

Department of Community Services May 3, 2023

Question 1

Commissioner Meieran (District 1): Please provide the rubric/prioritization used for the ADA Ramps (program 90018B).

Response

Each ramp was evaluated to see if it conforms to ADA Standards. If a ramp does not conform to ADA, the ramp will be replaced.

Once a ramp is included on the list to be replaced, we have to decide what order to replace it in.

To determine the replacement order, we consider all aspects of the ramps location and give the ramp points for being close to healthcare, government buildings, transit, people who would benefit from the ramps, and other critical destinations or origins. The more people the ramp would benefit or the more critical services tha ramp is close to, the higher points the ramp receives.

All of the non compliant ramps were then packaged into six tiers based on their scores, with the Tier 1 group being the highest scoring ramps which are also the priority for reconstruction.

The ramp scoring criteria are below.

Table 3: Ramp condition scoring

Score	Description
1	Existing ramp meets ADA standard
2-3	Existing ramp does not meet ADA standard
10	No ramp

Table 4: Truncated dome presence scoring

Score	Criteria/Condition - Presence of Truncated Domes at Curb Ramps						
0	Truncated dome meets ADA standard						
1	Truncated dome does not meet ADA standard						
2	No truncated dome						

Table 5: Location scoring

Score*	Location Criteria							
1-3	Proximity to schools (closer proximity scores higher)							
1-3	Public transit boardings and alighting (greater values scores higher)							
1-5	Public transit bus ramp deployment (greater deployments scores higher)							
1-5	Paratransit LIFT program trips per month (greater trips scores higher)							
1-3	Proximity to people with disabilities (higher concentration of population)							
0 (no) or 3 (yes)	Located at medical facilities, includes: Veterans Affairs Facilities, Primary Care, County medical facility							
0 or 3	Close proximity to a public park and/or recreational facility							
0 or 3	Close proximity to a public library							
0 or 2	Close proximity to a police station							
0 or 2	Close proximity to city/civic services							
0 or 2	Close proximity to a fire station							
0 or 3	Proximity to a post office							
1-5	Proximity to land uses: major commercial and retail, major employment, and high-density multi-family housing developments (more sites scores higher)							
0 or 3	Close proximity to community centers, places of public assembly, and social services							

Tier 1 Ramps Scored (19-30): 86 Tier 2 Ramps Scored (16-18): 94 Tier 3 Ramps Scored (12-15): 92 Tier 4 Ramps Scored (8-11): 105 Tier 5 Ramps Scored (3-7): 103 Tier 6 Ramps Scored (1-2): 33

Question 2

Commissioner Meieran (District 1): Revising the land use code has been a very high priority for residents of unincorporated areas of Multnomah County. We have instances where the Code as written results in inequity, is in conflict with County priorities such as addressing climate change, simply doesn't make sense, and/or causes undue hardship to residents of Multnomah County. Land use is a fundamental responsibility of the County, and it is essential we are doing this core work. Was this included in the initial departmental budget? If not, why not? If so, why was it eliminated?

Response

In FY 2023, Land Use Planning was awarded \$500,000 in one time only funds to support a code analysis and update. Unfortunately, due to staff turnover and two unexpected large projects, the division was stalled from making progress. The Land Use Planning will continue to work on incremental code improvements through the annual code maintenance work and the division is pursuing grants as potential funding.

Question 3

Commissioner Jayapal (District 2): Please provide the background and history that supports the FY 2024 increase in Land Use fees.

Response

Land Use Planning is proposing a 15% fee increase in FY 2024 to most land use fees, consistent with 15% incremental fee increases adopted in prior years, in response to the Board's request for land use planning to more fully recover the costs of providing services. <u>Board Resolution No 2022-063</u> recommends annual fee analysis. Comprehensive fee studies in 2012 and 2017 confirmed land use fees needed to increase to reach cost recovery, and that is occurring incrementally over time to minimize impacts on community members seeking permits. Land use planning hopes to conduct another fee study in 2024 to assess progress in this cost recovery effort.

Question 4

Commissioner Rosenbaum (District 3): Please provide a ten year history of Multnomah County Animal Services FTE. Please include job classifications/FTE.

Response

Table showing Animal Services FTE by Fiscal Year.

	Fiscal Year 🗐									
JCNName	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Office Assistant 2	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Office Assistant Senior		4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	13.00
Office Assistant/Sr	4.00									
Program Specialist	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	5.00
Program Coordinator	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
Animal Care Aide	3.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	8.00	7.00				
Animal Technician 1							6.00	6.00	6.00	10.00
Animal Care Technician	9.00	9.00	11.00	9.00	9.00	10.00				
Animal Technician 2							11.00	11.00	11.00	14.00
Veterinary Technician	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Animal Control Officer 2	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Animal Control Officer 1	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Animal Control Dispatcher	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00
Program Communications Coordinator	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Operations Supervisor					2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	
Program Supervisor	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	4.00
Division Director 1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Division Director 2					1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Manager 1						1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Program Manager 1			1.00	1.00	1.00					
Operations Administrator	1.00	1.00	1.00							
Veterinarian	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00
Administrative Analyst										1.00
Animal Services Medical Director										1.00
	53.50	56.00	58.00	58.00	60.00	59.00	59.00	59.00	59.00	69.00