

MULTNOMAH COUNTY JUSTICE REINVESTMENT PROGRAM

HB3194 DATA WORKGROUP

QUARTERLY REPORT:

FY15 Q4

JULY 2014 - JUNE 2015

Introduction

What is Justice Reinvestment?

Justice Reinvestment seeks to improve public safety by reducing spending on incarceration in order to reinvest savings in evidence-based strategies that decrease crime.

What Does the Program Do?

Our program is a collaborative effort to improve the assessment of criminal offenders in Multhomah County and provide them a continuum of communitybased services and sanctions. This combination of early assessment and intervention is provided to reduce recidivism while protecting public safety.

Who Runs the Program?

Our program is distinctive in the number of agencies that worked together to design and implement this approach to justice reinvestment. Our partners include: Portland Police Bureau, Gresham Police, Fairview Police, Troutdale Police, Sheriff's Office, Department of Community Justice, Oregon Judicial Department, District Attorney's Office, Citizens Crime




- Safer Communities
- Smarter Spending
- Data Informed Decision-making
- Sustainable Use of Prison Beds
- Enhanced funding for Community Services

Commission, Defense Bar, CODA, and the Multnomah County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (LPSCC).

How Do I Use This Report?

This report is a quarterly compilation of process and outcome measures designed to monitor the implementation and effectiveness of our program. This offers readers a snapshot of the program as well as a cumulative summary of all activity to date. The units of analysis vary so that some information is reflected in number of court cases, custody bookings, or people. Readers are encouraged to closely pay attention to the descriptive labels that appear throughout the report.



MCJRP Program Demographics

Date of Last Count: June 30, 2015


N=889 Unique Defendants	Assess	Assessment Group		oted Out	2013 ACS*	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Gender						
Female	191	23.3%	20	29.0%	387,488	50.6%
Male	629	76.7%	49	71.0%	378,647	49.4%
Race						
African American	180	22.0%	18	26.1%	42,214	5.5%
Asian	19	2.3%	1	1.4%	51,093	6.7%
Native American	7	0.9%	1	1.4%	6,674	0.9%
White	544	66.3%	45	65.2%	596,984	77.9%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	69,170	9.0%
Hispanic						
Not Hispanic	750	91.5%	62	89.9%	681,094	88.9%
Hispanic	70	8.5%	4	5.8%	85,041	11.1%
Age						
Younger than 18 yoa	13	1.6%	1	1.4%	152,686	19.9%
18-24 yoa	122	14.9%	15	21.7%	67,145	8.8%
25-34 уоа	296	36.1%	28	40.6%	141,873	18.5%
35-44 yoa	222	27.1%	14	20.3%	122,688	16.0%
45-54 yoa	130	15.9%	8	11.6%	98,819	12.9%
55-64 yoa	33	4.0%	2	2.9%	93,697	12.2%
Over 65 yoa	4	0.5%	1	1.4%	89,227	11.6%
RRI						
Measures Pending						
Total	820	100.0%	69	100.0%	766,135	100.0%
*Multnomah County Demographics (2013	American Comm	unity Survey 1-Ye	ar Estima	ites)		

Case Eligibility and Opt Outs

All MCJRP Identified Cases by Primary Charge Category

	<u># to Date¹</u>	
Primary Charge Category	Assessment Group	Opted Out
BM 11 CASE	87	3
BM57 - Property Case	351	33
Other Property Case	142	5
BM57 - Drug Case	53	1
Alcohol & Drug Case	183	20
Behavioral Case	72	14
Person Case	39	4
Vehicle Case	19	3
Grand Total	946 ²	83 ²

¹Unless otherwise noted in this report, 'to date' represents the time period of July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015 ²Slight inconsistencies between Primary Charge counts here and the Waterfall totals will be resolved in future reports.



opted ba	ck in.
----------	--------

Opt Out Reason by Case	Timeline	Total to Date
Opt Out Reason by Case	By Day 28	72
Notice Filed ²		40
Verbal ³		23
Refusal⁴		9
Late Opt Out⁵	After Day 28	17
Total Opt Outs Recorded		89
Cases Opting Back In		5
Total Opt Out Cases		84

²Notice of Intent to Opt Out filed with the court.

³Defendant pleas prior to completion of interview or defense team verbally declines MCJRP


⁴Defendant refuses to be assessed or refuses to answer enough questions for a valid LSCMI


⁵Opt outs filed after day 28

Assessments

	Completed To Date
LSCMI Interviews	595
In-custody Interviews Facilitated by MCSO HB3194 Escort Deputies	525*
Assessment Reports Completed	528

*Inconsistencies between this number and other custody data in this report is due to use of multiple data sources and will be addressed in future reports.






Custody

Booking and Custody Information ¹	# To Date
Individuals booked	893
Bookings ²	1,347
Releases	1,109
Jail Bed Days	60,152
Detention Center (MCDC)	16,261
Inverness Jail (MCIJ)	43,891

¹ Booking and Custody Information includes both pre-trial and post-sentencing bookings and custodies for individual identified as eligible for MCJRP.

² Individuals may be booked multiple times




Case Disposition

	<u>To (</u>	date
Case Disposition*	Assessment Used	Opted Out
Convictions	542***	73
Plea	537	71
Trial	5	2
Not Guilty	1	0
Trial	1	0
Dismissed**	8	1
Total Cases	551	74


*Case count of cases with a disposition date as of June 30, 2015

**Dismissed at sentencing pursuant to plea agreement. Cases dismissed prior to sentencing are considered no longer eligible for MCJRP and are not included here.

***Inconsistencies between this number and other disposition data in this report is due to use of multiple data sources and will be addressed in future reports



Sentencing information in these charts and tables is presented at the case level. Individuals may have multiple sentenced MCIRP cases. Sentencing informat represented by the single count with highest sentence type & the longest duration.



Initial Sentence Length by Sentence Type (to date)

Initial Sentence Type	Ass	Assessment Used			Opted Out	
	# Sentenced	Average (months)	Sum (months)	# Sentenced	Average (months)	Sum (months)
Imprisonment	143	27	3,865	26	29	761
Prison (DOC)	134	28	3,785	26	29	761
Local Control (Jail)	9	9	81	0	0	0
Probation	388	37	14,367	44	34	1,506
Bench Probation	4	26	102	2	24	48
Standard Probation	66	34	2,274	28	34	942
Specialty Court	34	37	1,272	14	37	516
DISP	2	36	72	0	0	0
Mental Health	2	36	72	1	36	36
START	30	38	1,128	13	37	480
120-Day Intensive Probation	284	38	10,719			
Total	531			70		

Sum of Potential Prison Months Diverted by Initial Sentence*

Initial Sentence Type	to Date (months)		
	Assessment Used	Opted Out	
Prison Months Avoided	11,129-11,673	743-784	
Local Control (Jail)	200-213		
Bench Probation	91-96	18-18	
Standard Probation	1,377-1,493	424-456	
Specialty Court	757-787	280-288	
MCJRP Intensive Probation	8,704-9,084	21-22	


Note: Data on prison months avoided was not available for all sentenced cases at the time this report was published. *Sum of Potential Prison Months Diverted by Initial Sentence is based on the top two convicted charges with the highest crime severity.


Offender Accountability


- Of the 946 Assessment Group cases, 487 (54.5%) cases have a victim associated*. *Slight inconsistencies between Primary Charge counts here and the Waterfall totals will be resolved in future reports.
- Of the 487 cases with a victim associated, 94 (19.3%) have a Victim Advocate assigned.
- Of the 487 cases with a victim associated, 485 (99.6%) were restitution applicable.
- *Of the restitution applicable cases, 100% had a restitution clerk assigned.*

Sentenced Cases with Restitution Ordered from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015						
	Assessment Group Opt Out Group		Total			
Charge Category	Cases Convicted and Sentenced	Sum of Total Restitution Ordered	CasesSum of TotalConvicted andRestitutionSentencedOrdered		Cases Convicted and Sentenced	Sum of Total Restitution Ordered
BM11	24	\$96,899	2	\$129,862	26	\$226,761
BM57 - Property Offender	98	\$1,530,347	12	\$30,750	110	\$1,561,098
Other Property	25	\$221,065	2	\$1,506	27	\$222,571
BM57 – Drug	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
Other Drug	1	\$12	0	\$0	1	\$12
Behavioral	11	\$122,938	0	\$0	11	\$122,938
Person	6	\$6,034	0	\$0	6	\$6,034
Vehicle	3	\$101,867	0	\$0	3	\$101,867
Grand Total	168	\$2,079,162	16	\$162,119	184	\$2,241,280

Intensive Probation Services: Part 1







Intensive Probation Services: Part 2

Jail Usage Post Sentence Date	To Date
Number of Jail Bed Days	4,989
MCJRP Probationer with Post Sentence Custody	185
Count of Post Sentence Custodies	266
Average LOS (per custody)	19.8
Number of Clients in Custody (as of June 30, 2015)	49

*LOS calculated post release. The custody days for clients still in jail on June 30 are not included.

Variables	All Offenders on MCJRP 120 Day Supervision To-Date (N=254)
	80% High / Very High
Risk/Need Level*	13% Medium
	7% Low / Very Low
Criminal Justice History	3.2 is ave # of previous felony convictions
Transportation	23% with transportation factors
Language	4% with language barriers
Physical Health	9% with physical health factors
Veterans	4% Veterans

Need Areas	Indicators	DCJ Referrals	Provider Admission
Housing**	92 report housing not available	-	99
Alcohol / Drug Issues* - Residential	169 High / Very High	137	65
Alcohol / Drug Issues* - Outpatient		103	52
Mental Health Issues**	66 with mental health factors	2†	0+
Mentor*	154 High/Very High Need Companions	-	72
Parenting Services**	107 with children under 18 yoa	3	9
Employment Services/ Education*	116 High / Very High	11	38
GPS/EM*	106 High/Very High Criminal History	54	54
Criminal Thinking*	107 High/Very High Pro-criminal Attitudes or Anti-Social Patterns	31	-

*Derived from the LS/CMI assessment. This instrument was designed to identify dynamic areas of risk/needs that may be addressed by programing in order to reduce criminal risk behaviors.

**Derived from MCJRP Assessment Report.

†Mental health services are also accessed through dual diagnosis AOD treatment programming.

Law Enforcement

Justice Reinvestment Detail	# To Date ¹
Days with Dedicated JR Detail Shifts	117
Phone Calls and Emails Received from POs	47
MCJRP Arrest Warrant Attempts	270
MCJRP Arrests Made Pursuant to Warrant	40
Other Arrest Attempts ²	23
Other Arrests Made ²	20
Conversational or Probable Cause Stop ³	273
Proactive Community Policing Patrols	109
PO Assists	20
Other ^₄	4

¹ 'To Date' represents JRD start date (11/1/14) through the end of the current reporting month.


²Tracked separately from MCJRP arrest and attempts starting February 1, 2015. Include attempt attempted warrant service and attempted service of PO detainers

³ Usually mere conversations or suspected criminal activity

⁴ For example, building secured, recovered stolen vehicle, etc.

MCJRP Outcomes

Revocations



Prison Bed Utilization

MEASURES PENDING

Recidivism

MEASURES PENDING

Acknowledgements

This report was developed by the Multnomah County Justice Reinvestment Data and Evaluation Sub-Committee. This committee is a collaborative effort of trained data analysts representing the agencies who helped develop and implement this justice reinvestment program.

Committee Lead:

• Kimberly Bernard, Ph.D.; Department of Community Justice

Committee Members:

- Lauren Brown; Portland Police Bureau
- Joel Bruhn; Multnomah County Circuit Court
- Ralph Holcomb, Ph.D.; Multnomah County District Attorney's Office
- Maegan Kingston; Defense Bar
- Shea Marshman, Ph.D.; Multnomah County Sheriff's Office
- Lily Yamamoto; Local Public Safety Coordinating Council

Committee Consultants:

- Roger Przybylski, USDOJ Bureau of Justice Assistance/NTTAC Consultant
- Sgt. Greg Stewart; Portland Police Bureau
- Katharina Wiest, Ph.D.; CODA