

Multnomah 1600 SE 190th Ave, Ste 116 Portland OR 97233

Ph: 503-988-3043 Fax: 503-988-3389 multco.us/landuse

NSA **ROAD/UTILITY EXPEDITED APPLICATION**

PROPERTY

Nearest Address		Nearest Cross Street	For Staff Use
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (check all that apply)			CASE
☐ Road Closure Ga	tes Length_	Height ft	CASE NUMBER
☐ New traffic detection include signs)		weighting devices, or signal boxes. (Does not (120 sf max) Height ft (12 ft max)	State ID #
☐ New guardrails, g	guardrail ends, wire s	strand or woven wire access control fences.	
☐ Air, weather, wat structure		h & monitoring facility attached to existing (120 sf max) Height ft (12 ft max)	Alt Acct. #
way or previously di	sturbed easement.	ed inside road, utility, or railroad rights-of- Ditch Width (36 in max) ies (20 cubic yds. Max)	DATE SUBMITTED
☐ Trail Reconstruct	tion. May include up	to 1,000 foot reroute.	ZONING
☐ Decommission no	n-paved road: Includ	les ripping road surface, barriers, revegetation	ZONING
Develop new or n Size(120 sf r		ground/overhead utility facilities Height (12 ft. max)	MCC CITATION (For Qualifying Use)
	aboveground/overhea arger than the existin	ad utility facilities in the same location and	
no more man 15 % 1	aigei man me exism	ig facilites.	Related Case No
☐ New antennas/suj	pport structures nece	essary for public service on existing wireless s minimum necessary to provide the service.	
☐ New antennas/sup communication pole	oport structures nece s and towers if size is	essary for public service on existing wireless	Related Case No Open UR/ZV
☐ New antennas/sup communication pole	oport structures nece s and towers if size is	essary for public service on existing wireless s minimum necessary to provide the service.	
□ New antennas/supcommunication pole □ Outdoor lights APPLICANT Name	oport structures neces and towers if size is	essary for public service on existing wireless is minimum necessary to provide the service. Phone	
□ New antennas/supcommunication pole □ Outdoor lights APPLICANT Name Mailing Address	oport structures neces and towers if size is	Phone Fax	Open UR/ZV
□ New antennas/supcommunication pole □ Outdoor lights APPLICANT Name Mailing Address City	oport structures neces and towers if size is Other: State Zipcode	Phone Fax E-mail	Open UR/ZV By: Work in Road
□ New antennas/supcommunication pole □ Outdoor lights APPLICANT Name Mailing Address City OWNER (if work is	oport structures neces and towers if size is	Phone Fax E-mail Droperty)	Open UR/ZV By: Work in Road Right-of-Way
□ New antennas/supcommunication pole □ Outdoor lights APPLICANT Name Mailing Address City OWNER (if work is	oport structures neces and towers if size is Other: State Zipcode to occur on private p	Phone Fax E-mail Phone Phone Phone Phone Phone Phone Phone Phone Phone	Open UR/ZV By: Work in Road Right-of-Way Type:
□ New antennas/supcommunication pole □ Outdoor lights APPLICANT Name Mailing Address City OWNER (if work is Name Address	oport structures neces and towers if size is Other: State Zipcode	Phone Fax E-mail Phone State Zipcode Zipcode	Open UR/ZV By: Work in Road Right-of-Way
□ New antennas/supcommunication pole □ Outdoor lights APPLICANT Name Mailing Address City OWNER (if work is Name Address	State Zipcode to occur on private p City ant to make this applic	Phone Fax E-mail Phone State Zipcode Zipcode	Open UR/ZV By: Work in Road Right-of-Way Type: State County

☐ If no owner signature above, a letter of authorization from the owner is required.

Instructions for applicants:

The checklist below asks you to confirm facts or conditions related to the subject property and your proposal. The numbered paragraphs in bold represent code requirements or criteria for development in the National Scenic Area (NSA). Those criteria are addressed when you check a box below each numbered paragraph. By checking a box, you are confirming that the corresponding statement applies to your project. Staff concurrence is indicated by initials in the boxes along the right column of this form. Please ensure that you check a box under every numbered paragraph or staff will not be able to process this application under the Expedited Review Process.

Scenic Resources	
1. Any application involving Interstate 84 must first be reviewed for consistency with the I-84 Corridor Strategy by the ODOT lead I-84 Strategy Team.	Staff initial:
☐ This application does not involve Interstate 84. <i>The I-84 Corridor Strategy does not apply.</i>	
☐ This application does involve Interstate 84. The proposal has been reviewed for consistency with the I-84 Corridor Strategy by the I-84 Strategy Team. <i>The proposal is consistent with the I-84 Corridor Strategy.</i>	Attach agenc
2. The colors of structures topographically visible from key viewing areas shall be dark earth-tones found at the specific site or the surrounding landscape. The specific colors or list of acceptable colors shall be included as a condition of approval. This guideline shall not apply to additions, which may match the color of existing buildings.	Staff initial:
☐ The application is for an addition to or modification of an existing structure, or placement of a new structure on land that is not topographically visible from a Key Viewing Area (KVA). The KVA(s) the structure is visible from are The attached site plan illustrates how the structure is topographically screened from these KVA(s). This criterion has been met.	See NSA Handout #4: Expedited Development Review Process, for list of KVAs
Note to applicant: Show on the site plan the location of the terrain feature or landform that screens the structure with arrows identifying the vantage point	Attach plan
from which the site is viewed from the KVA(s). The application is for an addition to or modification of an existing structure, or placement of a new structure on land that is topographically visible from one or more key viewing areas. As shown in the attached color chip and site photograph, the above ground portion of the structure will be dark earth tones that are found at the site or surrounding landscape. This criterion has been met.	Attach color chip(s) & photo(s) of structure & surrounding landscape
3. Structures topographically visible from key viewing areas shall use low or non-reflective building materials.	Staff initial:
☐ The application does not involve a structure that is topographically visible from a key viewing area. <i>This criterion has been met</i> .	

	☐ The application includes structure(s) that are topographically visible from one or more key viewing areas. As shown in the attached samples, the above ground portions of the proposed structure(s) will use low or non-reflective building materials. <i>This criterion has been met</i> .	Attach building material samples
4.	Outdoor lights shall be directed downward and sited, hooded, and shielded such that they are not highly visible from key viewing areas. Shielding and hooding materials shall be composed of non-reflective, opaque materials.	Staff initial:
	☐ The application does not include outdoor lights. <i>This criterion is not applicable</i> .	
	☐ The application includes outdoor lights. As shown in the attached specification sheet, the proposed lights will be hooded and shielded and are composed of non-reflective, opaque materials. A site plan and/or elevation drawings shows the location of the lighting. Based on these drawings the	Attach spec sheet here
	lighting will not be highly visible from key viewing areas. <i>This criterion has been met</i> .	
5.	Structures within ½-mile of a key viewing area and topographically visible from the key viewing area shall be sited, screened and/or designed to achieve the applicable scenic standard (e.g., visual subordinance, not visually evident).	Staff initial:
	☐ The application does not involve a structure that is within ½-mile of and topographically visible from a key viewing area. <i>This criterion is not applicable</i> .	
	☐ The application includes structure(s) that are within ½-mile of and topographically visible from (a) key viewing area(s). As shown on the attached site plan, and exterior architectural elevations or rendered photo, the proposed structure(s) will be sited, screened, and/or designed so that it achieves the standard of: ☐ visual subordinance, or ☐ not visually evident	Attach elevations or photo of structure
	Explain how standard is achieved.	
		See NSA Handout #5: Designing for Approval
	This criterion has been met.	

Recreation Resources		
6. The development shall not detract from the use and enjoyment of established recreation sites on adjacent parcels.	Staff initial:	
☐ The attached site plan labels the uses on adjacent parcels. There is no established recreation site on an adjacent parcel. <i>This criterion is not applicable</i> .	Label	
☐ The attached site plan labels show that the property is adjacent to at least one established recreation site, but does not detract from the use and enjoyment of the site. The proposed development will not generate noise, dust, or odors at levels significant enough to impact the use. Also, the site plan shows that the proposed development would not interfere with access to the adjacent recreation site(s). <i>This criterion has been met</i> .	adjacent uses on attached site plan	
Cultural Resources		
7. The expedited development review process shall only be used to review proposed development that does not require a reconnaissance survey or historic survey.	Staff initial:	
Note to applicant: If an Indian tribe sends a letter in response to the application indicating that the proposal affects a treaty right or cultural resource, then the application can not be reviewed using the expedited development review process.		
Reconnaissance Survey Proposed development does not require a reconnaissance survey if it meets <u>any</u> of the following (check at least one that applies):		
☐ Is limited to the modification, expansion, replacement, or reconstruction of existing buildings and structures.		
☐ Will not disturb the ground (e.g. new overhead wires on existing poles)		
☐ Occurs on a site that was previously disturbed by human activities where the depth and extent of the grading does not exceed prior ground disturbance.	Show area and type of disturbance on plan	
☐ Involves minor ground disturbance, as defined by depth and extent (e.g. fence construction, installation of new meter, etc.)		
Widthx Lengthx Depth		
Note to applicant: The project will not qualify for expedited review if the Gorge Commission disagrees that the activity results in minor disturbance.		
☐ Occurs on a site that has been adequately surveyed in the past, or has been identified by the Gorge Commission, USFS Archaeologist, or private archaeologist as having a low probability of containing cultural resources. This criterion has been met.	Attach survey	

	Historic Survey	
	A historic survey is not required for the following activities (check at least one):	
	☐ There are no structures 50 years old or older on the property.	
	☐ There is/are structures 50 years old or older; however, the application does not alter the structure(s), nor does it compromise features of the surrounding area that help define the historic character of the structure(s). This criterion has been met.	
	Natural Resources	
	The development is outside buffer zones for wetlands, streams, rivers, ponds, and lakes. This guideline shall not apply to development located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained.	Staff initial:
_	The proposal is for development located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained. <i>This criterion is not applicable</i> .	Show rights- of-way or easement boundary on site plan
	As shown on the attached site plan, proposed development is outside buffer zones for wetlands, streams, rivers, ponds, and lakes. <i>The criterion has been met</i> .	suc pun
	The development will not adversely impact sensitive wildlife or plant species or is at least 1,000 feet from known sensitive wildlife areas or sites (excluding sensitive aquatic species, deer winter range, and turkey habitat) and known sensitive plants. This guideline shall not apply to development that does not disturb the ground or is located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained.	Staff initial:
	As shown on the attached site plan and confirmed by planning staff, the proposed development is over 1,000 feet from known sensitive wildlife areas or sites (excluding sensitive aquatic species, deer winter range, and turkey habitat) and known sensitive plants. <i>This criterion has been met</i> .	
	The proposed development does not disturb the ground or is inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements or other areas that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained. <i>This criterion is not applicable</i> .	
	Although proposed development is within 1,000 feet of a known sensitive	
	wildlife area or site, the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (for GMA lands) or U.S. Forest Service (SMA lands) has determined that the area or site is not active, that development will not compromise the integrity of the wildlife area or site, or that development will not occur during a time of year that the	See land use staff for agence contacts
	wildlife species are sensitive to disturbance.	Attach agency

confirmation

within 200 feet of a sensitive plant species.

☐ Although proposed development is within 1,000 feet of known sensitive

plants, a representative of the Oregon Natural Heritage Program or an expert in botany or plant ecology has determined that development will not occur

NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION

In accepting this application for expedited review, the Planning Director is granting preliminary approval of the development. The Gorge Commission, U.S. Forest Service, Indian tribal governments, and property owners within 750 feet of the subject tract will be given 14 days to provide comments. If no comments are received, the decision shall become final at the close of business on the 14th day. If substantive written comments are submitted, the Planning Director will either modify the decision to address the comments and re-issue it for a 14-day appeal period or re-direct the application to full review if comments establish that the proposed development is not eligible for expedited review.

Comments must be directed to the applicable approval criteria. Those in **bold** above are listed in §38.7100 of the County code. Failure to provide comments during the comment period will preclude a right to appeal.

Conditions/Limitations of Approval

- 1. If, during construction, cultural or historic resources are discovered, the applicant/owner shall immediately cease development activities and inform the Multnomah County Land Use Planning Division, Columbia River Gorge Commission, and the U.S. Forest Service of any discovery pursuant to MCC 38.7045(L) & (M), or MCC 38.7050(H) as applicable. Once halted, construction activities shall not resume until these standards have been satisfied.
- 2. Approval of this land use permit is based upon the statements made in this application and attached materials. No work shall occur under this permit other than that which is specified in these documents.
- 3. Development of structures must be commenced within 2 years of the date of this decision, and completed within 2 years of the date of commencement. The property owner may request an extension of either of these timeframes, as provided in MCC 38.0700. Such a request must be made prior to expiration of the permit.

This decision is final at the close of the comment period unless comments are received. If no comments are received, the effective date of the decision is ______.

comments are received, the effective date of the decision is		
FOR STAFF USE		
At close of the comment period (check one that applies):	Staff initial:	
☐ No substantive written comments were received. The decision is final.		
☐ Substantive written comments were received. The Planning Director will issue a letter addressing the comments and may modify this preliminary decision.	Date:	
☐ Written comments were submitted showing that the proposed development is not elifor expedited review. The project will be reviewed using the full development review process.	O	
Any comments received are included in the County records for this application.		
☐ Fill out NSA DR Database Form for Gorge Commission and include copy with file.		