property line, whereas 30 feet is required. The west setback is approximately 138 feet and east setback is over 3,000 feet away. The front setback is over 200 feet from the modular home; thus, all other setbacks are well over the requirements of this section.

On Parcel 1, the existing modular home to the north is not applicable, as the Applicant will be decommissioning or relocating this home during the partition process.

On Parcel 2, the existing home is setback 37.3 from the front property line, whereas 30 feet is required. At its nearest points to the new property lines, the home is set back approximately 73 feet to the west and 93 feet to the east. The rear setback for Parcel 2 is over 200 feet similar to Parcel 1's front setback.

Parcel 3 contains no existing structures. Compliance with this section for a future home will be reviewed at the building permit stage of development for this parcel, contingent upon conditions of approval associated with this request being met.

Compliance with setback requirements for accessory structures is discussed below

Minimum Front Lot Line Length – 50 feet.

Applicant'sTwo Variances and an Adjustment Review are being requested for thisFinding:requirement and are discussed elsewhere in this narrative.

(1) Notwithstanding the Minimum Yard Dimensions, but subject to all other applicable Code provisions, a fence or retaining wall may be located in a Yard, provided that a fence or retaining wall over six feet in height shall be setback from all Lot Lines a distance at least equal to the height of such fence or retaining wall.

Applicant's Any fences or walls that encroach into the yard of any property lines resultingFinding: from this partition request shall be removed through anticipated conditions of approval.

(2) An Accessory Structure may encroach up to 40 percent into any required Yard subject to the following:

(a) The Yard being modified is not contiguous to a road.

(b) The Accessory Structure does not exceed five feet in height or exceed a footprint of ten square feet, and

(c) The applicant demonstrates the proposal complies with the fire code as administered by the applicable fire service agency.

(3) A Variance is required for any Accessory Structure that encroaches more than 40 percent into any required Yard.

Applicant's The Applicant understands that a variance must be obtained if futureFinding: accessory structures were to encroach up to 40 percent into a Yard pursuant to the above provisions.

For existing accessory structures:

Parcel 1: There are two barns and three sheds on this future parcel. Of these accessory structures, only one shed encroaches into the required Yard (setback). This shed is 3.7 feet from the rear property line as measured on the Applicant's provided tentative plan. The rear setback for this zone is 30 feet; however, the property line in question is existing and will not be changed as a result of this partition request and is therefore not applicable.

There are no accessory structures on Parcels 2 and 3.

(D) The minimum yard requirement shall be increased where the yard abuts a street having insufficient right-of-way width to serve the area. The county Road Official shall determine the necessary right-of-way widths based upon the county "Design and Construction Manual" and the Planning Director shall determine any additional yard requirements in consultation with the Road Official.

Applicant'sNone of the required yards abut a street; instead, they abut a shared drivewayFinding:easement. This standard is not applicable.

(E) Structures such as barns, silos, windmills, antennae, chimneys or similar structures may exceed the height requirement if located at least 30 feet from any property line.

Applicant's No new structures of the above-mentioned kind are being proposed with thisFinding: proposal, nor are any structures of the above kind that are existing to be modified in height or location. This standard is not applicable.

(F) On-site sewage disposal, storm water/drainage control, water systems unless these services are provided by public or community source, shall be provided on the Lot of Record.

(1) Sewage and stormwater disposal systems for existing development may be off-site in easement areas reserved for that purpose.

(2) Stormwater/drainage control systems are required for new impervious surfaces. The system shall be adequate to ensure that the rate of runoff from the lot for the 10 year 24-hour storm event is no greater than that before the development.

Applicant'sZTec Engineers, Inc. provided a Storm Water Drainage Control Certificate forFinding:Land Divisions and Property Line Adjustments determining that the

10 WILEY M49 PARTITION | 3J CONSULTING, INC.

construction of an on-site storm water drainage control system is not required. This memo is included in Appendix "E". The Applicant was informed via a Memorandum from the County on January 24, 2020 (provided in Appendix "C") that prior to building permit submittal for construction of the replacement dwelling, the Applicant will need to submit a County Stormwater Certificate, completed by a qualified professional. The Applicant has provided Stormwater Certificates for each parcel in Appendix "E".

A Certification of Water Service and two associated well water reports have also been provided in Appendix "E", describing a supply of water available at 75 GPM.

The land division request was also reviewed by the Multnomah County Sanitarian, and a completed Septic Review Certification has been provided within Appendix "E" that indicates that the land division will not impact the existing system. The Sanitarian's comments state that Parcels 1 and 2 have full repair areas and existing systems that meet required setbacks to the proposed property lines, and that Parcel 3 is approved for septic under SER #26-19.

(G) Agricultural structures and equine facilities such as barns, stables, silos, farm equipment sheds, greenhouses or similar structures that do not exceed the maximum height requirement may have a reduced minimum rear yard of less than 30 feet, to a minimum of 10 feet, if:

(1) The structure is located at least 60 feet from any existing dwelling, other than the dwelling(s) on the same tract, where the rear property line is also the rear property line of the adjacent tract, or

(2) The structure is located at least 40 feet from any existing dwelling, other than the dwelling(s) on the same tract, where the rear property line is also the side property line of the adjacent tract

(3) Placement of an agricultural related structure under these provisions in (F) do not change the minimum yard requirements for future dwellings on adjacent property.

Applicant'sThe Applicant does not intend to make use of these provisions – this standardFinding:is not applicable.

(H) All exterior lighting shall comply with MCC 39.6850.

Applicant'sNo new lighting is being proposed as a result of this land division. WhenFinding:building permits are requested for the development on Parcel 3, MCC 39.6850
shall apply.

MCC 39.9045 CATEGORY 3 LAND DIVISIONS

(E) A partition which will result in a proposed parcel with an area four or more times the area of the smallest proposed parcel; and

(G) A partition resulting in the creation of a lot for which an Exception, Adjustment or Variance is required under another part of this Chapter.

Applicant'sPer Section 11(3) of the Measure 49 Order provided and due to the parcel'sFinding:classification as "high value farmland" as defined in Section 2(10) of Measure
49, the two new parcels created from this land division request must be no
larger than two acres. Thus, the remaining parcel will be 98.9 acres. As a result
of these Measure 49 Order requirements, a Category 3 Land Division is being
requested pursuant to subsection E. above. Subsection G. is also applicable
insofar as two variances and an adjustment will be required to meet the
minimum front lot line length requirement. A demonstration of how this
application meets the applicable variance and adjustment criteria can be
found in the appropriate sections of this narrative.

39.9400(B), (C), AND (H) CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL, CATEGORY 1 AND CATEGORY 2 TENTATIVE PLAN AND FUTURE STREET PLAN

In granting approval of a Category 1 or Category 2 tentative plan, the approval authority shall find that:

(B) Approval will permit development of the remainder of the property under the same ownership, if any, or of adjoining land or of access thereto, in accordance with this and other applicable ordinances;

Applicant's The approval of this M49 land division request will permit development of the remainder of the property under the same ownership in accordance with this and other applicable ordinances.

(C) The tentative plan [or future street plan] complies with the applicable provisions, including the purposes and intent of this Ordinance.

Applicant'sThe tentative plan complies with the applicable provisions as describedFinding:elsewhere in this narrative.

(H) Approval will permit development to be safe from known flooding and flood hazards. Public utilities and water supply systems shall be designed and located so as to minimize or prevent infiltration of flood waters into the systems. Sanitary sewer systems shall be designed and located to minimize or prevent:

(1) The infiltration of flood waters into the system; and

- (2) The discharge of matter from the system into flood waters.
- **12** WILEY M49 PARTITION | 3J CONSULTING, INC.

Applicant's ZTec Engineers, Inc. provided a Storm Water Drainage Control Certificate for Land Divisions and Property Line Adjustments determining that the construction of an on-site storm water drainage control system is not required. This memo is included in Appendix "E". The Applicant was informed via a Memorandum from the County on January 24, 2020 (provided in Appendix "C") that prior to building permit submittal for construction of the replacement dwelling, the Applicant will need to submit a County Stormwater Certificate, completed by a qualified professional. The Applicant has provided Stormwater Certificates for each parcel in Appendix "E".

A Certification of Water Service and two associated well water reports have also been provided in Appendix "E", describing a supply of water available at 75 GPM.

The land division request was also reviewed by the Multnomah County Sanitarian, and a completed Septic Review Certification has been provided within Appendix "E" that indicates that the land division will not impact the existing system. The Sanitarian's comments state that Parcels 1 and 2 have full repair areas and existing systems that meet required setbacks to the proposed property lines, and that Parcel 3 is approved for septic under SER #26-19.

39.9430 CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL, CATEGORY 3 TENTATIVE PLAN.

In granting approval of a Category 3 tentative plan, the Planning Director shall find that the criteria listed in Subsections (B), (C) and (H) of MCC 39.9400 are satisfied and that the tentative plan complies with the area and dimensional requirements of the base zone.

Applicant'sCompliance with the area and dimensional requirements of the base zone areFinding:discussed elsewhere in this narrative. Compliance with Subsections B, C, and
H are addressed directly above this provision.

39.9435 CONTENTS OF CATEGORY 3 TENTATIVE PLAN

A tentative plan for a Category 3 Land Division shall consist of maps, written information and supplementary material adequate to provide the following:

(A) Category 3 tentative plan map contents. A tentative plan map of a sheet size and scale as specified in MCC 39.9410 shall indicate the following:

(1) Date, north point and scale of drawing.

(2) Description of the proposed land division sufficient to define its location and boundaries.

(3) Identification as a tentative plan map.

(4) Location, names or purpose and width of all streets, rights-of-way or easements on or abutting the tract.

(5) Natural features, water courses or areas covered by water.

(6) The location and use of any buildings or structures proposed to remain after division.

(7) The proposed parcels, their dimensions and areas.

(8) Contiguous property under the same ownership.

Applicant'sThe Applicant has provided a tentative plan within Appendix "F" that satisfiesFinding:all above-mentioned criteria. This standard is met.

(B) Written information; Category 3 tentative plan. Written information shall include:

(1) Name, address and telephone number of the record owner(s), owner's representative, designer(s), engineer(s) or surveyor(s), and the date of survey, if any.

Applicant'sThe contact information for record owner(s) has been provided on the land
use application. The contact information for the owner's representative is also
found on the land use application and at the beginning of this narrative. The
surveyor information delineated above can be found on the provided survey.

(2) Proof of record ownership of the tract and the representative's authorization.(3) Legal description of the tract.

Applicant'sProof of record ownership and a legal description of the property can be foundFinding:on the provided deed (Appendix "A") corroborated through County Assessor
records.

(4) Present and proposed uses.

Applicant'sThis information can be found within this narrative and in the M49 Order (theFinding:sites resulting from the partition will be used as homesites). The site is
currently used as a homesite and farm.

(5) Description of the water supply, methods of sewage disposal and storm water disposal, and the availability of other utilities.

- Applicant'sZTec Engineers, Inc. provided a Storm Water Drainage Control Certificate forFinding:Land Divisions and Property Line Adjustments determining that the
construction of an on-site storm water drainage control system is not required.
This memo is included in Appendix "E". The Applicant was informed via a
Memorandum from the County on January 24, 2020 (provided in Appendix "C")
that prior to building permit submittal for construction of the replacement
 - 14 WILEY M49 PARTITION | 3J CONSULTING, INC.

dwelling, the Applicant will need to submit a County Stormwater Certificate, completed by a qualified professional. The Applicant has provided Stormwater Certificates for each parcel in Appendix "E".

A Certification of Water Service and two associated well water reports have also been provided in Appendix "E", describing a supply of water available at 75 GPM.

The land division request was also reviewed by the Multnomah County Sanitarian, and a completed Septic Review Certification has been provided within Appendix "E" that indicates that the land division will not impact the existing system. The Sanitarian's comments state that Parcels 1 and 2 have full repair areas and existing systems that meet required setbacks to the proposed property lines, and that Parcel 3 is approved for septic under SER #26-19.

(6) Statements of the manner in which the criteria for approval listed in MCC 39.9430 are satisfied.

Applicant'sCompliance with the area and dimensional requirements of the base zone areFinding:discussed elsewhere in this narrative. Compliance with Subsections B, C, and
H are addressed directly above this provision.

(7) Statement of the improvements to be made or installed and the time scheduled therefore.

Applicant'sNo improvements are being proposed for this Measure 49 Partition request.Finding:Building permits for the new dwelling to be constructed on Parcel 3 will be
requested and any improvements necessitated by its development will be set
forth by the Applicant,

(C) Supplementary material; Category 3 tentative plan. The Planning Director may require such additional information, listed in sections MCC 39.9405 through 39.9425, as the Director deems necessary to assist in the review and assessment of the land division proposal according to the provisions of this Ordinance.

Applicant'sThe Applicant acknowledges this provision and will provide any additionalFinding:information as required by the Planning Director if necessary.

39.9505 LAND SUITABILITY

A land division shall not be approved on land found by the approval authority to be both unsuitable and incapable of being made suitable for the intended uses because of any of the following characteristics: (A) Slopes exceeding 20%;

(B) Severe soil erosion potential;

(C) Within the 100-year flood plain;

(D) A high seasonal water table within 0-24 inches of the surface for three or more weeks of the year;

(E) A fragipan or other impervious layer less than 30 inches from the surface;

(F) Subject to slumping, earth slides or movement;

(G) Pre-existing field drains or other subsurface drainage systems.

Applicant'sMost of the subject property is between 0-10% slope. The only areas of the site
that are above 0-10% are along a drainage and irrigation canal by Sauvies
Island Drainage Company and the far west corner, both of which are
considerable distances from the proposed property lines (over 1,000 feet) and
are located on the larger remnant parcel. The site is considered to be high
value farm land with soil classifications that are primarily 45, with a few small
pockets of 40 and 28 on the larger remnant parcel and 6B on the east side of
the property in the area of focus. None of the above-mentioned characteristics
preclude the ability to divide the subject property commensurate with this
request. The anticipated development of a single additional dwelling is set to
occur in an area (on Parcel 3) without any of the above characteristics that
might render the parcel unsuitable and incapable of development. Contours
are shown on sheets C200 and C210 of the provided plans in Appendix "F".

39.9510 LOTS AND PARCELS

The design of lots and parcels shall comply with the following:

(A) The size, shape, width, orientation and access shall be appropriate:

(1) To the types of development and uses contemplated;

(2) To the nature of existing or potential development on adjacent tracts;

(3) For the maximum preservation of existing slopes, vegetation and natural drainage;

(4) To the need for privacy through such means as transition from public to semipublic to private use areas and the separation of conflicting areas by suitable distances, barriers or screens; and (5) To the climactic conditions including solar orientation and winter wind and rain.

Applicant'sThe tentative plan demonstrates compliance with the above-mentioned
criteria for both the access and three proposed lots. Additionally, compliance
with the applicable dimensional and lot size requirements of MCC 39.4245
ensures compliance with most of the provisions above. The location of future
primary dwellings to be located on each property shall comply with all
applicable criteria as well.

(B) The side lot lines shall be perpendicular to the front lot line or radial to the curve of a street, to the extent practicable.

Applicant's The side lot lines of all three parcels are perpendicular to their respective frontFinding: lot lines to the extent that is practicable given access constraints and the unique dimensions of the subject property.

(C) Double frontage or reverse frontage lots or parcels shall be provided only when essential for separation of land uses from arterials or to overcome specific disadvantages of topography or orientation.

Applicant'sNone of the proposed lots contain double frontages or can be consideredFinding:reverse frontage lots; therefore, this criterion is not applicable.

(D) A land division may include creation of a flag lot with a pole that does not satisfy the minimum frontage requirement of the applicable base zone, subject to the following:

(1) When a flag lot does not adjoin another flag lot, as shown in MCC 39.9510 Figure 1, the pole portion of the flag lot shall be at least 16 feet wide.

(2) Where two flag lots are placed back to back as shown in MCC 39.9510 Figure 2, the pole portion of each flag lot shall be at least 12 feet wide

(E) Within a land division, flag lots shall not be stacked one behind the other as shown in MCC 39.9510 Figure 3. Instead, a private accessway shall be used as shown in MCC 39.9510 Figure 4.

Applicant'sThe Applicant is proposing to utilize a shared driveway easement for the
parcels to be created from this partition request. Pursuant to Subsection E.,
flag lots cannot be stacked one behind the other as shown in Figure 3. of the
MCC within this Section; however, given the property's minimal frontage along
NW Charlton Road, no other flag lot orientation is possible. For this reason, a
shared driveway easement a shared driveway easement is being proposed in
accordance with the applicable criteria of the MCC and per the allowances in
the accompanying Measure 49 Order.

39.9520 STREET LAYOUT

(A) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (B) and (C) of this Section, the arrangement of streets in a land division shall be designed:

(1) To conform to the arrangement established or approved in adjoining land divisions;

(2) To continue streets to the boundary of any adjoining undivided tract where such is necessary to the proper development of the adjoining land;

(3) To assure the maximum possible preservation of existing slopes, vegetation and natural drainage;

(4) To limit unnecessary through traffic in residential areas;

17 WILEY M49 PARTITION | 3J CONSULTING, INC.

(5) To permit surveillance of street areas by residents and users for maximum safety;

(6) To assure building sites with appropriate solar orientation and protection from winter wind and rain;

(7) To assure storm water drainage to an approved means of disposal; and

(8) To provide safe and convenient access.

(B) Where topography or other conditions make conformance to the existing street pattern or continuance to an adjoining tract impractical, the street layout shall conform to an alternate arrangement authorized by the approval authority.

(C) Where a street layout affecting the proposed land division has been established by the Comprehensive Plan, the arrangement of streets in the land division shall conform to the established layout.

(D) A half street may be permitted only where appropriate to the future division of adjoining undeveloped property, provided that when possible, additional dedicated right-of-way exceeding one-half of a street may be required to provide adequate width to accommodate twoway vehicle traffic.

(E) When necessary for adequate protection of existing or proposed land uses or to afford separation of through and local traffic, a land division abutting or containing an existing or proposed arterial may be required to include, among other things, a frontage street, reverse frontage lots with extra depth, or screen plantings in a non-access reservation along a property line.

39.9525 STREET DESIGN

The width, design and configuration of all streets in or abutting the land division shall comply with applicable ordinance standards as follows:

(A) For a public street, in accordance with the Multnomah County Road Rules and Design and Construction Manual; and

(B) For a private street, in accordance with the Multnomah County Road Rules and Design and Construction Manual, subject to the following additional requirements:

(1) Accessways shall be designed in accordance with Permit Requirements for Accessway Construction published by the Multnomah County Department of Community Services Transportation Division. Accessways shall have a maximum length of 300 feet.

(C) A cul-de-sac shall be as short as possible and shall have a maximum length of 400 feet and serve building sites for not more than 18 dwelling units. A cul-de-sac shall terminate with a turnaround having a radius of 50 feet.

Applicant'sThe Applicant is proposing to utilize a private easement. This shared driveway
easement will be used to provide access to the three properties and does not
constitute a road. The existing driveway connects directly to NW Charlton Road
- this access is permitted through File #82042 as described in the County
Memo dated January 24th, 2020 found within Appendix "C".

MCC 49.4260 requires that "All lots and parcels in this [EFU] base zone shall abut a public street or shall have other access determined by the approval

authority to be safe and convenient for pedestrians and for passenger and emergency vehicles."

The Applicant would like for this requirement to be met by way of the latter option, demonstrating that the access is safe and convenient for pedestrians and for passenger and emergency vehicles. The existing access has been deemed adequate to serve the land division through a Structural Fire Service Agency Review, which has been provided within Appendix "E". The existing access is permitted through File #82042 as described in the County Memo dated January 24th, 2020 found within Appendix "C".

39.9545 REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS

Improvements in a land division shall be made in accordance with the provisions of MCC 39.9550 through 39.9590 and 39.9600.

Applicant'sNo improvements are required with this partition request, as access will be
taken via a shared driveway easement. The existing access has been deemed
adequate to serve the land division through a Structural Fire Service Agency
Review, which has been provided within Appendix "E". The existing access is
permitted through File #82042 as described in the County Memo dated
January 24th, 2020 found within Appendix "C".

39.9555 EASEMENTS

Easements shall be provided and designed according to the following:

(A) Along the front property line abutting a street, a five foot utility easement shall be required. The placement of the utility easement may be modified as requested by a public or private utility provider. Utility infrastructure may not be placed within one foot of a survey monument location noted on a subdivision or partition plat.

(B) Where a tract is traversed by a water course such as a drainage way, channel or stream, a storm water easement or drainage right-of-way adequate to conform substantially with the lines of the water course shall be provided. In a Drainage District or Water Control District, such easement or right-of-way shall be approved by the District Board, in accordance with ORS 92.110. If not within such District, approval shall be by the County Engineer.

(C) Easements for pedestrian paths and bikeways shall be not less than 10 feet in width.

Applicant'sAll required easements have been provided at the benefit of all three parcelsFinding:as shown on the tentative plan in Appendix "F".

39.9570 WATER SYSTEM

The provision of domestic water to every lot or parcel in a land division shall comply with the requirements of Subsections (4)(a), (b), or (c) of ORS 92.090 and the following:

(A) Water mains, service and fire hydrants shall meet the requirements of the Water District and shall be located as follows:

(1) In a public street, in accordance with the Multnomah County Road Rules and Design and Construction Manual; and

(2) In a private street, as approved by the approval authority.

Applicant'sThe Applicant obtained a Structural Fire Service Agency Review indicating thatFinding:the subject property is located within their service boundaries or is under
contract and that the proposed development is in compliance with the fire
apparatus access standards of the Oregon Fire Code standards.

A Certification of Water Service and two associated well water reports have also been provided in Appendix "E", describing a supply of water available at 75 GPM.

39.9575 SEWAGE DISPOSAL

The provision for the disposal of sewage from every lot or parcel in a land division shall comply with the requirements of Subsections (5)(a), (b) or (c) of ORS 92.090 and the following:

(A) Except as provided in Subsection (B) of this Section, a sanitary sewer line shall be installed to serve every lot or parcel in a land division by extension of an existing sewer line:

(1) In a public street, in accordance with the Multnomah County Road Rules and Design and Construction Manual;

(2) In a private street, as approved by the approval authority.

(B) Where sanitary sewer is not available to the site or where the State Department of Environmental Quality determines that it is impractical to serve any lot or parcel by an existing sewer system, a private sewage disposal system approved by the Department shall be provided. All lots or parcels in a proposed land division which will utilize private subsurface sewage disposal system shall apply for and obtain approval of a Land Feasibility Study confirming the ability to utilize the system prior to tentative plan approval. In such cases, the approval authority may require that a sanitary sewer line, with branches to the right-of-way line for connection to a future sewer system, be constructed and sealed.

(C) Where a private subsurface sewage disposal system is used, the parcel or lot shall contain adequate land area to accommodate both a primary and reserve septic system drainfield area, and for surface and storm drainage systems.

Applicant'sThe land division request was reviewed by the Multnomah County Sanitarian,Finding:and a completed Septic Review Certification has been provided within
Appendix "E" that indicates that the land division will not impact the existing
system. The Sanitarian's comments state that Parcels 1 and 2 have full repair
areas and existing systems that meet required setbacks to the proposed
property lines, and that Parcel 3 is approved for septic under SER #26-19.

39.9580 SURFACE DRAINAGE AND STORM WATER SYSTEMS

Surface drainage and storm water control systems shall be provided as required by this section (A) On-site water disposal or retention facilities shall be adequate to insure that surface runoff rate or volume from the new parcels after development is no greater than that before development. (B) Drainage facilities shall be constructed as follows:

(1) In a public street, in accordance with the Multnomah County Road Rules and Design and Construction Manual; and

(2) In a private street and on lots or parcels, in accordance with the plans prepared by an Oregon licensed and registered professional engineer and approved by the approval authority.

Applicant'sZTec Engineers, Inc. provided a Storm Water Drainage Control Certificate for
Land Divisions and Property Line Adjustments determining that the
construction of an on-site storm water drainage control system is not required.
This memo is included in Appendix "E". The Applicant was informed via a
Memorandum from the County on January 24, 2020 (provided in Appendix "C")
that prior to building permit submittal for construction of the replacement
dwelling, the Applicant will need to submit a County Stormwater Certificate,
completed by a qualified professional. The Applicant has provided Stormwater
Certificates for each parcel in Appendix "E".

39.9585 ELECTRICAL AND OTHER WIRES

Wires serving within a land division, including but not limited to electric power, communication, street lighting and cable television wires, shall be placed underground. The approval authority may modify or waive this requirement in acting on a tentative plan upon a finding that underground installation: (A) Is impracticable due to topography, soil or subsurface conditions;

(B) Would result in only minor aesthetic advantages, given the existence of above-ground facilities nearby; or

(C) Would be unnecessarily expensive in consideration of the need for low-cost housing proposed on the lots or parcels to be served.

Applicant's Response:

Applicant'sThe Applicant intends to decommission or relocate one of the existing
dwellings currently located on Parcel 1 such that one dwelling would be on
each proposed parcel in accordance with the Measure 49 Order and applicable
provisions of the MCC. For this reason, there is no increase in the impact to
the existing electrical power or other similar wire equipment that would
necessitate the undergrounding of existing infrastructure. The Applicant
believes that requiring this would therefore represent a disproportional cost

burden when considering the existing conditions and small scale of the land division proposed.

MCC 39.4260 ACCESS

All lots and parcels in this base zone shall abut a public street or shall have other access determined by the approval authority to be safe and convenient for pedestrians and for passenger and emergency vehicles. This access requirement does not apply to a pre-existing lot and parcel that constitutes a Lot of Record described in MCC 39.3070(C).

Applicant'sThe Applicant intends to utilize a shared driveway easement as a means ofFinding:alternative access to all three parcels, as shown on the provided tentative plan.Compliance with the applicable criteria in MCC 39.4260 and MCC 39.9525 as itrelates to access are discussed in those respective sections of this narrative.

MCC 39.8210 ADJUSTMENT APPROVAL CRITERIA

The Approval Authority may permit and authorize a modification of no more than 40 percent of the dimensional standards given in MCC 39.8205 upon finding that all the following standards in (A) through (E) are met:

(A) Granting the adjustment will equally or better meet the purpose of the regulation to be modified; and

Applicant'sThe Applicant is requesting an Adjustment Review for Parcel 1's front lot lineFinding:length along NW Charlton Road. While all three parcels will share the existing
driveway through a shared driveway easement, Parcel 1 already contains 46'
of frontage along Charlton Road. That said, the relevant front lot line length
requirement for Parcel 1 is 50'; therefore, an Adjustment Review is requested
for Parcel 1 for an 8% reduction to the frontage length standard of this Parcel.

The adjustment request is for a 4' or 8% reduction to the parcel's front lot line requirement along NW Charlton Road, which is severely constrained. The frontage for the parcel to-be-divided cannot be increased without a lot line adjustment between the subject parcel and adjacent properties under separate and unrelated ownership. Since the driveway is already being used by Parcel 1 (and the access was previously approved by Multnomah County), the adjustment request is only to meet the County's current front lot line requirement and will result in effectively no difference between Parcel 1's current front lot line length and its front lot line length after the land division.

Per the definition of "Front lot line" in MCC 39.2000, A minimum front lot line length is "a dimensional requirement to assure that a parcel or lot has sufficient street frontage and lot width near the street to accommodate a safe access driveway and reasonable building area after considering the required side yards." The building area and lot width are adequate to locate single-family dwellings in accordance with the MCC and M49 Order. The street frontage for Parcel 1, which is the Parcel with which this Adjustment Review concerns, is already in existence at 46'. This access has already been approved by MCC and was deemed a safe access driveway as demonstrated in the access documents provided in Exhibit "E".

(B) Any impacts resulting from the adjustment are mitigated to the extent practical. That mitigation may include, but is not limited to, such considerations as provision for adequate light and privacy to adjoining properties, adequate access, and a design that addresses the site topography, significant vegetation, and drainage; and

Applicant'sThe Applicant will abide by any reasonable mitigation efforts suggested toFinding:offset the impacts of this Adjustment Review request, although no impacts are
anticipated.

(C) If more than one adjustment is being requested, the cumulative effect of the adjustments results in a project which is still consistent with the overall purpose of the base zone; and

Applicant'sOnly a single adjustment is being requested; therefore, this criterion is notFinding:applicable.

(D) If the properties are zoned farm (EFU) or forest (CFU), the proposal will not force a significant change in, or significantly increase the cost of, accepted forestry or farming practices on the subject property and adjoining lands; and

Applicant'sThe property is zoned EFU. The basis for the land division is rooted in the intentFinding:of the state-level Ballot Measure 49, which was primarily to ensure that Oregonlaw provides just compensation for unfair burdens while retaining Oregon'sprotections for farm and forest uses and the state's water resources. Theadjustment is for a minor and ancillary aspect of the M49 request; that is, topermit an 8% reduction in the 50' front lot line length requirement. Thisadjustment will not force a significant change in, or significantly increase thecost of, accepted forestry or farming practices on the subject property andadjoining lands. The existing and permitted access has been providing thesubject property with 46' of frontage length for a number of years, and this

adjustment review will not result in any physical changes to the existing conditions.

(E) If in the Rural Residential (RR), Rural Center (RC), Burlington Rural Center (BRC), Orient Residential (OR), Orient Commercial-Industrial, Pleasant Hill Rural Center, or Springdale Rural Center base zone, the proposal will not significantly detract from the livability or appearance of the residential area.

Applicant'sThe property is not in any of the zoning designations mentioned in SubsectionFinding:E. of 39.8210; therefore, this criterion is not applicable.

MCC 39.8215 VARIANCE APPROVAL CRITERIA

The Approval Authority may permit and authorize a variance from the dimensional standards given in MCC 39.8205 upon finding that all the following standards in (A) through (G) are met:

(A) A circumstance or condition applies to the property or to the intended use that does not apply generally to other property in the same vicinity or base zone. The circumstance or condition may relate to:

(1) The size, shape, natural features and topography of the property, or

(2) The location or size of existing physical improvements on the site, or

(3) The nature of the use compared to surrounding uses, or

(4) The zoning requirement would substantially restrict the use of the subject property to a greater degree than it restricts other properties in the vicinity or base zone, or

(5) A circumstance or condition that was not anticipated at the time the Code requirement was adopted.

6) The list of examples in (1) through (5) above shall not limit the consideration of other circumstances or conditions in the application of these approval criteria.

Applicant'sThe Measure 49 Order provided allows the creation of two new parcels and a
remnant parcel, with a home on each parcel. MCC 39.9510(D) and (E), does
not permit a stacked flag lot configuration, which would be required to serve
the number of parcels authorized by the Measure 49 Order. Instead, MCC
39.9510(E) states that a "private accessway" should be utilized (in lieu of the
stacked flag lot configuration that is explicitly prohibited by MCC 39.9510).

The front lot line length requirement for parcels in the EFU zone is 50 feet, as indicated in MCC 39.4245(C). Variances are required to reduce the minimum front lot line length to 0' for Parcels 2 and 3. All parcels will take access from a shared access driveway; however, Parcel 1 requires an adjustment review and not a variance because its existing front lot line length is 46 feet, just 4 feet

under the 50-foot front lot line length requirement and therefore under the Adjustment Review threshold of 40%.

Section IV under Subsection 1. of the M49 Order states the following:

"Each dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel and must be contained within the property on which the claimant is eligible for a Measure 49 relief. The establishment of a land division or dwelling based on this home site authorization must comply with all applicable standards governing the siting or development of the land division or dwelling. However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of the land division or dwelling, unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law."

Given issues of access as described above, the method to achieve land use approval for this Measure 49 authorized partition, which was recommended by County Staff, is to request a variance to the front lot line length requirements.

(B) The circumstance or condition in (A) above that is found to satisfy the approval criteria is not of the applicant's or present property owner's making and does not result solely from personal circumstances of the applicant or property owner. Personal circumstances include, but are not limited to, financial circumstances.

Applicant'sThe circumstances delineated above clearly do not stem from the Applicant'sFinding:personal or financial circumstances – the basis for the land division is rooted
in the intent of the state-level Ballot Measure 49, which was primarily to ensure
that Oregon law provides just compensation for unfair burdens while retaining
Oregon's protections for farm and forest uses and the state's water resources.

(C) There is practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship to the property owner in the application of the dimensional standard.

Applicant'sThe Applicant intends to utilize a shared driveway easement to abide by theFinding:MCC and provide access to the three future parcels; however, this would
effectively reduce the front lot line length for Parcels 2 and 3 to 0', requiring
variances. The Applicant sees no other way to remedy these issues of access
in order to achieve the Measure 49-authorized land division request and
intends to follow the regulatory path recommended by County Staff.

(D) The authorization of the variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to property in the vicinity or base zone in which the property is located, or adversely affects the appropriate development of adjoining properties.

Applicant'sThere are already three dwellings on the subject property (one of which will beFinding:decommissioned or relocated), and the access for these dwellings is already in
existence via a driveway that connects to NW Charlton Road. Variance requests
to reduce the front lot line length to 0' for Parcels 2 and 3 will not result in
adverse effects to adjoining properties in any foreseeable manner.

(E) The Variance requested is the minimum necessary variation from the Code requirement which would alleviate the difficulty.

Applicant'sGiven that Parcels 2 and 3 will take access from the shared driveway easementFinding:and will not individually retain the required 50 feet of frontage per parcel, the
minimum variation to alleviate the difficulty is being requested.

(F) Any impacts resulting from the variance are mitigated to the extent practical. That mitigation may include, but is not limited to, such considerations as provision for adequate light and privacy to adjoining properties, adequate access, and a design that addresses the site topography, significant vegetation, and drainage.

Applicant'sThe Applicant will abide by any reasonable mitigation efforts suggested toFinding:offset the impacts of this variance request, although no impacts are
anticipated.

(G) The variance must be in support of a lawfully established use or in support of the lawful establishment of a use. Applicant's Response:

Applicant'sThe variance is being requested in conjunction with a lawful Measure 49 OrderFinding:to establish three parcels on EFU-zoned land.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Based on the above findings and the submitted plans and documentation, the Applicant has demonstrated compliance with the requirements of the relevant sections of the Oregon Administrative Rules and Multnomah County Zoning Code. Therefore, the Applicant requests approval of this application of an Administrative Decision by the Planning Director, a Category 3 Land Division, two Variances and an Adjustment Review.