

Public Safety Coordinating Council Executive Committee Meeting

Tuesday, March 2, 2010 7:30 to 9:00 a.m. Multnomah Building - Room 315 501 S.E. Hawthorne Blvd.

Introductions, Announcements & Approval of the February 2, 2010 Meeting Minutes

Chair Dan Saltzman

5 minutes

Report on the Mental Health System

20 minutes

Karl Brimner

Review and Approve DSS-J Strategic Action Plan

20 minutes

Doug Bray & Judy Shiprack

Review and Approve LPSCC's Year End Report

30 minutes

Peter Ozanne

Overview of LPSCC Grant Process

LPSCC Staff

10 minutes

NEXT MEETING – TUESDAY, APRIL 6, 2010

Serving
Public
Safety
Agencies in
Multnomah
County



LPSCC Executive Committee Meeting

Summary Minutes for March 2, 2010

I. Introductions, Announcements, and Approval of Minutes

LPSCC Executive Committee

Members In Attendance

Portland City Commissioner Dan Saltzman, LPSCC Co-Chair

Multnomah County Chair Ted Wheeler, LPSCC Co-Chair

Chief Craig Junginger, Gresham Police Chief Phillip Klahn, Port of Portland Police

Chiquita Rollins, Domestic Violence Coordinator

Diane McKeel, Multnomah County Commissioner, District #4

Joanne Fuller, Director, Department of County Human Services

Judge Jean Maurer, Presiding Circuit Court Judge

Judge Julie Frantz, Chief Criminal Court Judge

Judge Nan Waller, Chief Family Court
Judge

Judy Hadley, Citizen Representative Judy Shiprack, Multnomah County Commissioner, District #3

Karl Brimner, Director, County Mental Health Services

Lane Borg, Director, Metropolitan Public Defenders

Lillian Shirley, Director, County Health Department

Michael Schrunk, District Attorney Scott Taylor, Director, Department of Community Justice

Suzanne Bonamici, State Senator

Other Attendees

Joslyn Baker, Multnomah County DVCO / DVERT

Doug Bray, Circuit Court Administrator Drew Brosh, MCSO

Nancy Cozine, Oregon Judicial Department

Jay Heidenrich, MCSO

Rob Ingram, Office of Youth Violence Prevention

Barry Jennings, Oregon Judicial Department

Matthew Lashua, Commissioner Shiprack's Office

Beckie Lee, Commissioner Kafoury's Office

Bobbi Luna, MCSO

Shea Marshman, County Auditor's Office

Gail McKeel, County IT

Matt O'Keefe, DCJ-CANS

Peter Pincetl, ROAR Alliance

Eric Sevos, Cascadia

Kathy Sevos, Volunteers of America

Tom Slyter, MCSO - retired

Carol Wessinger, Citizen

Corie Wiren, Commissioner McKeel's

Christine Pedersen, Oregon Judicial Department

Dara lamsongserm, PSU Grad Sudent Ellen Churchill, DCJ

LPSCC Staff

Peter Ozanne, Executive Director Elizabeth Davies, Public Safety System Analyst

Tom Bode, Intern

Council members approved the February 2, 2010 minutes. Chiquita Rollins distributed a document prepared by the Family Violence Coordinating Council that outlines what policy makers and leaders can do to address issues of domestic violence in their community.

Serving
Public
Safety
Agencies in
Multnomah
County

II. Report on the Mental Health System

Karl Brimner presented a strategic plan developed by Multnomah County's Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services. The plan has been compiled over the last year as the division gathered input. The plan outlines a number of strategies and projects designed to respond to the larger goal of developing and maintaining a system of care that meets the treatment needs of children, families and adults facing a mental health or addiction issue, and specifically prioritizes three core projects: (1) wraparound services for children, youth, and families; (2) crisis assessment and treatment center; and (3) the integration of physical health and mental health and addiction health. The plan also addresses the progress made within the Mental Health system since 2001, including the creation of a 24/7 call center, the Cascadia crisis response teams, and system of care changes that emphasize culturally competent delivery of services. The full report is available online.¹

Lillian Shirley and Judge Nan Waller expressed their enthusiasm for this report, both in terms of its readability and its contents; Judge Waller was particularly thankful for the recommendation to strengthen wraparound services for children, youth and families. Dan Saltzman affirmed the City of Portland's ongoing commitment to working with the County on these initiatives.

III. Review and Approve DSS-J Strategic Action Plan

Commissioner Judy Shiprack and Doug Bray presented the DSS-J Policy Committee's Strategic Action Plan for DSS-J by reviewing the history of the data warehouse in terms of its design and current use and by discussing their hopes for the warehouse in the future. Peter Ozanne then detailed the specific strategies outlined in the report, including increasing the overall demand for data, expanding the use of DSS-J data in reports, refining the organizational structure of DSS-J. A copy of the report will be posted to the LPSCC website.

Council members enthusiastically supported enhancements to DSS-J, such as the inclusion of data from new sources (such as Gresham Police, which is slated to begin using Portland Police's data system, PPDS, this summer) and the use of the system by non-technical users. Senator Bonamici expressed interest in using DSS-J at a state level to inform policy decisions. Generally, council members would like to see more statistics and analysis, particularly cross-agency linking, presented at LPSCC meetings, with the understanding that an increased use of data and reports could change the dynamic of LPSCC meetings from one of collaboration to one involving "robust" discussion and debate. To ensure that this type of analysis is done in accordance with best practices in data ethics and analysis, Lane Borg urged the policy committee to develop a set of standards regarding the use of statistics to ensure that DSS-J data is used correctly and interpreted in context.

IV. Review and Approve LPSCC's Year End Report

Peter Ozanne presented LPSCC's Year End Report by summarizing the goals, projects, and accomplishments of the Council through its Executive Committee, standing subcommittees, working groups and staff data projects, along with the successes of affiliated cross-agency public safety groups. The bulk of the discussion focused on the recommended "future directions," included at the end of the report, that would guide the Council's work in the

http://www.co.multnomah.or.us/dchs/mhas/

coming year. These recommended future directions include increasing the quality and cost-effectiveness of DSS-J, establishing a formal reporting relationship between LPSCC and the Board of County Commissioners, dedicating a portion of LPSCC's budget to a grant program, enhancing LPSCC's methods of communicating with its members, continuing to promote rigorous outcome evaluations, developing partnerships with academic institutions and selecting a new public safety topic for 2010. There was only time to cover some of the topics in detail:

Increasing the quality and cost-effectiveness of DSS-J

Council members discussed ideas for new DSS-J reports and opportunities to expand existing reports. Peter Ozanne mentioned LPSCC's upcoming redesign of the Safety Priorities Brief, which staff hope will include more compelling statistics and in-depth analysis. Chiquita Rollins suggested that LPSCC staff publish regular updates or a supplements to the brief that focus on certain relevant policy issues, such as the over-representation of minorities within the public safety system. Judy Shiprack would also like for LPSCC staff to explore opportunities to map some of the geographic data stored in DSS-J.

Establishing a formal reporting relationship between LPSCC and the Board of County Commissioners

Chair Wheeler discussed how the role of LPSCC would change if the Council were to make policy recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners. He remarked that although the move would be beneficial to the Board, it could also dramatically alter the dynamic of LPSCC meetings. Council members agreed with the Chair's assessment but showed mixed support for the proposed change. Some members would like for LPSCC to encourage more robust discussion of policy issues and formulate recommendations to the Board; Judy Hadley remarked that LPSCC initially served this function when it was first formed ten years ago, but slowly migrated into a reporting and information sharing body. Other members worried that this level of debate might be divisive and that the development of uniform policy recommendations would not be feasible given the composition of the Council. Sheriff Staton and members of his staff did not support changes that would replace the public debate taking place in front of the commissioners. Chair Wheeler envisioned a compromise between the two directions, suggesting that LPSCC serve as a body to frame the issues and provide relevant information and opinions to the Board, versus presenting uniform recommendations, but still provide information. He pledged to talk with the other commissioners regarding the relationship between the Board and LPSCC. [This issue will be raised at LPSCC's 3/30 briefing to the Board of County Commissioners]

Selecting a new public safety topic for 2010

The Council discussed possible topics for new workgroups to examine in 2010. Council members voiced support for (1) minority overrepresentation within the public safety system, (2) community based prevention and (3) the intersection of foster care and public safety. Other recommendations will be collected through the LPSCC Survey of Members and discussed at the Council's April 6 meeting.

Next Steps:

→ LPSCC staff will take corrections and edits to the Year End Report and submit a final version next meeting. The staff will also take recommendations for new focus areas for the upcoming year.

V. Overview of LPSCC Grant Process

Peter Ozanne provided an overview of a proposed LPSCC "mini-grant" program, designed to fund special projects from its workgroups through the use one-time-only funds over the past ten years. The maximum size of award would be \$25,000. Peter proposed releasing an RFP in the next month, with applications for grants due in May and final review /voting of the applications in June. Joanne Fuller suggested a longer timeline that would provide work groups with enough time to develop a thoughtful and well-developed proposal. Kathy Brazell-Sevos also recommended looking at the 2008 Public Safety Plan for "ideas" for worthy programs that have not received funding. There was a general consensus to extend the timeline to allow workgroups to develop their applications.

NEXT MEETING April 6, 2010

Questions, comments or suggestions?

Contact Elizabeth Davies at elizabeth.davies@co.multnomah.or.us or 503.988.5002