



Kevin COOK <kevin.c.cook@multco.us>

---

## Questions about Metro's Tualatin Park Plan

3 messages

---

**Cindy Reid** <cinbah17@gmail.com>

Wed, Apr 4, 2018 at 3:09 PM

To: "kevin.c.cook@multco.us" <kevin.c.cook@multco.us>



**Click with Caution** - Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links, and Requests for Payment or Login Information.

Hi Kevin,

I am wading through the document library re: Metro's proposed North Tualatin Mountains Master Plan – I'm not sure if you all know how difficult it is to come to a genuine understanding of what is happening.

1. I have not been able to locate actual approval criteria yet – so that I can write useful testimony – can you point me to that?
2. It appears from what I have read to date that Metro is not willing to engage in any meaningful wildlife habitat studies – so it seems a no brainer – to say if there is no baseline, and no willingness to engage in baseline data – Adding more access and humanity will absolutely DEGRADE habitat – as it does, everywhere. Please enlighten me if there is anything Metro has provided that addresses these issues. Living across from Wapato State Park has shown that more people results in more litter, degradation of the site, unchecked parking along a road that has no shoulders – and I could go on and on.

There seems to be a "wish" that humanity and nature can co-exist well. I believe it is possible – but not when we invade every wild space in favor of human activity.

Kevin, I need very clear guidance what specific criteria need to be addressed. The notification area is, again, inadequate, as the effects of Metro's proposal will be affecting far beyond the notification area of 750 feet. I am going to write to my commissioner about that – as a starting point.

Thanks so much – hope we get an opportunity to talk.

Cindy Reid

Note new e-mail: [cinbah17@gmail.com](mailto:cinbah17@gmail.com)

Phone: 503-621-3071

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

---

**Cindy Reid** <cinbah17@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 5, 2018 at 7:49 AM

To: "kevin.c.cook@multco.us" <kevin.c.cook@multco.us>



**Click with Caution** - Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links, and Requests for Payment or Login Information.

Hi Kevin – I found this in the earlier notice – is there on-line access to these sections? I think this is actual code – not reference to the Comprehensive Plan sections??

Applicable Approval Criteria: Multnomah County Code (MCC): 37.0705 [Comprehensive Plan Amendment]; 33.2030(A)(9)(b) [Conditional Uses in CFU-1]; 33.2230(A)(9)(b) [Conditional Uses in CFU-2]; 33.2630(C) [Conditional Uses in EFU]; 33.6300 – 33.6350 [Conditional Uses criteria]; 33.6000 – 33.6020 [Standards for Community Services]; 33.7000 – 33.7060 Design Review; 33.2000 – 33.2110 [CFU-1], 33.2200 – 33.2310 [Forest Development Standards - CFU-2]; 33.2000 – 33.2210 [Forest Development Standards – CFU-1]; 33.4500 – 33.4575 [Significant Environmental Concern]; 33.5500 – 33.5525 [Hillside Development]; 33.5700 – 33.5745 [Protected Aggregate & Mineral Sites]; 33.2110 [Exceptions to Secondary Fire Safety Zones]; 33.0005, 33.2075, 33.2275, 33.2675 [Lot of Record]; Chapter 37 [Administration & Procedures]; Chapter 29 [Building Regulations]. Multnomah County Road Rules (MCRR): 1.000 – 22.000.

Thanks for your help.

Cindy (Reid)

[cinbah17@gmail.com](mailto:cinbah17@gmail.com)

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

---

**From:** [Cindy Reid](#)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 4, 2018 3:09 PM  
**To:** [kevin.c.cook@multco.us](mailto:kevin.c.cook@multco.us)  
**Subject:** Questions about Metro's Tualatin Park Plan

Hi Kevin,

I am wading through the document library re: Metro's proposed North Tualatin Mountains Master Plan – I'm not sure if you all know how difficult it is to come to a genuine understanding of what is happening.

1. I have not been able to locate actual approval criteria yet – so that I can write useful testimony – can you point me to that?
2. It appears from what I have read to date that Metro is not willing to engage in any meaningful wildlife habitat studies – so it seems a no brainer – to say if there is no baseline, and no willingness to engage in baseline data – Adding more access and humanity will absolutely DEGRADE habitat – as it does, everywhere. Please enlighten me if there is anything Metro has provided that addresses these issues. Living across from Wapato State Park has shown that more people results in more litter, degradation of the site, unchecked parking along a road that has no shoulders – and I could go on and on.

There seems to be a "wish" that humanity and nature can co-exist well. I believe it is possible – but not when we invade every wild space in favor of human activity.

Kevin, I need very clear guidance what specific criteria need to be addressed. The notification area is, again, inadequate, as the effects of Metro's proposal will be affecting far beyond the notification area of 750 feet. I am going to write to my commissioner about that – as a starting point.

Thanks so much – hope we get an opportunity to talk.

Cindy Reid

Note new e-mail: [cinbah17@gmail.com](mailto:cinbah17@gmail.com)

Phone: 503-621-3071

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

---

**Kevin COOK** <[kevin.c.cook@multco.us](mailto:kevin.c.cook@multco.us)>  
To: Cindy Reid <[cinbah17@gmail.com](mailto:cinbah17@gmail.com)>

Thu, Apr 5, 2018 at 5:01 PM

Hi Cindy,

Good to hear from you. It's been a while.

I am away from the office until Monday but wanted to reply before then. Those are the correct criteria and the links are provided here <https://multco.us/landuse/north-tualatin-master-plan#codes>

The applicant is addressing most of the Comprehensive plan policies as applicable ( <https://multco.us/landuse/comprehensive-plan> ) though the reason for the Comprehensive Plan amendment is due to the following code section in the Commercial Forest Use - 1 (CFU-1 zone):

**§ 33.2030 CONDITIONAL USES**

*The following uses may be permitted when found by the approval authority to satisfy the applicable standards of this Chapter:*

*(A) The following Community Service Uses pursuant to all applicable approval criteria, including but not limited to the provisions of MCC 33.2045, 33.2050, 33.2056, 33.2061, 33.6000 through 33.6010, and 33.6100 through 33.6230:*

...

*(9) State and Local Parks.*

...

*(b) Uses allowed in a Local Park are those specified in OAR 660-034-0040. A Local Park is a public area intended for open space and outdoor recreation use that is owned and managed by a city, county, **regional government**, or park*

*district and that is designated as a public park in the applicable comprehensive plan and zoning ordinance [OAR 660-034-0010(8)].*

In short, Metro is applying to amend the Comp Plan in order to designate the properties as a public park in order to allow recreation trail uses.

I will follow up with you by phone on Monday. Is there a good time to reach you?

**Kevin Cook**

Senior Planner

Multnomah County Dept. of Community Services

Land Use Division

1600 SE 190th Ave, Suite 116

Portland, OR 97233

P 503.988.0188

Preferred pronouns: (he / him / his)



[Quoted text hidden]