

2025-2027 Regional Funding: RFFA + Trails Bond

Presentation to EMCTC TAC June 1, 2022

Today's discussion

- 1. Review the Outcomes Evaluation report
- 2. Input on developing proposals for TPAC/JPACT discussion
- 3. Answering questions

Two funding sources = \$67.3 million

(Federal) RFFA: \$47.3 million (Local)
Trails bond:
\$20 million

29 applications

Funding category	Number of applications	Amount requested
RFFA	14	\$79,642,888
Trails Bond	7	\$9,611,010
Either	8	\$26,526,615
Total	29	\$115,780,513*

Four categories: funding source + project phase

RFFA

- Planning/ProjectDevelopment
- Construction

Trails Bond

- Planning/ProjectDevelopment
- Construction

RFFA funding criteria

2018 Regional Transportation Plan Priorities	Outcome(s) Being Measured (Project Criteria)				
Reduce barriers and disparities faced by historically marginalized communities, particularly for communities of color and people with low income.	Increased accessibility Increased access to affordable travel options				
Reduce fatal and severe injury crashes to move the region as quickly as possible toward Vision Zero, particularly for communities of color and other historically marginalized communities.	Reduced fatal and serious injury crashes for all modes of travel				
Climate Change Reduce greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks to reduce the impacts of climate change, particularly for communities of color and other historically marginalized communities.	Reduced emissions from vehicles Reduced drive alone trips				
Congestion Relief Manage travel demand and increase use of travel options to make travel more reliable on the region's busiest roadways, particularly for communities of color and other historically marginalized communities.	Increased reliability Increased travel efficiency Increased travel options Reduced drive alone trips				

Trails Bond funding criteria

- Provide people access to streams, rivers and wetlands.
- Include connections to or partnerships with trails of statewide significance.
- Close a gap in existing trail segments or a gap between major destinations.
- Demonstrate that trail acquisition or development has a high level of readiness (e.g. existing master plan, completed land acquisition, completed design work and local agency leadership).
- Leverage other public, private or nonprofit investments in the surrounding community.
- Focus on closing gaps and completing ready-to-build projects that fulfill the

- Regional Trails Plan, including land and water trails, particularly those identified as priorities by communities of color, Indigenous communities, low-income and other historically marginalized communities.
- Consider proximity to affordable housing and transit and connections to regional or local parks, local streams and rivers.
- Prioritize trails likely to be used by communities of color, Indigenous communities, low-income and other historically marginalized communities.
- Include universal design for people of all abilities.

How criteria areas were used

- Equity, Safety, Climate used for both funds
- Congestion used for RFFA
- Trails used for Trails Bond

Other RFFA performance measures

- Prioritized in Regional Investment Measure
- Provides/increases access to Target Industries
- Industrial/Commercial developability potential
- Residential developability potential
- Improvements to Freight network

DRAFT 25-27 Project Ratings				Legend:	BEST	BETTER	GOOD			
Project	Applicant	Fund Source	Requested amt		Equity	Safety	Climate	Con. Rel.	Trails	Overall
Trails Bond Planning/PD proje	ects									
Emerald Necklace Trail	Forest Grove	Either	\$	200,000	56%	63%	33%	N/A	53%	51%
Tigard-LO Trail	Tigard	Either	\$	245,000	67%	71%	56%	N/A	82%	69%
Brookwood Ped Overpass	Hillsboro	Either	\$	4,500,000	44%	71%	33%	N/A	71%	55%
Scott Creek Trail	Happy Valley	Bond	\$	89,562	78%	79%	44%	N/A	47%	62%
Westside Trail: Seg 1	King City	Bond	\$	210,000	44%	50%	22%	N/A	56%	43%
Westside Trail Bridge	THPRD	Bond	\$	1,907,500	89%	71%	33%	N/A	76%	67%
				avg	63%	68%	37%		64%	58%
				max	89%	79%	56%		82%	69%
				min	44%	50%	22%		47%	43%
				diff	44%	29%	33%		35%	26%

Risk Assessment Overview

Evaluation based on:

- Risks associated with inadequate scope, schedule, budget, or collaboration
- Risks associated with inherent project complexities

Evaluation considers:

- Different funding types (RFFA vs Trails Bond)
- Project development phases: completed vs requesting funding
 - Projects requesting planning funds not penalized for not being far in project development: evaluation criteria applied is specific to project funding stage
 - Projects requesting construction funds are expected to have more detailed understanding of risks and cost estimate

June TPAC: Input on developing proposals

- Focus on certain criteria areas or look at overall outcomes?
- Consider funding source for "Either" projects
- Balancing to available funding
- Incorporating additional information:
 - Public Comment, Coord. Comm. Priorities

Next steps

- Public comment: May 20 June 21
- Coordinating Committee input: July 22
- TPAC recommendation, JPACT approval, Council adoption: Sept, Oct



Questions? RFFA@oregonmetro.gov

daniel.kaempff@oregonmetro.gov robert.spurlock@oregonmetro.gov