Land Use Planning Division www.multco.us/landuse

1600 SE 190 th Avenue, Portland Oregon 97233-5910• PH. (503) 988-3043 • Fax (503) 988-3389

## AGENCY REVIEW

Attached is a site review permit application (as submitted). Please evaluate and comment on these materials so that we can incorporate your feedback into our completeness review. This is not a substitute for public notice of a complete application. Once we determine the application is complete an additional notice will be mailed (with any revised information), offering you the opportunity to comment or informing you of a date for public hearing, as appropriate.

National Scenic Area Site Review
To:
Gorge Commission/Cultural Advisory Committee
U.S. Forest Service NSA Office

Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
Nez Perce Tribe
【 Yakama Indian Nation
® State Historic Preservation Office
$\square$ Oregon Department of Transportation
$\boxtimes \quad$ PSU/Institute for Natural Resources
$\boxtimes \quad$ Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
From: George Plummer, Planner


Case File: . T2-2017-8459
Location: NE Hurt Road
Township 1 North, Range 4 East, Section 32B -02200
Alternative Account \#R053501970, Property Id. R111632
Proposal: Build a new single family dwelling and an accessory build including new driveway and utilities.

Your written comments are needed no later than 4:00 p.m., August 28, 2017.

Zoning: Gorge General Residential - 10
■ GMA
National Scenic Area resources that may be impacted by this project include:

| $\mathbf{X}$ | Key Viewing Areas | $\square$ | Cultural Resource | $\square$ | Wetland/Stream/Lake Buffer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Sensitive Wildlife Habitat | $\square$ | Rare Plants | $\square$ | Deer/Elk Wintering Range |
| $\square$ | Historic Uses/Structures | $\square$ | Natural Area | $\square$ | Adjacent to Recreational Uses |

MULTNOMAH CC ITY

LAND USE \& TRANSPORTATION
1600 SE $190^{\text {th }}$ Ave Portland Oregon 97233
Ph. 503.988.3043 Fax 503.988.3389
http://www.multco.us/landuse

Application
Form

## PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION

Property Address [Address not yet assigned. East of 30649 NE Hurt Rd.]
State Identification\#1N4E32B 2200
Site Size 7.71 acres
A\&T Alternate Account Number R\# 111632

## PROPERTY OWNER(S) $\square$ OR CONTRACT PURCHASER(S) $\square$

Name Richard A. Ray
Mailing Address 30649 NE Hurt Rd.
City Troutdale State $Q R$

Zip Code 97060 Phone\# 503.695.5454 I authorize the applicant below to make this application.


Property Owner Signature \#1
Property Owner Signature \#2 NOTE: By signing this form, the property owner or property owner's agent is granting permission for Planning Staff to conduct site inspections on the property.
If no owner signature above, a letter of authorization from the owner is required.

## APPLICANT'S NAME AND SIGNATURE

Applicant's Name Richard A. Ray
Mailing Address 30649 NE Hurt Rd.

| City Troutdale |
| :--- |
| Fax ___ State OR Zip Code $97060 \quad$ Phone \# 503.695 .5454 |

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION (REQUIRED)

Please provide a brief description of your project.
Single family home with driveway and outbuilding on R10 GMA property

For Staff Use
CASE NUMBER
T2-2017-8459
LAND USE PERMIT(S)
NSA 504969
HDP 504970

## DATE SUBMITTED

$$
8-4-2017
$$

Compliance
Related $\square$
Potential
Transportation
Impact $\square$
$\frac{P F-2016-6239}{\text { PF }}$
PF/PA No.

Zoning
$66 R-10$
Zoning District
Zoning Overlay.

[^0]| Property | Tax | Assessment | Improvement | New | Search | Printable | Logoff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Information | Summary | History | Information | Search | Results | Summary |  |



## Owner Name

RAY, RICHARD A
Owner Address
30649 NE HURT RD
TROUTDALE, OR 97060-9329

## Alternate Account Number

R053501970
Map Tax Lot
1N4E32B-02200
Portland Maps
Click to Open Map

## Property ID Number

R111632

## Situs Address

NE HURT RD
TROUTDALE, OR 97060

## Neighborhood

R020
Levy Code Area - Taxing Districts
358
Information on Ordering Copies
click to Open Order form

Paper ar bazernation

## Exemption

Expiration Date
(FOU) FOREST UNIT

## Tax Roll Description

BANNER AC, LOT $12 \& 19$ TL 2200, DEFERRAL-POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL TAX

## Parcel

Property Use
A - VACANT LAND
Related Accounts

Split/Merge Account

## Map Number

321N4E 1N4E32B-02200

## Account Status

A - Active
Year Built

Acreage

## Linked Accounts

R111617
Split/Merge Account Message
$\square$

## Special Account Information

```
DEFERRAL - POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL TAX
2016 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2015 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2014 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2013 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2012 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2011 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2010 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2009 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2008 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2007 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
```

```
2006 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFE L
2005 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERKAL
2004 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2003 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2002 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2001. - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
2000 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
1999 - (FE) FOREST LAND DEFERRAL
```

| Deed | Grantor <br> (Seller) | Grantee <br> (Buyer) | Instrument |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Date | Consideration |
| :--- |
| Amount |

## National Scenic Area Site Review Application Narrative

Address: No site Address; located directly north of NE Hurt Road and adjacent to 30649 NE Hurt Road
Map: 1 North, 4 East, 32B
Taxlot: 2200
Alt. Account \#: R053501970
Site Size: 19.42 acre
Zoning: Gore General Residential (GGR-10)
Landscape Setting: Rural Residential in Pastoral
Proposal: Construct a new single family dwelling and accessory building in the National Scenic Area Contact: Rick Ray or Erica Dunn

MCC $38.3025(A)(1)$ - The site is a legally created parcel that is not adjacent to land designated GGA or GGF. The proposed development includes a single family residence.

MCC 38.3025 (A) (2) - The site is a legally created parcel that is not adjacent to land designated GGA or GGF. The proposed development consists of a single family residence and small, 900 sf accessory building. The combined footprint of all accessory buildings is less than 1,500sf and do not exceed 24 feet in height.

MCC 38.3025 (A) (3) (a) (b) - The proposed accessory building is 900 sf and the height is $17^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$. There are no other accessory buildings on the site.

MCC $38.3025(\mathrm{~A})(3)(\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{b})$-The height of the proposed accessory building does not exceed 24 feet in height.
MCC 38.3025 (A) 4) - There are no temporary dwellings as part of the proposed development.
MCC $38.3025(A)(5)$ - There is no construction or reconstruction of roads as part of the proposed development.
MCC $38.3025(A)(6)$ - There is no new cultivation as part of the proposed development.
MCC 38.3025 (A) (7) - There are no land divisions as part of the proposed development.
MCC 38.3025 (A) (8) - There is no placement of structures necessary for continued public safety or the protection of essential public services or protection of private or public existing structures, utility facilities, roadways, driveways, accessory uses and exterior improvements damaged during an emergency/disaster event as part of the proposed development.

MCC $38.3025(A)(9)-$ There are no property line adjustments as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.3025 (A) (10) - There are no resource enhancement projects for the purpose of enhancing scenic, cultural, recreation, and/or natural resources as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.3025 (A) (11) - There are no agricultural structures as part of the proposed development.
MCC $38.3025(A)(12)$ - There are no agricultural buildings in conjunction with current or proposed agricultural uses as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.3025 (A) (13) - There are no additions to existing buildings as part of the proposed development.
MCC $38.3025(A)(14)$ - There are no docks and boathouses as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.3025 (A) (15) - There is no removal/demolition of structures 50 or more years old, including wells, septic tanks and fuel tanks, as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.3025 (A) (16) - There is no consolidation of Parcels and Lots as part of the proposed development.
MCC $38.3025(A)(17)(a)(b)$ - The photovoltaic system included as part of the proposed development is accessory to the single family residence that is permitted in this zoning district. It is not a commercial power generating facility, it meets all special district requirements, and is mounted to the roof of the single family residence. The overall height of the solar energy system does not exceed the peak of the roof of the building on which it's mounted.

MCC 38.3025 (A) (17) (b) - The overall height of the solar energy system does not exceed the peak of the roof of the building on which it's mounted.

MCC 38.3025 (A) (17) (c) - There are no wind turbine systems as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.3060 (A) - The proposed development is on a 19.42 acre lot that exceeds the minimum required lot size of 10 acres.

MCC $38.3060(B)$ - The portion of the street which would accrue to the lot if the street were vacated is include in the lot area calculation.

MCC 38.3060 (C) - The proposed development includes lots that meet the minimum yard dimensions of 30 foot front yards, 10 foot side yard, 30 foot street side yard, and 30 foot rear yard. The proposed development has a maximum height of $16^{\prime}$ which is less than the maximum allowable of 35 feet. The front lot line length is 134 feet which exceeds the required minimum of 50 feet.

MCC 38.3060 (D) - The abutting street has sufficient right-of-way width to serve the area.
MCC 38.3060 (E) - There are no barns, silos, windmills, antennae, chimneys, or similar structures that exceed the height requirement as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.3085 - The proposed off-street parking meets the requirements of MCC 38.4100 through MCC38.4215.
MCC 38.3090 - The proposed development is on a lot that abuts a street and the site access has been determined to be safe and convenient for pedestrians, passengers, and emergency vehicles.

MCC 38.3095 - There are no signs as part of the proposed development.
MCC $38.7035(A)(1)$ - Given the steepness of the existing site (between $10-40 \%$ in most areas, see Exhibit A), to minimize grading activities the proposed residence and accessory building have been sited towards the natural ridge line of the site where the topography flattens out to less than $10 \%$ slope. This is similar to adjacent developments as seen in the attached aerial, Exhibit B. The new driveway crosses the site in order to follow the existing contours as much as possible to minimize cutting and filling. This is similar to the property immediately adjacent to the east of the site as seen in exhibit B.

MCC $38.7035(A)$ (2) - The scale of both the proposed residence ( $2,862 \mathrm{sf}$ ), the attached garage ( 836 sf ) and the accessory building ( 900 sf ) is compatible with the general scale of similar buildings nearby, see Exhibit C for a list of the areas of adjacent properties as found on Portland Maps. Additionally, as a single story with a maximum height of $16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$, the proposed residence is shorter than adjacent residences which are primarily two-story buildings. This lower height allows the building to tuck in to the existing landscape rather than breaking the skyline.

MCC $38.7035(A)(3)$ - There is no new vehicular access point to the Scenic Travel Corridors as part of the proposed development. A new single driveway will be required to provide access on to NE Hurt Road. See site plan for location.

MCC $38.7035(A)(4)$ - The property owners will be responsible for the proper maintenance and survival of any required vegetation.

MCC $38.7035(A)$ (5) - The site plan contains all information required to determine compatibility with the landscape setting for the proposed development.

MCC $38.7035(A)(6)$ - There is no new production and/or development of mineral resources and expansion of existing quarries as part of the proposed development.

MCC $38.7035(A)$ (7) - There is no new production and/or development of mineral resources and expansion of existing quarries as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.7035(B) - The proposed residence and accessory building are located within the Columbia River KVA overlay. The buildings have been sited to avoid the Historic Columbia River Highway KVA and the Larch Mountain KVA (see Exhibits D, E, and F).

MCC $38.7035(B)(1)$ - To ensure the proposed residence and accessory building are visually subordinate to their setting, as seen from the Columbia River KVA, the new residence and accessory building have been tucked in to existing tree cover to provide significant screening on the north side of the buildings. A minimal number of trees will be removed as part of the development (see site plan for removed trees) and additional evergreen trees added to increase screening along the lower northern property line (see site plan for removed and added trees). The massing of the residence has been broken down to give the appearance of a cluster of smaller structures along the edge of a meadow, rather than a single large mass, in fit the character of the site's Pastoral setting. Additionally, the proposed residence and accessory building are both single story to minimize their height and allow them to sit higher on the site (in order to be located on the flattest area of the property) while avoiding breaking the skyline of the existing landscape as viewed from the Columbia River KVA. Low reflectivity finishes and dark earth tone colors have been selected so the new buildings maintain sub-ordinance to the existing landscape as seen from the Columbia River. Additionally, windows have been minimized and separated to avoid large sections of continuous glass on the north side of the structures (the side facing the Columbia River KVA) to avoid glare and reflectivity.

MCC 38.7035(B) (2) - See MCC 38.7035(B) (1) above.

MCC 38.7035(B) (3) - The proposed development is smaller in scale than recent development on adjacent sites (See Exhibit C).

MCC 38.7035(B) (4) - Please see the Exterior Elevations, Sections, and the material samples for a description of the proposed building height, shape, exterior building materials and exterior lighting locations. Please see the Site Plan for a description of the proposed landscape. Exterior finishes/colors include:

- Roof - Pac Clad Snap On Standing Seam 12" Roof Panels; prefinished in Dark Bronze
- Primary Siding - Metal Sales US T10-C wall panel, prefinished in custom color - Benjamin Moore Dragon's Breath, \#1547
- Secondary Siding - James Hardie Smooth Panel siding painted in Benjamin Moore Dragon's Breath \#1547; see Exterior Elevations for panel locations. Panel joints to be painted to match adjacent panels
- Accent Siding - Weathered Steel panels; see Exterior Elevations for location.
- Accent Siding - Cedar ship Lap Siding - Timber Pro Semi-Transparent Series, Teak \#104; see Exterior Elevations for locations
- Trim (at all doors, windows, and material transitions) - Boral trim painted Benjamin Moore Mopboard Black, \#CW-680
- Soffits - Cedar ship Lap Siding - Timber Pro Semi-Transparent Series, Bark \#152
- Windows and doors by Zola, Jet Black RAL\# 9005; see cutsheets for reflectivity
- Light fixtures to be shielded downlights; see Floor Plan for locations
- Accessory Building - Web Steel Buildings Northwest; Metal Roof and Siding in Dark Brown

MCC $38.7035(B)(5)$ - There are no proposed mining or associated activities on the property.

MCC $38.7035(B)(6)$ - The buildings have been sited on the property to minimize visibility from the Columbia River KVA. The proposed residence and accessory building have been located at the edge of an existing meadow that backs on to a large wooded area to the north and a smaller wooded area to the west, respectively. These forested areas form a visual barrier between the buildings and the Columbia River KVA. They are also sited to be completely outside of the Larch Mountain KVA and the Historic Columbia River Highway KVA (see attached KVA studies). Additionally, the buildings are sited to take advantage of the flattest area of the site (towards the natural ridge line), to minimize site grading, while also sitting down into the landscape to avoid being the highest point on the property. The buildings were not located in the middle of the large wooded areas at the north and south ends of the property to minimize the number of trees that would need to be removed for the development and because these areas are held in the Small Tract Forestland Program (ORS 321.700-754).

MCC $38.7035(B)(7)$ - As described above, the buildings are located in an existing clearing that backs on to existing wooded areas. The proposed locations provide a naturally level area on which to build in order to minimize site grading and allow the buildings to be tucked in to the meadow's natural edge while maintaining the existing wooded area on the north portion of the site to achieve visual sub-ordinance from the Columbia River KVA. Additionally, the residence is near the natural ridge line where the site is the flattest, but not at the highest point on the site to allow the residence to maintain its sub-ordinance to the natural landscape.

MCC $38.7035(B)(8)$ - Existing tree cover screening the proposed residence and accessory building from the Columbia River KVA will be retained as specified in MCC 38.0735 (C). To protect existing vegetation, all grading activity will be kept beyond the canopy of the trees as much as possible to avoid damage to the root structure. Existing trees to be removed for the development are primarily deciduous and do not provide screening from the Columbia River KVA.

MCC $38.7035(B)$ (9) - The locations of the proposed buildings were selected to provide a dwelling site with enough level ground to support the proposed structures and site circulation to minimize the amount of cutting and filling required for the development. The driveway was sited to cross the site in order to work with the existing contours and to minimize the visibility of cut banks and fill slopes from the Columbia River KVA. On the eastern side of the proposed residence, a two tier natural rock wall will retain the earth to allow the building to set down in to the site to provide visual sub-ordinance to the landscape. The rock wall will allow for a gradual blending of the grade around the eastern side of the house to blend naturally with the landscape.

MCC 38.7035(B) (10) - To minimize the reflectivity of the building as seen from the Columbia River Gorge KVA, low-reflectivity finishes were selected for all exterior materials. All paints will be low reflectivity, with a maximum SRI of 0 and reflectivity of .06 for the metal panels on the roof and SRI of 27 for the metal panels on the walls. The use of metal panel on the north side of the building, the side visible from the Columbia River KVA, has been minimized with the use of horizontal wood siding and fiber-cement panels. All north facing metal panel is located on the eastern portion of the building where there is a greater percentage of existing landscape screening. The selected style of metal panel also has deep shadow lines to break up the massing of the material. Accent panels of matte, weathered steel help to further break up the amount of north facing metal panel. All solar panels are oriented facing south, away from the Columbia River Gorge, and are not visible from the KVA. Additionally, windows have been minimized and separated to avoid large sections of continuous glass on the north side of the structures (the side facing the Columbia River Gorge KVA) to avoid glare and reflectivity. The largest continuous expanse of windows is 54 square feet however the specified triple-paned windows have large sashes (typically $3^{\prime \prime}$
on all sides) which reduces the actual amount of continuous glazing for this section of window to just 44 square feet.

MCC $38.7035(B)(11)$ - All exterior lighting will be directed downward with all bulbs shielded and hooded to avoid glare. Fixture locations and light levels were selected to provide the minimum required footcandles to provide safe access around the building. The fixture finish is to be matte/textured black. See floor plans and elevations for fixture locations.

MCC $38.7035(B)(12)$ - The selected colors for both the proposed residence and accessory building are dark earth tones in the dark brown to dark green range to blend in with the existing fir trees on site. Accents of weathered steel help to breakdown the massing of the building and blend in with the fall colors of the deciduous trees on site. The specific colors include:

- Main Body Color - Benjamin Moore Dragon's Breath, 1547 - Similar to A9 in the Scenic Area Handbook
- Accent Body Colors -
- Benjamin Moore Mopboard Black, CW-680 - Similar to 1B in the Scenic Area Handbook
- Timber Pro Semi-Transparent Series, Teak \#104 for the areas of wood siding and Bark \#152 for the wood soffits - Similar to 14B in the Scenic Area Handbook
o Weathered Steel Panels - Similar to 13B in the Scenic Area Handbook
- Roof Color - Duracoat Dark Bronze - Similar to 15A in the Scenic Area Handbook
- Window/Door Color - Jet Black (RAL\# 9005)- Similar to 16A in the Scenic Area Handbook
- Accessory Building Roof and Siding - Dark Brown - Similar to 15A in the Scenic Area Handbook

MCC $38.7035(B)(13)$ - There are no additions to existing buildings as part of this proposed development.
MCC $38.7035(B)(14)$ - There are no modifications to existing significant historic structures as part of this proposed development.

MCC 38.7035(B) (15) - Similar to adjacent properties (see Exhibit B), due to the steepness of the site and in order to avoid excessive cutting in to the landscape, the proposed buildings are sited towards the top of the existing bluff where the slope of the land flattens out to a maximum $10 \%$ slope. To maintain sub-ordinance to the existing landscape, the building is not located at the highest point of the site. The silhouette of the proposed residence stays below the skyline of the existing landscape as seen from the Columbia River KVA due to the large forested area on the north portion of the property that is held in in the Small Tract Forestland Program (ORS 321.700-754).

MCC $38.7035(B)(16)$ - There is no alteration to buildings built prior to November $17^{\text {th }}, 1986$ as part of the proposed development.

MCC $38.7035(B)(17)$ - While there is currently adequate screening along the lower north property line to screen the residence and accessory buildings form the Columbia River KVA, the existing trees are primarily located on the neighboring property. To provide appropriate screening within the bounds of the subject property, additional evergreen trees will be planted along this property line to increase the screening from the Columbia River KVA. The new trees are of a species and size recommended for this landscape setting consistent with MCC 7035(C). Please see the Landscape Plan for new tree species, sizes, and locations.

MCC 38.7035(B) (18) - The proposed development is not on land designated GMA Forest.
MCC 38.7035(B) (19) - There are no new main lines for the transmission of electricity, gas, oil, other fuels, or communications, as part of the proposed development except to serve an individual user.

MCC $38.7035(B)(20)$ - There are no new communication facilities as part of the proposed development.
MCC 38.7035(B) (21) - There are no new communication facilities as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.7035(B) (22) - There are no new overpasses, safety and directional sign or other road or highway facilities as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.7035 (B) (23) - The proposed development is set back more than 100 feet from the ordinary high water mark of the Columbia River below Bonneville Dam and 100 feet from the normal pool elevation of the Columbia River above Bonneville Dam.

MCC 38.7035 (B) (24) - To keep the proposed structures from being located on lands with slopes in excess of $30 \%$, the proposed development is located near the natural ridge line where the topography naturally flattens to $10 \%$ or less slope.

MCC $38.7035(B)$ (25) - The proposed development involves more than 100 cubic yards of grading on site. A grading plan has been submitted as part of this application. The proposed residence and accessory building have been sited to minimize cutting and filling. At the eastern end of the residence, where the most grading will be required, a two tiered natural rock retaining wall (both lower than $4^{\prime}$ in height) will allow the revised grading to gradually blend with the existing site. Additionally, the proposed driveway crosses the site to work with the existing topography and minimize the cutting and filling.

MCC $38.7035(B)(26)$ - There is no expansion of existing quarries or new development of mineral resources as part of the proposed development.

MCC $38.7035(B)(27)$ - There is no expansion of existing quarries or new development of mineral resources as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.7035 (B) (28) - There is no expansion of existing quarries or new development of mineral resources as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.7035 (B) (29) - There is no expansion of existing quarries or new development of mineral resources as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.7035 (C) (1) (a)-The proposed residence and the accessory building have been sited to the edges of the existing meadows on the site.

MCC 38.7035(C) (1) (b) (1)- The majority of the existing tree cover screening the development from the Columbia River KVA shall be retained. Trees removed for site development are primarily deciduous and do not provide screening during the winter. Additional trees have been added along the lower north property line to increase the density of the existing tree cover and provide adequate screening within the bounds of the subject property.

MCC 38.7035 (C) (1) (b) (2)-Vegetated landscaping shall retain the open character of the existing fields. New trees have been located only adjacent to existing tree screening to create a denser background of tree cover.

MCC 38.7035 (C) (1) (b) (3)- The selected species, Vine Maples, Evergreen Huckleberry, and Golden Currants, are native to the setting or commonly found in the area.

MCC 38.7035 (C) (1) (b) (4)- The selected species Austrian Pine and Evergreen Huckleberry are both coniferous to provide winter screening.

MCC $38.7035(C)(1)(c)$ - There are no recreational uses included as part of the proposed development.
MCC 38.7035(C) (2) (a-c) - Not applicable

MCC 38.7035(C) (3) (b) - The existing tree cover screening the development from the Columbia River KVA shall be retained. Trees removed for site development are primarily deciduous and do not provide screening during the winter. Additional trees have been added along the lower north property line to increase the density of the existing tree cover.

MCC 38.7035 (C) (3) (b) (2)-Vegetated landscaping shall retain the open character of the existing fields. New trees have been located only adjacent to existing tree screening to create a denser background of tree cover.
 native to the setting or commonly found in the area.

MCC 38.7035 (C) (3) (b) (4)- The selected species Austrian Pine and Evergreen Huckleberry are both coniferous to provide winter screening.

MCC 38.7035 (C) (3) (c) - There are no recreational uses included as part of the proposed development.

MCC 38.7035(C) (4-8) - Not applicable

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SEFIVICES
DIVISION OF PLANNING
and development
2115 S E MORRISON STREET
PORTLAND, OREGON 97214
(503) $248 \cdot 3047$

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
GLADYS MCCOY - CHAIR OF THE BOARD
PAULINE ANDERSON - DISTRICT 1 COMMISSIONER
GRETCHEN KAFOURY - DISTRICT 2 COMMISSIONER
CAROLINE MILLER - DISTRICT 3 COMMISSIONER
POLLY CASTERLINE - DISTRICT 4 COMMISSIONER

```
Ron Sunser1
c/o 20/20 Properties
16502 SE Division Street, Suite A
Portland, Oregon 97236
```

RE: Lots 19-East and 12, Banner Acres

Dear Mr. Sunseri:`

You have asked about the status of two lots in Banner Acres (Lots 19-East and 12). Our Exclusive Farm Use zone is placed on these lots and they are less than the minimum lot size, but they are Lots of Record. In order for these two lots to be separate Lots of Record they must have been in separate ownership prior to August 14, 1980, as required by MCC 11.15.2018. Attached are the recorded contracts which make it clear that the lots were sold into separate ownership in 1969 and in 1972. Therefore, each lot is eligible for application for a resource or non-resource dwelling. Due to the fact that Lot 12 already has a home on it, Lot 19 -East is the one which could apply. Due to the small size of the parcel the resultant dwelling would most likely need to be approved as a non-resource dwelling under MCC $11.15 .2012(B)(3)$ which requires a public hearing before the Planning Commission. So $I$ cannot at this time certify that a home can be built, only that an application can be made.

陛. Sunseri
Page 2
September 30, 1987

In addition, your client should be aware that this area is within a General Management Area of the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area. Their approval for any building will also be required. Your client should contact Jurgen Hess of the US Forest Service at 386-2333 in Hood River (Waucoma Center, Suite 200, 902 Wasco Avenue, Hood River, 97031).

Sincerely,
MULTNOMAH COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT


LS: sec/0970L
cc: Jurgen Hess, US Forest Service
Waucoma Center, Suite 200
902 Wasco Avenue, Hood River, 97031

Enclosure - Map

## First American Title Insurance Company of Oregon

310 S.W. FOUATH AVENUE, PORTLAND, OR 97204
BANNER AC
321 NLE
(503) 222.3851




## EXCEPTION NO. 5
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hurvinefor colled the are }
\end{aligned}
$$

That portion of lot 19 of Banher Acres In Haltncmeh County.
Oregon, containing epproximaty 3.991 ecres, described es
$\begin{aligned} & \text { oregon, } \\ & \text { lollorre: }\end{aligned}$
Seginalng at a 1/2* iron pipe at the interaection of furt
mad and the easterly line of Lot 14 , thence North 742.05 feet
thence west along the Northeriy ifne of lot 29234.7 feet,
thence South 807.95 feet to tha hortherly line of Hurt toed,
thenee North $7^{\circ} 35^{\circ} 30^{\circ}$ Eane 234.14 feet to the point of

Land Use Planning Division

# HILLSIDE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT (HDP) APPLICATION: GEOTECHNICAL RECONNAISSANCE AND STABILITY PRELIMINARY STUDY 

> Note: Response to cach question below must be completed or verified by a Certified Engineering Geologist or Geotechnical Engineer, including a State of Oregon Registration Stamp and Number in the space provided on page four. The HDP form 1 addresses' Mulltnomah County Code Section $.5515(A)$ (3), Hillside Development Permits.
Site Address: Address not assigned. Adjacent to 30649 NE Hurt Road.
Legal Description: Banner Acres, Lot 12 and 19, TL 2200 (R111632)
Property Owner's Name: Richard A. Ray
Firm Preparing Report: $\qquad$
Address:
824 SE 12th Street
City: $\qquad$ State: $\qquad$ Zip: $\qquad$
Preparer's Name: Paul A. Crenna CEG
Phone Number: 503-245-8342

## GENERAL PROPERTY INFORMATION

1. a. Maximum Slope on Property: $\frac{2.6 \mathrm{~V}: 1 \mathrm{H}}{5 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}}$ Area in which it is located: East-central portion Average Slope of Property: $\qquad$
b. Are there any wetlands or streambeds on the property? (Please Circle) Yes No If yes, please show on topographical survey or sketch.
c. Volume of soil or earth material disturbed, stored, disposed of or used as fill: 3,032 cubic yards
d. Total area of proposed ground disturbance:
26,978 (square feet) 0.62 (acres)

## Were building plans considered when completing this form? (Please Circle) Yes No

If yes, please note the author and date the plans were prepared.
Proposed Conditions Site Plan by Ecological Engineering LLC, undated (attached)
2. What is the general topography of the property? Please attach a topographic survey or sketch with pertinent notes.
Homesite on top of broad, gentle ridgeline. Access driveway from Hurt Road traverses gentle to moderate slope inclined between 5H:IV and $2.6 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$. Topographic survey shown in attached Site Plan.
3. Are there any visible signs of instability or other potentially adverse site features (Landslides, slumps, mud flow, creep, ravines. fills, cuts, seeps, springs, ponds, etc.) within the surrounding area for a minimum distance of 100 feet beyond the subject property boundaries'? Describe and indicate on attached topographic survey or sketch.

None.
4. Is any earthwork proposed in connection with sile development?
(Please Circle) Yes No

If yes, please indicate depth and extent of cuts/lills; describe fill types.
Cut for driveway alignment is 2 to 7 feet deep and creates 2 H:IV cut slope above roadbed. Fill is limited to imported crushed gravel baserock in 12 -foot-wide travel lane and drainage ditch, and shallow landscaping fills around perimeter of home. Cut material to be hauled offsite.
5. In your opinion. will the proposed earthwork cause potential stability problems for the subject and/or adjacem properties?
(Please Circle) Yes No

## IF YES. EXPRESS PROBABILITY:

(Please Circle) Very Probable Possibly Possible but remote
If Very Probable or Possibly, please explain.
6. In your opinion, will the proposed development (structures, foundations, parking area, streets, etc.) create potential stability problems for the subject and/or adjacent properties?
(Please Circle) Yes No

IF YES, EXPRESS PROBABILITY:
(Please Circle) Very Probable Possibly Possible, but remote

If Very Probable or Possibly, please explain.
7. In your opinion would the subsurface disposal of sewage effluent on the site (i.e., drain fields) have an adverse affect on stability of the site or adjacent area?
(Please Circle)
Yes
No

IF YES, EXPRESS PROBABILITY:
(Please Circle) Very Probable Possibly Possible, but remote

If Very Probable or Possibly, please explain.
8. If answer is Very Probable or Possibly to questions 4 or 5, is it your opinion, on the basis of a visual evaluation, that adequate stability might be achieved by preferred siting of the development, alternative foundation support, earthwork, drainage, etc.?
(Please Circle) Yes No
If yes, please explain.
9. Do you recommend additional geotechnical studies (ie., mapping, testing pits or brings, stability analysis, etc.) prior to site development?

| (Please Circle) | Yes | Open, 5 -foot-deep, septic pits <br> expose stiff to very-stiff silt <br> soil. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| If yes, please explain. |  |  |

By signing and affixing the required stamp below, the Certifying Engineering Geologist or Geotechnical Engineer certifies that the site is suitable for the proposed development.

Signature


Date $\qquad$


August 3, 2017
Richard A. Ray
30649 NE Hurt Road
Troutdale, Oregon 97060

## Subject: Geotechnical Consultation Ray and Philipsborn Residence GCN Project 1231

This letter transmits GEO Consultants Northwest's (GCN) completed Multnomah County Hillside Development Permit Application HDP-1 Form for the proposed custom home project on NE Hurt Road. The form was prepared in general accordance with our Professional Services Agreement dated June 15, 2017.

Our responses on the HDP-1 Form were based on a field reconnaissance site visit and review of the attached Site Plans showing the proposed construction. The Form complies with MCC33.5515(E)(3). GCN should observe the final grade for the driveway at the completion of construction to verify soil conditions and revise our conclusions and recommendations, if necessary.

## LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of Richard A. Ray and members of the design team for this specific project. It should be made available to prospective contractors for information on the factual data only, and not as a warranty of subsurface conditions, such as those discussed in this report.

Unanticipated soil conditions are commonly encountered and cannot fully be determined by exploratory methods. Such unexpected conditions frequently require that additional expenditures be made to attain properly-constructed projects. Therefore, a contingency fund is recommended to accommodate the potential for extra costs.

Within the limitations of the scope of work, schedule, and budget, the analyses, conclusions, and recommendations presented in this report were prepared in accordance with generally-accepted professional geotechnical engineering principles and practice in this area at the time this report was prepared. We make no warranty, either express or implied.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of continued service to you. Please call if you have questions concerning this report or if we can provide additional services.

Sincerely,
GEO Consultants Northwest, Inc.


Paul A. Crenna CEG
Engineering Geologist
Attachments: Multnomah County HDP-1 Form Existing Conditions Site Plan Sheet 1 Proposed Conditions Site Plan Sheets 2, 3 \& 4

Hillside
Development Permit (HDP) Work Sheet

Associated
Active Cases:

Instructions for Applicants:
This questionnaire has been put together to assist you in preparing an application for development within the Hillside Development Overlay. While not required, we encourage you to consult with an Oregon licensed Certified Engineering Geologist or Geotechnical Engineer when completing this form. Information in this worksheet is intended to supplement the Geotechnical Report or Geotechnical Reconnaissance Survey [HDP Form 1]. The responses and supporting documents you provide will be the basis for determining whether or not your application satisfies the Hillside Development criteria.

GENERAL INFORMATION
Project Description: Single family home for Richard A. Ray
Site Address or Legal Description: Banner Acres, Lot 12 \& 19, TL 2200 Average Slope of Property (\%): $\underline{22 \%}$
Maximum Slope on Property (\%): 40\% Area in which it is located: Center of property
Surface area disturbed
(square feet and acres)*: 27,093 sq. ft., 0.6220 acres
Volume of excavation/fill $\left(\mathrm{yd}^{3}\right)$ : 3032 cubic yds.
Completed By: Richard A. Ray
Date: July 25, 2017
*Construction activities disturbing between 1 and 5 acres are automatically covered under the Oregon (DEQ) Department of Environmental Quality (NPDES) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Stormwater Discharge General Permit No. 1200CN. This relieves many applicants from also having to apply for a DEQ permit. Activities disturbing over 5 acres are not eligible for automatic coverage and are subject to additional permitting requirements by DEQ under the $1200-\mathrm{C}$ program. Please ask the planning office for a copy of the "GENERAL PERMIT NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM STORMWATER DISCHARGE PERMIT" provisions for more information on projects qualifying for automatic coverage.

## SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

This worksheet has been put together to assist you in addressing approval criteria. Additional information is required to submit an application. This includes a General Application Form, deeds, site plan, service provider forms and title report. Please reference the Hillside Development Permit Handout for a list of submittal and site plan requirements.

## GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS

A Hillside Development Permit may be approved by the County only after the applicant provides one of the following. Please check the applicable box.
$\square$ Topographic information is enclosed showing the proposed development to be on land with average slopes less than $\mathbf{2 5}$ percent, and located more than 200 feet from a known landslide, and that no cuts or fills in excess of 6 feet in height are planned. High groundwater conditions shall be assumed unless documentation is available, demonstrating otherwise; or
$\square$ A geotechnical report prepared by a Certified Engineering Geologist or Geotechnical Engineer is attached certifying that the site is suitable for the proposed development. The report includes any specific investigations required by the County and recommendations for any further work or changes in proposed work which may be necessary to ensure reasonable safety from earth movement hazards; or

An HDP Form- 1 completed, signed and certified by a Certified Engineering Geologist or Geotechnical Engineer with his/her stamp and signature affixed has been prepared indicatimg that the site is suitable for the proposed development.

NOTE: If the HDP Form-1 indicates a need for further investigation, or if the Director requires further study based upon in-formation contained in the HDP Form-1, a geotechnical report as specified by the Director shall be prepared and submitted.

## HDP APPROVAL STANDARDS

County approval of development plans must be based upon findings that the proposal adequately addresses the standards listed below. Some of the standards can be satisfied by checking the corresponding box. By checking a box, you are confirming that the statement applies to your project.

1. Fill materials, compaction methods and density specifications shall be indicated. Fill areas intended to support structures shall be identified on the plan.

The fill materials, compaction methods and density specifications are included on the site plan or are described below. Fill areas intended to support structures are identified on the plan.
There is no fill included in the proposed project.
All fill areas to be less than 4 feet deep, with slopes less than 20\% (landscape fill).
No structures will be built on fill, and fill to be compacted as per code.
General fill area is to be west of the home site.
2. Cut and fill slopes shall not be steeper than 3(H):1(V) (i.e. $33 \%$ ) unless a geological and/or engineering analysis certifies that the steep slopes are safe and erosion control measures are specified.
Cut or fill slopes steeper than $33 \%$ have been certified as safe in the attached geological and/or engineering analysis. Appropriate erosion control measures are also specified in the analysis.
$\square$ There are no cut or fill slopes steeper than $33 \%$.
3. Cuts and fills will not endanger or disturb adjoining property.

A Geotechnical Reconnaissance (HDP Form 1) or geotechnical report has been prepared confirming that cut or fills will not endanger or disturb adjoining property.
Cuts and fills will not endanger or disturb adjoining property for the following reasons:

Note: This issue is specifically addressed in the HDP Form 1 and you can rely upon the response by the Certified Engineering Geologist or Geotechnical Engineer that completed the form. A geotechnical report may or may not address the issue. If you need to prepare a response, please make sure to address any earthwork that is to occur close to a property line or storm run-off that will discharge off the property.
4. The proposed drainage system will have adequate capacity to bypass through the development the existing upsiream flow from a storm of 10 -year design frequency;
A County Stormwater Certificate completed by an Oregon Registered Professional Engineer demonstrates that this standard has been satisfied (Note: A Certificate must be submitted for projects involving more than 500 square feet of impervious surfaces).
$\square$ There is no existing upstream flow of run-off.
5. Fills shall not encroach on natural watercourses or constructed channels unless measures are approved which will adequately handle the displaced stream flow for a storm of 10 -year design frequency;
$\square$ Fill will encroach on a natural watercourse or constructed channel as shown on the site plan. As illustrated on the plan, and confirmed with the enclosed Stormwater Certificate, adequate measures will be put in place to handle the stream flow for a storm of 10-year design frequency. (Note: A separate Flood Hazard Permit is required).
A site plan has been provided demonstrating that fill work will not encroach on natural watercourses or constructed channels.
6. On sites within the Tualatin River Drainage Basin, specific stormwater and erosion control standards apply. The Basin includes unincorporated rural areas west of Skyline Boulevard.
$\square$ The development site is outside of the Tualatin River Drainage Basin (skip to standard \#7).
$\square$ The site is within the Tualatin River Drainage Basin and:

- Measures for controlling erosion and stormwater have been designed to perform as prescribed by the currently adopted edition of the City of Portland Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management Manuals; and
- The stormwater system has been designed to manage runoff onsite to the maximum extent possible; and
- Land-disturbing activities are at least a 100 -foot from the top of the bank of a stream or ordinary high watermark (line of vegetation) of a water body, or a mitigation plan consistent with OAR 340 is enclosed for alterations within the buffer area.
(Note: For the mitigation plan, the County utilizes vegetated corridor provisions contained in Clean Water Services Design and Construction Standards manual. A copy of the manual is available on their website at http://www.cleanwaterservices.org. On slopes less than 25 percent, land disturbing activities can be approved to within 50 feet of a water body provided at least 80 percent of the intervening area is planted with native trees, shrubs, and groundcover that will achieve at least $50 \%$ canopy coverage at maturity. Mitigation must occur at a minimum 1:1 ratio to disturbed areas. If your site does not fall within these parameters, other options may exist which you can discuss with our staff.)

7. Stripping of vegetation, grading, or other soil disturbance shall be done in a manner which will minimize soil erosion, stabilize the soil as quickly as practicable, and expose the smallest practical area at any one time during construction. Please explain how the proposed development meets this standard.

There are no trees on the portion of the porperty with a slope in excess of $25 \%$, so no trees will be removed from that area. As soon as grading is complete, grass will be replanted in disturbed areas.
8. Development Plans shall minimize cut or fill operations and ensure conformity with topography so as to create the least erosion potential and adequately accommodate the volume and velocity of surface runoff. Please explain how the proposed development meets this standard.

The only cut areas will be for the driveway as it traverses the steeper portion of the property and for the home site. Fill from those areas will be used on the property at a depth of less than 4', for landscaping and for smoothing out the grade of the driveway. A drainage system consisting of a driveway ditch and culverts has been designed to handle all stormwater runoff from the driveway and the portion of the site up slope that will drain to the driveway ditch for all storm flows up through the 25-year event.
9. Temporary vegetation and/or mulching shall be used to protect exposed critical areas during development. (Note: Critical areas are typically soils that if exposed are likely to erode into drainageways or onto roads or nearby properties.)
The attached erosion control plan includes the use of temporary vegetation and/or mulch to protect exposed soils.

- There will be no exposed critical areas. Please explain

Disturbed areas will be planted with grass immediately after grading is complete.
10. Whenever feasible, natural vegetation shall be retained, protected, and supplemented. Please explain how the proposed development meets this standard.
Only a few trees, planted by the property owner in 1992, will be removed, and those are from an area with slope less than $15 \%$. The hillside with the slope greater than $15 \%$ has been pasture for at least 90 years. This area will be replanted immediately. We plan to use spray seeding, as seen along highway projects.

Also, check one of the following:
The site plan provided shows that a 100 -foot undisturbed buffer of natural vegetation will be retained from the top of the bank of a stream, or from the ordinary high watermark (line of vegetation) of a water body, or wetland; or
$\square$ Development will encroach within the 100 foot buffer. A mitigation plan is enclosed utilizing erosion control and stormwater measures prescribed by the currently adopted edition of the City of Portland Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management Manuals. The plan further meets surface water quality equivalent to those established for the Tualatin River Drainage Basin in OAR 340. (Note: See note under item \#6 regarding mitigation plan requirements).
11. Permanent plantings and amy required structural erosion control and drainage measures will be installed as soon as practical. Please explain how the proposed development meets this standard.

Disturbed areas will be planted with grass immediately after grading is complete.

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
12. Provisions shall be made to effectively accommodate increased runoff caused by altered soil and surface conditions during and after development. The rate of surface water runoff shall be structurally retarded where necessary. Please explain how the proposed development meets this standard.

The only area with increased runoff will be where the driveway traverses the steeper portion of the property. Through the use of culverts, this runoff will be dispersed on undisturbed areas the property and will not leave the prope
13. Sediment in the runoff water shall be trapped by use of debris basins, alt traps, or other measures until the disturbed area is stabilized.
$\square$ The site plan provided includes debris basins, silt traps, or other measures (specify: $\qquad$ ) which will be installed and maintained until the disturbed areas are stabilized.
0 The development will not generate sediment laden run-off to warrant the installation of these measures. Please explain:
The flatter area below the proposed hillside development is the property owner's pastureland and forest. Run-off will be discharged to a vegetated filter strip approximately 300 feet long for infiltration and sediment removal before reaching the public right of way.
14. Provisions shall be made to prevent surface water from damaging the cut face of excavations or the sloping surface of fills by installation of temporary or permanent drainage across or above such areas, or by other suitable stabilization measures such as mulching or seeding. Please explain how the proposed development meets this standard.

Disturbed areas will be planted with grass immediately after grading is complete.
15. All drainage provisions shall be designed to adequately carry existing and potential surface runoff to suitable drainageways such as storm drains, natural watercourses, drainage swales, or an approved drywell system.Drainage improvements shown on the site plan have been designed to carry existing and potential surface runoff to the following drainageway:
No drainage improvements are associated with the development.
16. Drainage swales used to divert surface water shall be vegetated or protected to minimize erosion. $\square$ Drainage swales are being used and will be protected to minimize potential erosion. Method of protection:

No drainage swales will be installed.
17. Erosion and sediment control devices shall be employed where necessary to prevent polluting discharges from occurring. These may include, but are not limited to:

- Energy absorbing devices to reduce runoff water velocity;
- Sedimentation controls such as sediment or debris basins. Any trapped materials shall be removed to an approved disposal site on an approved schedule;
- Dispersal of water runoff from developed areas over large undisturbed areas.
$\square$ Erosion control devices of this type are being employed to prevent pollution discharges as shown on the site plan.
- No devices are needed to prevent pollution discharges from occurring. Please explain:

Run-off will be discharged to a vegetated filter strip approximately 300 feet long for infiltration and sediment removal before reaching the public right of way.
18. Disposed spoil material or stock-piled topsoil shall be prevented from eroding into streams or drainageways by applying mulch or other protective covering; or by location at a sufficient distance from streams or drainageways; or by other sediment reduction measures.
As noted on the plan, stockpiled spoils or topsoil will be covered and are located such that they will not erode into nearby streams or drainages.
Spoil material or topsoil will be removed as it is excavated and will not be stored on-site.
19. Such non-erosion pollution associated with construction such as pesticides, fertilizers, petrochemicals, solid wastes, construction chemicals, or wastewaters shall be prevented from leaving the construction site through proper handling, disposal, continuous site monitoring and clean-up activities. Please explain how the proposed development will meet this standard.
We do not plan to use pesticides, fertilizers, petrochemicals, or wastewaters.
Solid wastes and construction chemicals will be disposed off-site.
20. On sites within the Balch Creek Drainage Basin, land disturbing activities are limited to the period between May first and October first of any year. All permanent vegetation or a winter cover crop shall be seeded or planted by October first of the same year the development was begun; all soil not covered by buildings or other impervious surfaces must be completely vegetated by December first of the same year the development was begun. The following is a map depicting the boundaries of the Balch Creek Drainage basin.

The property resides within the Balch Creek Drainage basin. This application has been tailored with the understanding that land disturbing work will be limited to the period between May $1^{\text {st }}$ and October $1^{\text {st }}$ and that cover crops must be established within this timeframe.
$\square$ The property is not located within the Balch Creek Drainage Basin.






Ecological Engineering, LLC Aquatic Habitat Restoration Engineering 2016 SE HENKLE ROAD
CORBETT, OR 97019

## Exhibit C: Compatible Scale

| Address | House (SF) | Attached Garage (SF) | Detached Garage (SF) | Accessory Buildings (SF) | Carport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30225 NE Hurt Road | 1,656 | 288 |  | 824 |  |
| 30649 NE Hurt Road | 1,867 |  |  | 1,092 |  |
| 30515 NE Hurt Road | 2,058 | 348 |  | 720 |  |
| 30600 NE Lampert Road | 2,132 |  | 672 | 3,925 |  |
| 30421 NE Hurt Road | 2,210 |  | 1,344 |  |  |
| 30925 NE Hurt Road | 2,247 | 847 |  |  |  |
| 30315 NE Hurt Road | 2,402 |  |  | 1500 | 324 |
| 30915 NE Hurt Road | 2,676 |  |  |  |  |
| Proposed Site | 2,862 | 836 |  | 900 |  |
| 30811 NE Hurt Road | 3,680 | 994 |  | 1,200 |  |
| 1334 NE Ogden Road | 4,168 | 3,089 |  |  | 336 |
| 1112 NE Ogden Road | 4,259 | 736 |  | 3930 |  |
| 30945 NE Hurt Road | 4,451 | 320 |  | 120 |  |
| 1408 NE Ogden Road | 4,908 | 572 |  |  |  |
| 30485 NE Hurt Road | 5,832 | 1406 | 1,200 | 748 | 868 |
| 1010 NE Ogden Road | 5,230 | 852 | 550 | 288 |  |

EXHIBIT D: COLUMBIA RIVER KVA


EXHIBIT E: HISTORIC COLUMBIA RIVER HIGHWAY KVA


EXHIBIT F: LARCH MOUNTAIN KVA


## KVA Study: Columbia River | Richard A. Ray



This view, looking SSE across the Columbia River from near Oregon's western boundary of the Scenic Area at the Sandy River, provides the best angle on the property. This photo was shot with a 50 mm equivalent lens, similar to human focal length. Inset photo is from an iPhone, for comparison.


Though Washougal Waterfront Park - the photo's location - is outside the Scenic Area, the water seen here is in the Scenic Area*. If you head further east or south from this spot - further into the Scenic Area - the home site is increasingly eclipsed by Chamberlin Hill itself (elevation 900 ft .).

[^1]
## KVA Study: Larch Mountain | Richard A. Ray

This view is from Sherrard Point atop Larch Mountain (elevation 4055 ft.), looking east toward the subject property (elevation 750 ft .). We used a hiking GPS to produce a directional arrow toward our property and centered the camera in that direction. This photo was shot with a 50 mm equivalent lens, similar to human focal length.


Inset photo is from the Larch Mountain parking lot, also facing towards our property. The approach along Larch Mountain Road is a corridor of trees.


The top of Larch Mountain is 12.5 miles from the subject property as the crow flies.



Outbuilding Floorplan
Richard A. Ray

Flat (matte)
metal roofing to match house

Metal siding color and finish to match house.


Outbuilding Elevations
$1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1 \mathrm{ft}$.
Richard A. Ray
July 2017

## ROOFING - Richard Ray



## THERMOUPVC'

## Value Line

European Windows

- Dual gasket system for an air and weathertight seal
- Improved fixed window aesthetic with the use of only one glazing bead rather than two for a more continuous look throughout
- 85mm profile depth
- 6 chamber profile for industry-leading performance
- Laminated color finish for lasting quality compared to paint applied finishes
- Concealed hinge and child-lock hardware options
- Rapid production times for timely delivery to your project
- Available with exterior aluminum cladding



## Thermo uPVC ValueLine - Fixed Window



## Psi-Spacer Value

$\Psi_{A}=\frac{\Phi}{\Delta T}-U_{g} \cdot b_{g}-U_{f} \cdot b_{f}=\frac{7.531}{30.000}-0.700 \cdot 0.232-0.907 \cdot 0.070=0.025 \mathrm{~W} /(\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{K})$ $\qquad$

Thermo uPVC ValueLine - Operable

date : 05-02-2013
calculation in accordance to EN 410
Glazing from outside to inside48.00 mm

| pane1 | substrate | Guardian Float Glass ExtraClear, 4.00 mm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| coating on pos.2 | Guardian ClimaGuard Premium <br> $18 \mathrm{~mm} /$ air 5\%, argon 95\% |  |
| spacer/gas1 |  | Guardian Float Glass ExtraClear, 4.00 mm |
| pane1 | substrate | $18 \mathrm{~mm} /$ air 5\%, argon 95\% |
| spacer/gas2 | coating on pos.5 | Guardian ClimaGuard Premium |
| pane3 | substrate | Guardian Float Glass ExtraClear, 4.00 mm |

## Results

UV :
transmittance [\%] : ..... $\tau_{\mathrm{UV}}=20.0$
light :
transmittance for standard illuminant D65 [\%] : ..... $\tau_{v}=70.9$
reflectance for standard illuminant D65 [\%] (*): ..... $\rho_{V}=15.3$
reflectance for standard illuminant D65 [\%] (**): ..... $\rho_{v}=15.3$
general colour rendering index [\%] : ..... $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=95.7$
energy :
solar direct transmittance [\%] : ..... $\tau_{\mathrm{e}}=41.8$
solar direct reflectance [\%] (*): ..... $\rho_{\mathrm{e}}=32.4$
solar direct reflectance [\%] (**): ..... $\rho_{\mathrm{e}}=32.4$
solar direct absorption [\%] (*): ..... $a=25.8$
secondary internal heat transfer factor [\%] (*): ..... $q_{i}=7.5$
total solar energy transmittance (solar factor) [\%] (*): ..... $\mathrm{g}=49.4$
shading coefficient $(=g / 0,87)\left({ }^{*}\right)$ : ..... $\mathrm{sC}=0.57$
thermal conductance (U-value) [W/m²K] (EN 673): ..... $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{g}}=0.5$
slope $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ : $\alpha=90.0$${ }^{*}$ ) incident radiation from the outside(**) incident radiation from the inside
The calculated values are for orientation only and do not offer any guarantee regarding the fabrication of the un- intended end- product.
Glass configurations do not amount to a guarantee of product availability.

## Vertical Seam



- Architectural/structural standing seam roof panel
- Panel Coverage: $12^{\prime \prime}, 16$ or $18^{\prime \prime}$
- Rib Height: 1-3/4"
- Gauges: 26 ga. and 24 ga. standard, 22 ga. optional
- Snap-together panel system with factory-applied side lap sealant
- Minimum roof slope over open framing 3:12
- Minimum roof slope over solid substrate 1:12
- Concealed clip designed for unlimited thermal movement
- Accommodates up to 4" blanket insulation
- Finishes: PVDF, MS Colorfast45 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ and Acrylic Coated Galvalume ${ }^{\text {® }}$
- Contact Metal Sales for load-carrying capabilities

Vertical Seam Plus

- Square Batten Cap option for Vertical Seam Panel
- Available at the Fontana Branch only



## Testing and Approvals

- UL 263, Fire Resistance Rating - per assembly

D UL 580, Class 90 Wind Uplift, Construction, \#254, 255, 261, 303, 342, 343, $436,445,446,447,448,508$ and 508A
D UL 790, Class A Fire Resistance Rating - per assembly
D UL 2218, Class 4 Impact Resistance

- ASTME 1592.Roof Uplift
$\triangle$ ASTM E 1646 Water Penetration
D ASTM E 1680 Air Leakage
$\triangleright$ Miami-Dade County Approved, NOA 13-0905.05
D Texas Department of Insurance Evaluation Report, RC-412
$\triangleright$ ICC Evaluation Report, ESR-2385
$\triangle 2014$ FBC Approved
-26 ga. over $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ Plywood, 11560.12 (16 Panel)
-24 ga. over $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ Plywood, 11560.10 ( $16^{4}$ Panel)
-24 ga. over 1/2" Plywood, 11560.11 (18 $8^{n}$ Panel)
$-0.032^{\prime \prime}$ Aluminum over 7/16" OSB, 14645,6 ( $16^{\prime \prime}$ Panel)



## Clip-Loc

- Architectural/structural integral standing rib roof system
- Snap-together panel system
- 16" panel coverage, major rib: 8" centers, 1-5/8" rib height
- Gauges: 26 ga., 24 ga. standard, and 22 ga. optional
- Minimum roof slope: 1:12
- Applies over open framing or solid substrate
- Concealed clip designed for thermal movement
- Accommodates up to 4" blanket insulation
- Finishes: PVDF and Acrylic Coated Galvalume ${ }^{\text {® }}$
- Contact Metal Sales for load-carrying capabilities



## Testing and Approvals

D UL 263, Fire Resistance Rating - per assembly
$\triangleright$ UL 580, Class 90 Wind Uplift, Construction, \#586 and 586A

- UL 790, Class A Fire Resistance Rating - per assembly
- UL 1897, Uplift Tests for Roof Covering Systems
$\triangleright$ UL 2218, Class 4 Impact Resistance
D ASTM E 1592 Roof Upliff
$\triangle$ ASTM E 1680 Air Leakage
- ICC Evaluation Report, ESR-2385


## Verti-Line Series

- Exposed direct-fastened panel for wall, soffit, fascia and liner panel
- Applies over open framing or solid substrate
- $28^{\prime \prime}, 30^{\prime \prime}$ and $36^{\prime \prime}$ panel coverages, $1-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rib height
- $90^{\circ}$ vertical box ribs on $4^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}, 9^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ centers
- Optional material: stainless steel, aluminum and copper
- Gauges: 24 ga. standard, 22 ga., 20 ga . and 18 ga. optional
- Custom capabilities include:
- Perforated panels for wind screens and liner panels
- Standard Finish: PVDF and Acrylic Coated Galvalume ${ }^{\text {® }}$
- Optional Finishes: Multi-pass Kynar $500^{\circledR}$, Marblique, Plastisol and Polyester
- Contact Metal Sales for load-carrying capabilities

Other profiles are available. Please inquire.


Testing and Approvals
$>$ UL.263, Fire Resistance Rating - per assembly
$>$ UL2218, Class 4 Impact Rating


T10-B Wall Panel

T10-C Wall Panel


T10-D Wall Panel





（1）$\frac{04 \text { GREAT ROOM }}{1 / 4}=1-1-0^{n}$ AND NORTH COURTYARD

（2）$\frac{05 \text { YOGA STUDIO AND HALL }}{1 \mu^{\prime}=1}$


[^0]:    KEY VIEWING AREAS: Check all the following sites from which your property can be seen.

    - Cape Horn
    - Historic Columbia River Highway
    - Sandy River
    - Crown Point
    - Portland's Women's Forum State Park
    - Pacific Crest Trial
    $\square$ Larch Mountain
    $\square$ Highway I-84, including rest stops
    $\square$ Larch Mountain Road (SMA only)
    - Multnomah Falls
    - Rooster Rock State Park
    $\square$ Columbia River
    $\square$ Bonneville Dam Visitor Centers
    - Beacon Rock
    - Washington State Route 14

[^1]:    * The Scenic Area's western boundary is the Sandy River on the Oregon side. On the Washington side, the western boundary is in Steigerwald Wildife Refuge, from which the property is not visible.

