

## 2022 CoC Rating and Ranking Criteria

The measures below are used to rate and rank all CoC renewal projects as part of our annual CoC grant application. Some of the data is taken from the Annual Performance Report (APR), JOHS Master Query Version 1.1 and JOHS Master Query Version 1.0 (for Comp Site data), all run through HMIS or the separate Comparable Database used by victim service providers. Other data is submitted by renewal projects through the annual OR-501 (Multnomah County) CoC Renewal Project Survey and the Operating Budget Survey. ***Measures that appear grayed out are unscored this year.*** The OR-501 (Multnomah County) Continuum of Care Board Collaborative Application Committee (CAC) reviews these criteria annually, approves all changes, and reviews and approves the ranked list of CoC projects. The CAC reserves the right to rank lower scoring projects that demonstrate the ability to achieve greater racial equity above higher scoring projects.

**Threshold Requirements:** All renewal projects must meet HUD project eligibility & project quality threshold criteria described in the 2022 CoC NOFO (release pending). Any project requesting renewal funding will be considered to have met these requirements through its previously approved grant application unless information to the contrary is received. All domestic violence (DV) providers receiving CoC funds must be state certified victim services providers and be in compliance with VAWA regulations.

Category	Measure	Rationale	Data Source	Scoring Narrative	Max Points (% of total)
<b>1. A Home for Everyone Priorities</b>	<b>A.</b> Degree to which the project serves AHFE priority populations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AHFE Priority: priority populations</li> </ul>	HMIS-JOHS Master Query	<b>5 available points.</b> >95% - 100% = 5 pts.; >90% - 95% = 4 pts.; >85% - 90% = 3 pts; ...<=75% = 0 pts.	5 (6%)
<b>2. Performance Outcomes</b>	<b>B.</b> Degree to which housing outcomes for participants of color are equal to or greater than housing outcomes for non-Hispanic, White participants. (see Measure 2.C. for detail on housing outcomes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AHFE Priority: focus on advancing racial equity</li> <li>AHFE System Performance Measure: effectiveness in housing placement and retention</li> <li>AHFE Community Guidelines</li> <li>AHFE System Performance Measure: effectiveness in increasing income</li> <li>HUD 2022 NOFA</li> </ul>	HMIS-JOHS Master Query	<b>10 available points.</b> Up to 10 points for outcomes for participants of color at or above those for Non-Hispanic, White participants.	35 (40%)
	<b>C. For permanent supportive housing</b> Housing: Percent of households that remain for 366 or more days or exit to positive destination. OR <b>For transitional and rapid re-housing</b> Housing: Percent of households that exit to positive destination.		HMIS-JOHS Master Query	<b>13 available points.</b> Up to 4 points based on quintile ranking. Remaining 9 points awarded based upon proximity of project outcome to HUD goal of 80%: >=80% = 9 pts.; 79%< - <80% = 8 pts.; 78%< - <=79% = 7 pts.;...<=72% = 0 pts.	
	<b>D.</b> Income: Percent of adult participants who maintained or increased income from employment by annual assessment or exit.		HMIS-APR	<b>4 available points.</b> Up to 2 points based on tertile ranking. Remaining 2 points awarded based upon proximity of project outcome to HUD goal of 20%: >=20% = 2 pts.; 19% - <20% = 1.5 pts.; 18% - <19% = 1 pt.; 17% - <18% = 0.5 pts.	
	<b>E.</b> Income: Percent of adult participants who maintained or increased income from sources other than employment by annual assessment or exit.		HMIS-APR	<b>4 available points.</b> Scoring identical to 2D.	

Category	Measure	Rationale	Data Source	Scoring Narrative	Max Points (% of total)
	F. Income/benefits: Percent of adult participants who maintained or obtained non-cash mainstream benefits by annual assessment or exit.		HMIS-APR	<b>4 available points.</b> Up to 4 points based on quintile ranking.	
	G. Income/benefits: Percent of adult participants who maintained or obtained health insurance by annual assessment or exit.		HMIS-APR	Not scored this year.	
<b>3. Advancing Racial Equity and Cultural Responsiveness</b>	H. Addressing institutional racism: Complete the checklist to indicate what methods are used, and describe some of the project's most important strategies for addressing and responding to institutional racism, and any significant successes and challenges that the project experienced in this work over the past year.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AHFE Priority: promote racial justice and advance racial equity</li> <li>• AHFE Priority: provide participant-centered, culturally-responsive services</li> <li>• AHFE Community Guidelines</li> <li>• HUD Equal Access Final Rule</li> <li>• HUD 2022 NOFO</li> </ul>	Project Survey, Questions 5-6	<b>6 available points.</b> See <i>2022 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Rubric</i> for details.	22 (25%)
	I. Reducing homelessness within communities of color: The 2022 Multnomah County Point-In-Time Count preliminary data report shows a disproportionately high rate (almost 40%) of our community's population experiencing homelessness are people of color. Additionally, the AHFE FY 2022 Second Quarter Report (July-December 2021) shows 43.8% of the sheltered homeless population identify as Black, Indigenous, Latinx or other Persons of Color. For the past several years, we've asked you to describe what actions your project was taking to help reduce homelessness within communities of color. Please provide an update on this work. Include a description of the work the project did last year, including successes and any substantive program changes, and plans for the year ahead.		Project Survey, Question 7	<b>6 available points.</b> See <i>2022 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Rubric</i> for details.	
	J. Implementing culturally-responsive programming: Complete the checklist to indicate what methods are used, and describe some of the project's most important strategies to ensure culturally-responsive programming and any significant successes that the project experienced providing these services over the past year. <i>For Culturally Specific Organizations: Describe some of the project's most important strategies that determine what type of culturally specific programming is provided, and any significant successes that the project experienced providing these services over the past year.</i>		Project Survey, Questions 8-9	<b>5 available points.</b> See <i>2022 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Rubric</i> for details.	
	K. Addressing barriers faced by people who identify as LGBTQIA2S+: For the past few years, we've asked you to describe what actions the project is taking to address specific barriers faced by people experiencing homelessness who identify as LGBTQIA2S+. Please provide an update on this work. Include a description of the work the project did last year, including		Project Survey, Question 10	<b>5 available points.</b> See <i>2022 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Rubric</i> for details.	

Category	Measure	Rationale	Data Source	Scoring Narrative	Max Points (% of total)
	successes and any substantive program changes, and plans for the year ahead.				
<b>4. Program Design: effective practices and service delivery model</b>	<b>L.</b> Collecting and using consumer feedback: Complete the checklists to indicate what methods are used, and describe how participant feedback informed changes or improvements to the project over the last year.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AHFE Priority: provide participant-centered, culturally-responsive services</li> </ul>	Project Survey, Questions 2-4	<b>6 available points.</b> See 2022 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Rubric for details.	16 / 18 for DV projects (18%)
	<b>M.</b> Implementing participant-centered, strengths-based approaches: Complete the checklist to indicate what methods are used, and describe some of the project's most important strategies to ensure participant-centered, strengths-based approaches and any significant successes that the project experienced providing these services over the past year.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AHFE Community Guidelines</li> <li>HUD requirements for shared standards of local service delivery</li> <li>HUD policy priority for Housing First</li> </ul>	Project Survey, Questions 11-12	<b>5 available points.</b> See 2022 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Rubric for details.	
	<b>N.</b> Implementing a housing first approach: Complete the checklist to indicate what methods are used, and describe some of the project's most important strategies to ensure a housing first approach and any significant successes that the project experienced providing these services over the past year.		Project Survey, Questions 13-14	<b>5 available points.</b> See 2022 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Rubric for details.	
	<b>Victim Service Providers Only:</b> <b>O.</b> Increasing safety: Describe some of the project's most important strategies for improving safety for DV survivors, and how the project assesses improvements to participant safety.*  <i>*Improvements to safety are also evaluated separately as part of the CoC's Domestic Violence System's performance evaluation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Multnomah County Domestic and Sexual Violence Continuum service priority</li> <li>HUD directive to evaluate DV projects based on degree they improve safety for the population they serve</li> </ul>	Project Survey, Questions 15	<b>2 available points.</b> See 2022 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Rubric for details.	
<b>5. HMIS Data Entry</b>	<b>P.</b> Percent of identified data elements that are not missing. Includes data for required annual assessment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AHFE System Performance Measure: data completeness standards</li> <li>HUD data standards</li> </ul>	HMIS-APR	<b>4 available points.</b> >98% - 100% = 4 pts.; >96% - 98% = 3 pts.; >94% - 96% = 2 pts.; >92% - 94% = 1 pt.; <=92% = 0 pts.	8 (9%)
	<b>Q.</b> Percent of HMIS data entered in less than 7 days		HMIS-APR	<b>4 available points.</b> Up to 2 points based on tertile ranking. Remaining 2 points awarded to projects with 50%+ of data entered in less than 7 days.	
<b>6. Grant Management</b>	<b>R.</b> Percent of HUD funds spent.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AHFE System Performance Measure: effectiveness in grant expenditure</li> </ul>	Project Survey, Question 16-19	<b>2 available points.</b> >95% - 100% = 2 pts.; >90-95% = 4 pts.; <=90% = 0	2 (2%)
<b>7. Cost Effectiveness (tie breaker)</b>	<b>S.</b> For permanent supportive housing: Project operating cost divided by stayers who remain for 366 or more days or leavers who exit to positive destination.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HUD directive to evaluate project cost effectiveness</li> </ul>	Project Operating Budget	<b>Tie breaker.</b> For projects of the same type with otherwise identical scores, cost effectiveness (CE) results will be	N/A

Category	Measure	Rationale	Data Source	Scoring Narrative	Max Points (% of total)
	OR For transitional housing and rapid re-housing: Project operating cost divided by leavers who exit to positive destination.		Survey, HMIS-APR	directly compared. For such projects of different types, comparison is of difference between the individual project's CE result and the median CE result for the given project type. For compared projects, lower result gets preference.	
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>88 (90 for DV projects)</b>