

## Program #91022 - City Supplemental Payments

O 1 1 T 11 11

2/19/2015

Department:Community ServicesProgram Contact:Tom HansellProgram Offer Type:Existing Operating ProgramProgram Offer Stage:As Requested

Related Programs:

Program Characteristics: In Target

### **Executive Summary**

Beginning in 1984 the County entered into intergovernmental revenue sharing agreements with the Cities of Portland, Gresham, Troutdale and Fairview, whereby dedicated County road funds receipts are transferred as county roads are annexed. The supplemental payments executed by this program offer, fulfill the funding requirements of these agreements as it pertains to County road funds.

### **Program Summary**

These agreements require the county to transfer prescribed revenue amounts the County receives from the County gas tax and state highway funding. For Cities of Troutdale and Fairview the supplemental payment formula is adjusted annually based on the Consumer Price Index – Urban Index for the Portland metropolitan area. The Cities of Portland and Gresham the supplemental payment formula is adjusted based on actual receipts collected by the County.

Planned FY 2016 Payments:

- City of Fairview \$13,000
- City of Troutdale \$16,000
- City of Gresham \$3,594,654
- City of Portland \$26,829,140

Between 1984 and 2014 the County has transferred 606 miles of roads to Cities.

This program offer does not deliver any County services and is submitted for the provision of a supplemental payment to the cities. The agreements remain subject to future appropriations by any future Board of County Commissioners or City Councils. The formulas in these agreements were intended to remain permanent unless amended by mutual agreement

Performance Measures								
Measure Type	Primary Measure	FY14 Actual	FY15 Purchased	FY15 Estimate	FY16 Offer			
Output		0	0	0	0			
Outcome		0	0	0	0			

#### **Performance Measures Descriptions**

The County road funds are transferred to the Cities where the county road funds are commingled into their transportation operating budgets. The agreements do not require the City to communicate how County funds were to be used. The Cities prescribed allowed use of these funds are defined under ORS 366 which requires funds only be used for the construction, reconstruction, improvement, repair, maintenance, operation and use of public highways, roads, streets and the administration thereof.

# **Legal / Contractual Obligation**

Funding obligations are prescribed in the city/county agreements

# Revenue/Expense Detail

	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds
Program Expenses	2015	2015	2016	2016
Contractual Services	\$0	\$29,854,799	\$0	\$30,452,794
Internal Services	\$0	\$264,194	\$0	\$274,075
Total GF/non-GF	\$0	\$30,118,993	\$0	\$30,726,869
Program Total:	\$30,118,993		\$30,726,869	
Program FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Program Revenues								
Indirect for Dept. Admin	\$88,065	\$0	\$91,358	\$0				
Intergovernmental	\$0	\$23,318,993	\$0	\$24,026,869				
Taxes	\$0	\$6,800,000	\$0	\$6,700,000				
Total Revenue	\$88,065	\$30,118,993	\$91,358	\$30,726,869				

## **Explanation of Revenues**

Monies transferred to the Cities originate from State Highway Money and County Gas Tax received by Multnomah County

## Significant Program Changes

Last Year this program was: FY 2015: 91022 City Supplemental Payments

No significant program changes are expected in FY 2016