

Jennifer McGuirk Multnomah County Auditor

Raymond De Silva
Nicole Dewees
Mandi Hood
Annamarie McNiel
Dorian Pacheco
Marc Rose
Mark Ulanowicz
Caroline Zavitkovski

June 10, 2022

Dear Multnomah County Charter Review Committee members,

Thank you all for serving on the Multnomah County Charter Review Committee. I appreciate your exceptional service to Multnomah County and its diverse communities.

I am grateful that the Government Accountability Subcommittee has voted to bring to you several Charter amendments that my office proposed. I write to express my appreciation for the subcommittee, to offer information about the language I had proposed for the amendments that are moving forward, and to make a final request that voters be given the opportunity to vote on the Auditor's budgetary independence from county management.

Ombudsperson

I appreciated that the subcommittee has proposed language for the ombudsperson that specifies the ombudsperson's purpose and the standards they are to follow. I ask the full committee to advance the subcommittee's proposed language to voters.

I also ask the full committee to bring to voters language that requires county management to respond to the ombudsperson's reports. This language could be similar to existing language in the Charter, which currently pertains to performance audits, and reads as follows:

The chair of the board of commissioners or the responsible elected official shall respond in writing to all audit reports stating what actions have been or will be taken to address the findings contained in the audit. The written response shall be made to the board and the auditor in the manner and time frame requested by the auditor.

This language will 1) ensure that the public record reflects both the ombudsperson's determinations and management's perspective, and 2) align the ombudsperson portion of the Charter with the existing section on performance audits conducted by the Auditor's Office.

Hotline

I am grateful that the subcommittee has recommended adding language to the Charter to codify the existing fraud, waste, and abuse hotline under the Auditor's office. I ask that the full committee present language to voters about the hotline that is similar to the proposed language for the ombudsperson.

I also want to point out that when my office recommended that the hotline be included in the Charter, we did not name it. This was intentional because a future County Auditor may identify that the hotline would benefit from a new name. Instead, my office recommended language that would speak to the hotline's purpose and the standards it is to follow. Those elements will be critical to ensuring the hotline is effective and transparent.

Much of my office's proposed hotline language is based on state law for local government hotlines, including how reports are to be referred to other agencies and how people who report to the hotline are to be protected. To ensure the hotline will comply with this law, I suggest that the full committee present the following language to voters with regard to the hotline's purpose and standards:

The hotline is established within the office of county auditor. The hotline shall be under the supervision and control of the auditor, who is solely responsible for its operation and management. The purpose of the hotline is to provide County employees and members of the public with a mechanism to report suspected fraud, waste, and abuse by County government and its agents, and to conduct investigations of reports submitted to the hotline. The hotline investigator shall be guided by best practices and state law for local government fraud, waste, and abuse hotlines.

I also ask that the proposed Charter language require county management to respond to all of the Auditor's reports on hotline activity for the reasons I outlined above with regard to the ombudsperson's reports.

Access to information

I am relieved that the subcommittee has recommended adopting language in the Charter that explicitly guarantees the auditor's timely access to records, information, and other materials related to audits. I ask that the language presented to voters also include my office's access to county government employees, information, and records related to all of the Auditor's Office's work. In other words, this ability to access employees, information, and records should not just be related to audits, but also should be related to work of the hotline and ombudsperson.

I also appreciate that the subcommittee has recommended adopting language in the Charter specifying that Multnomah County include a right to audit clause in contracts, and that County officials and employees who have access to confidential or limited-access property or records will fully cooperate with the auditor in developing a plan to provide information related to those materials.

With regard to specific language to bring to voters, I ask that the language my office has proposed, which is based on the Association of Local Government Auditors' model legislation for local government auditors, be advanced to voters.

Request to give voters the opportunity to vote on the Auditor's budgetary independence.

I also ask the full committee to give voters the opportunity to vote on the budget-related amendment that my office proposed. My office's initial proposed language was that the Auditor's budget be set at no less than 1% of the general fund expenditures budget on a five-year rolling average. That would support a sustainable office that would grow and shrink with the county and ensure the best audit service to the public.

I also provided the subcommittee with other options to mitigate the budgetary threat to the office's independence. These proposals were to provide 0.5% or 0.75% of the general fund expenditures budget based on a five-year rolling average, or to provide 0.5%, 0.75%, or 1% of the general fund expenditures budget on an annual basis.

At the Government Accountability Subcommittee's May 26 meeting, the subcommittee members discussed a budget floor for the County Auditor's Office that would be 0.5% of the general fund expenditures budget based on a five-year rolling average. However, the subcommittee members did not vote on whether to bring this proposed amendment to the full committee.

I want to ensure that the full committee is aware of the reasons my office presented this proposed amendment. I have attached an opinion piece I wrote that the *Oregonian* published on June 5. I ask you to read it in conjunction with this letter. Local government audit leaders have also submitted public comment supporting the proposed amendment, which I have attached for your convenience.

To reiterate a key point in my opinion piece, the County Chair oversees most areas that the County Auditor can audit. The County Chair's ability to shape my office's budget is a conflict of interest, given that my office's job is to assess and, often, criticize operations that report to the Chair. Generally accepted government auditing standards state that this budgetary structure presents a threat to my office's independence. In its model legislation for local government auditors, which is based on the standards, the Association of Local Government Auditors makes it clear that decisions about funding for the audit organization should not be controlled by managers or officials subject to audit. That is exactly the situation we have in Multnomah County because the Chair is both the CEO and a member of the Board of County Commissioners.

Funding at a set allocation would ensure that the Auditor has 1) the required budgetary independence from county management, and 2) an office that would grow and shrink with the county. In particular,

funding at 0.5% of the general fund expenditures budget, whether based on a five-year rolling average or an annual basis, would help ensure that the office meets minimum staffing needs for audits, the ombudsperson, the hotline, and community engagement/communications. The proposed language at the 0.5% level could be as follows:

1. In each annual budget, the board of county commissioners shall provide the auditor with the funding for the facilities, equipment, staffing, and services necessary for the office to perform its duties assigned under this Charter and County Code. Necessary funding shall be defined as an amount equal to at least 0.5% of a five-year rolling average of the county's adopted general fund expenditures budget.

Or

2. In each annual budget, the board of county commissioners shall provide the auditor with the funding for the facilities, equipment, staffing, and services necessary for the office to perform its duties assigned under this Charter and County Code. Necessary funding shall be defined as an amount equal to at least 0.5% of the county's adopted general fund expenditures budget.

Providing voters with the opportunity to vote on the Auditor's budgetary independence would mean that Multnomah County could potentially join the ranks of jurisdictions, including the City and County of San Francisco and the City of New Orleans, that have created allocations in their charters to protect the independence of their accountability office. Charter is the right place for such an allocation; the Association of Local Government Auditors states that legislation to support auditor independence "should be enacted at the highest possible level of authority, such as by voter-enacted amendments to a city charter or other applicable legislation." Voters elect the County Auditor who is directly accountable to them; voters should have the opportunity to weigh in on the resources available to their Auditor. They very well may vote no. But they should be given this opportunity.

I hope you will give it to them.

Sincerely,

Jennifer McGuirk, MPA, CIA Multnomah County Auditor

Opinion

Opinion: County auditor's office needs greater budget independence

Published: Jun. 05, 2022, 6:00 a.m.



Multnomah County Auditor Jennifer McGuirk talks with residents about county redistricting and her office's responsibilities at an event hosted by the Rosewood Initiative in September 2021. McGuirk writes that the current funding structure poses a conflict of interest that puts her office's independence at risk.

NEW!

By Guest Columnist | The Oregonian

Jennifer McGuirk

McGuirk is the Multnomah County auditor.

Multnomah County does not have a history of providing sufficient resources to the county auditor's office, the office dedicated to ensuring accountable, effective, transparent and equitable government. In 1998, the auditor wrote about having seven staff auditors and needing more. It's now 2022. Guess how many staff auditors I oversee as your independently elected Multnomah County auditor? Seven.

Advertisement

While the auditor's office has not grown, the county has. Since 2014, the county's general fund expenditures budget has grown from \$414 million to \$638 million and the number of employees has grown 15%. County functions have also expanded, including the creation of the Joint Office of Homeless Services and the Preschool for All program.

However, when the auditor's office does not keep pace with the county, the accountability we provide diminishes. We simply cannot conduct "performance audits of all county operations and financial affairs," as the charter mandates, nor can we provide the scrutiny voters deserve to make sure the county's taxpayer funded programs are operating efficiently and responsibly.



That's why my office is seeking greater independence from county management with a proposal to create a minimum level of funding for the auditor's office, based on the overall county budget. It's one of four proposals my team and I made as part of the charter review process that occurs every six years, in which community members examine possible amendments to put before voters in November. And while three of our ideas have advanced for further consideration – proposals to create an ombudsman, enshrine the fraud, waste and abuse hotline into the charter and ensure my office's access to information – our most critical proposal for budget independence is getting the cold shoulder.

The problem we're trying to solve is structural, not personal. As the chief executive officer, the county chair oversees most of the programs that the auditor can audit. But the chair also proposes the county budget, including how much to allocate to the auditor's office. That's a conflict of interest, given that my job is to assess and, often, criticize operations that report to the chair. Generally accepted government auditing standards state that this budgetary structure presents a threat to my office's independence.

In proposing the budget, the chair effectively defines the number of audits that the auditor's office can conduct. That means even as the county's spending and services greatly expand, I am limited in how many initiatives my staff can examine.

But more concerning is that nothing in the current structure could stop a county chair, unhappy with an audit, from retaliating by proposing to cut the auditor's budget.

That hasn't happened in my experience. In fact, at the same time I proposed the budget amendment, I requested additional resources for my office as part of the annual budget process. Chair Deborah Kafoury has included my office's request for four additional staff auditors in her proposed budget for the upcoming fiscal year. But this provides no assurance that the county's future growth will be complemented by commensurate growth in the auditor's office. It also does not change the fact that the process itself is deeply flawed.

Several auditors have already written in support of the proposal I put forward, and Portland voters have already approved changes granting greater independence for the city auditor. I have suggested an allocation as little as 0.5% of the county's general fund expenditures budget on a five-year rolling average.

But the subcommittee of volunteers vetting proposals chose not to advance the concept to the full Charter Review Committee for consideration at its June 15 meeting. This is very troubling because it essentially says that the chair's ability to propose the auditor's budget is more important than the auditor's budgetary independence from county management. The CEO of the organization, however, shouldn't get to set the size of your accountability office - or influence the number of audits it can carry out on your behalf.

I am disappointed by the subcommittee's decision. If you would like the opportunity to vote on this matter, I ask you to submit a public comment to the Charter Review Committee by 12 noon on Friday, June 10, prior to its next meeting. Fill out this form to submit your comments, or you can send an email to Kali.Odell@multco.us with the subject line "Public Comment."

Sign	up for our free Oregon Opinion newsletter. Email:
9.6.	Subscribe

Share your opinion

Submit your essay of 500-600 words on a highly topical issue or a theme of particular relevance to the Pacific Northwest, Oregon and the Portland area to commentary@oregonian.com. Please include your email and phone number for verification.

Note to readers: if you purchase something through one of our affiliate links we may earn a commission.

Around the Web

Hunter Douglas Shades-Stay Comfortable All Year Long

Hunter Douglas | Sponsored

My New Orleans with Emeril Lagasse

OregonLive.com



Association of Local Government Auditors

May 20, 2022

Dear Government Accountability Subcommittee members,

The Association of Local Government Auditors recently became aware of proposed amendments to the Multnomah County Charter that will enhance the independence of the County Auditor's Office and provide greater transparency to the public about the County Auditor's roles and responsibilities. Specifically, the proposed amendments will:

- Ensure that the existing fraud, waste, and abuse hotline reports to the County Auditor and will be operated in accordance with state law and with best practices for fraud, waste, and abuse hotlines
- Establish a county ombudsman who reports to the County Auditor
- Ensure the County Auditor's access to information, data, and officials
- Remove the threat to County Auditor independence that exists in Multnomah County's budgeting-setting process

ALGA is a professional organization with more than 300 member organizations located primarily in the United States and Canada. ALGA is committed to supporting independent performance auditing in local governments, and membership includes local government auditors as well as local government inspectors general.

ALGA believes that an independent performance audit function, operating under applicable auditing standards, plays a key role in effective governance and public accountability. An independent audit function also provides assurance to elected officials, residents, and management that resources are protected by strong management controls and practices; reports on the results and outcomes of programs and services; and helps ensure compliance with laws, policies and procedures.

ALGA has published best practices for local government auditing on our website, at www.algaonline.org under the "Build an Audit Function" tab. These publications include Auditor Independence and Model Legislation Guidelines for Local Government Auditors. We note the proposed charter amendments are consistent with our guidelines and model legislation. For example:

 Model legislation states that auditors should have unrestricted access to local government employees, officials, records, and physical properties. Clarifying language in the Multnomah County Charter about the Auditor's access to information would provide a needed safeguard and improve transparency about the Auditor's information access.



Association of Local Government Auditors

- Model legislation states, "Auditor's budget shall be submitted to the governing body directly by the Auditor or by an Audit Committee independent of management" and notes that some jurisdictions allocate funding to the audit function through a percentage formula. Because the Multnomah County Chair is both the chief executive officer and a member of the legislative body, there is no budget consideration that is independent of management. The proposed amendment to set the Auditor's budget by establishing an allocation formula removes a threat to independence based upon funding decisions being controlled by managers or officials subject to audit.
- Model legislation states that legislation to support auditor independence "should be
 enacted at the highest possible level of authority, such as by voter-enacted amendments to
 a city charter or other applicable legislation." Enacting the proposed amendments in the
 County Charter enhances the Auditor's independence.

ALGA's Advocacy Committee would be happy to offer additional information, or to meet with you to discuss these matters. You can reach me at anoble@atlantaga.gov or 404-330-6750.

Sincerely,

Amanda Noble, CIA, CISA

and 71h

Atlanta City Auditor

Chair, ALGA Advocacy Committee

CC: Justin Anderson, ALGA President

Dear Government Accountability Subcommittee Members,

As the County Auditor-Elect of Washington County, Oregon, I want to express my strong support for the proposed amendments to the Auditor portion of the Multnomah County Charter. The goals of the County Auditor's proposed amendments are to support the independence of the Auditor's Office and to provide greater transparency to the public about the County Auditor's roles and responsibilities.

Specifically, I support the County Auditor's priority amendments to the Multnomah County Charter that will:

- Ensure that the existing fraud, waste, and abuse hotline reports to the County Auditor and will be operated in accordance with state law and with best practices for fraud, waste, and abuse hotlines.
- Establish a county ombudsman who reports to the County Auditor.
- Ensure the County Auditor's access to information, data, and officials.
- Remove the threat to County Auditor independence that exists in Multnomah County's budgeting-setting process.

The County Auditor portion of the Multnomah County Charter has not been updated for a couple of decades. Since that time, the Association of Local Government Auditors (ALGA) has developed and updated model legislation for local government auditing. The model legislation is based on Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS). These are set by the U.S. Government Accountability Office, which is the top government auditing organization for the United States. Multnomah County's Charter Review process provides a unique opportunity for Multnomah County to align the Auditor portion of the Charter with ALGA's the model legislation and current government auditing standards.

Government auditing standards include, for example, that auditors should have access to records and documents related to the agency, program, or function being audited and access to government officials or other individuals as needed to conduct the engagement. Auditors are also to report when they experience denials of, or excessive delays in, access to certain records or individuals.

Auditors should have unrestricted access to local government employees, officials, records, and physical properties. As County Auditor Jennifer McGuirk has shared with the Subcommittee, the Auditor's Office's access to information and properties has been negatively impacted during audits. While the County Auditor has so far succeeded in eventually obtaining needed information, the delays have impeded the work of the County Auditor's Office. I have these challenges in my own audit organization, and it is frustrating, because this work is carried out in the public interest and to support the transparency and accountability of government. There is also no guarantee that the Multnomah County Auditor's Office will continue to be successful in obtaining necessary access to records, officials, and properties. Having clear language in the

Multnomah County Charter about the Auditor's access to information would provide a needed safeguard and improve transparency about the Auditor's information access.

Another way in which the proposed amendments will align the Multnomah County Auditor's Office with ALGA's model legislation and government auditing standards is to strengthen the Auditor's budgetary independence. The County Auditor's Office has correctly identified a threat to its independence in the form of restrictions on funds or other resources provided to the audit organization. These adversely affect the audit organization's ability to carry out its responsibilities. The audit activity must have funding appropriate to its responsibilities, and decisions about funding for the audit organization should not be controlled by managers or officials subject to audit. I have seen other jurisdictions establish a minimum threshold, or an allocation method, for the audit budget to address this issue. I encourage this approach, because it takes any politics out of the budget process when it comes to the County Auditor's Office.

I strongly advocate the proposed amendments to the Auditor section be made in the Multnomah County Charter, and not in code. The provisions should have the highest possible level of authority. The County Auditor is elected on a countywide basis and is directly accountable to voters. Voters should have the opportunity to weigh in on how their County Auditor's Office functions.

I ask you to support bringing the County Auditor's proposed amendments to the Auditor portion of the Multnomah County Charter to voters.

With many thanks,

Kristine Adams-Wannberg, CIA, CGAP Washington County Auditor-Elect

kristine4auditor@gmail.com 1457 SE 53rd Ave Hillsboro, OR 97123

Cc: Multnomah County Auditor Jennifer McGuirk



Clarification of my support for charter change

Gary Blackmer <gary.blackmer@gmail.com>
To: Kali Odell <kali.odell@multco.us>
Co: Jennifer McGuirk <jennifer.mcguirk@multco.us>

Fri, Jun 10, 2022 at 8:53 AM



External Sender - Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links, and Requests for Payment or Login Information.

To the Government Accountability Subcommittee,

I support the charter proposal of Auditor McGuirk to assure the relatively small, limited investment in the Multnomah County Auditor's office.

Multnomah County services make enormous and critical contributions to our community. Yet, many programs are the most difficult to manage and assess because they are intended to improve the lives of individuals who struggle with behavioral, economic, and social challenges. The needs will always outstrip the county's resources, making it even more imperative for an auditor to ensure that every public dollar has been spent fairly and wisely.

While an auditor's decision-making should always favor the greatest public benefit, outside factors can threaten that mission. Other county officials can make the same promise to the public while hiding their dislike of the auditor's work. The budget process is obscure enough to conceal attrition to an auditor's office without revealing their dislike. Worse, in Multnomah County, a displeased chair only needs two other votes to make harsh cuts. An auditor should not have to consider those possibilities in the performance of their duties.

I support all the proposals put forward by Auditor McGuirk to strengthen the role of auditing in Multnomah County. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Gary Blackmer

Former Multnomah County Auditor