

Program #91022 - City Supplemental Payments

Tom Hansell

Department: Community Services **Program Contact: Program Offer Type: Existing Operating Program** Program Offer Stage: As Requested

Related Programs:

Program Characteristics: In Target

Executive Summary

Beginning in 1984 the County entered into intergovernmental revenue sharing agreements with the Cities of Portland. Gresham, Troutdale and Fairview, whereby dedicated County road funds receipts are transferred as county roads are annexed. The supplemental payments executed by this program offer, fulfill the funding requirements of these agreements as it pertains to County road funds.

Program Summary

These agreements require the county to transfer prescribed revenue amounts the County receives from the County gas tax and state highway funding. For Cities of Troutdale and Fairview the supplemental payment formula is adjusted annually based on the Consumer Price Index - Urban Index for the Portland metropolitan area. For the Cities of Portland and Gresham the supplemental payment formula is adjusted based on actual receipts collected by the County.

Planned FY 2017 Payments:

- City of Fairview \$13,150
- City of Troutdale \$16,225
- City of Gresham \$3,747,678
- City of Portland \$28,420,486

Between 1984 and 2015 the County has transferred 606 miles of roads to Cities.

This program offer does not deliver any County services and is submitted for the provision of a supplemental payment to the cities. The agreements remain subject to future appropriations by any future Board of County Commissioners or City Councils. The formulas in these agreements were intended to remain permanent unless amended by mutual agreement

Performance Measures									
Measure Type	Primary Measure	FY15 Actual	FY16 Purchased	FY16 Estimate	FY17 Offer				
Output	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Outcome	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				

Performance Measures Descriptions

The County road funds are transferred to the Cities where the county road funds are commingled into their transportation operating budgets. The agreements do not require the City to communicate how County funds are to be used. The Cities prescribed allowed use of these funds are defined under ORS 366 which requires funds only be used for the construction, reconstruction, improvement, repair, maintenance, operation and use of public highways, roads, streets and the administration thereof.

2/18/2016

Legal / Contractual Obligation

Funding obligations are prescribed in the city/county agreements

Revenue/Expense Detail

	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds
Program Expenses	2016	2016	2017	2017
Contractual Services	\$0	\$30,452,794	\$0	\$32,197,539
Internal Services	\$0	\$274,075	\$0	\$0
Total GF/non-GF	\$0	\$30,726,869	\$0	\$32,197,539
Program Total:	Total: \$30,726,869		\$32,197,539	
Program FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Program Revenues								
Indirect for Dept. Admin	\$91,358	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Intergovernmental	\$0	\$24,026,869	\$0	\$25,197,539				
Taxes	\$0	\$6,700,000	\$0	\$7,000,000				
Total Revenue	\$91,358	\$30,726,869	\$0	\$32,197,539				

Explanation of Revenues

Monies transferred to the Cities originate from State Highway Money and County Gas Tax received by Multnomah County

Significant Program Changes

Last Year this program was: FY 2016: 91022-16 City Supplemental Payments