



Land Use Planning Division
1600 SE 190th Ave, Ste 116
Portland OR 97233
Ph: 503-988-3043
Fax: 503-988-3389
multco.us/landuse

RECEIVED
NSA
EXPEDITED
RESIDENTIAL
APPLICATION
MULTNOMAH COUNTY
PLANNING SECTION

04/18/2018 11:28AM 000001 #9293

0011 KATHY

PERMITS-TYPE 2 \$175.00

NOTICE FEE \$159.00

CR CARD \$334.00

PROPERTY

Address 39149 E Knieriem Rd Site Size 6.84 acres

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (check all that apply)

- ☐ Addition or covered deck: Size _____ (200 sf max) Height _____ ft
Existing Floor Area _____ (500 sf min) Existing Height _____ ft (greater than proposed)
- ☒ Accessory structure: Size 199 sf (60 to 200 sf) Height 10 ft (10 ft max)
- ☐ Uncovered, attached deck: Size _____ (500 sf max) Height _____ inches (30" max)
- ☐ Demolition: Structure Type _____ Age _____ (less than 50 yrs)
- ☐ Rail, solid, or semi-solid fence: Height _____ ft (6' max) Length _____ (100' max)
- ☐ Wire strand fence: Height _____ ft (greater than 4') Length _____ (greater than 500')
- ☐ Woven wire fence: Agricultural enclosure only Area fenced _____ (80 ac max)
- ☐ Pave existing dirt/gravel road: All work to occur within existing road prism
- ☐ Decommission non-paved road: Includes ripping road surface, barriers, revegetation
- ☐ Retaining wall: Height _____ ft (2' max exposed surface) Length _____ (100' max)
- ☐ Outdoor lights:
- ☐ Other: _____

APPLICANT

Name Annika Mongan Phone 510-541-1137
Mailing Address 39149 E Knieriem Rd Fax _____
City Corbett State OR Zipcode 97019 E-mail Annika.Mongan@gmail.com

OWNER

Name Annika Mongan & Janice Collins Phone 510-541-1137
Street Address 39149 E Knieriem Rd City Corbett State OR Zipcode 97019

I authorize the applicant to make this application.

(Signature) (self)
Property Owner Signature

NOTE: By signing this form, the property owner or property owner's agent is granting permission for Planning Staff to conduct site inspections on the property.

☐ If no owner signature above, a letter of authorization from the owner is required.

For Staff Use

505289

CASE
NUMBER

12-2018-10321

State ID #

Alt Acct. #

DATE
SUBMITTED

4/18/18

ZONING

GGR-10

MCC CITATION
(For Qualifying Use)

Related Case No

Open UR/ZV

UR-2018-10088

By: GP

Instructions for applicants:

The checklist below asks you to confirm facts or conditions related to your property and your proposal. The numbered paragraphs in bold represent code requirements or criteria for development in the National Scenic Area (NSA). Those criteria are addressed when you check a box below each numbered paragraph. By checking a box, you are confirming that the corresponding statement applies to your project. Staff concurrence is indicated by initials in the boxes along the right column of this form. Please ensure that you check a box under every numbered paragraph or staff will not be able to process this application under the Expedited Review Process. The NSA Handout #4: Expedited Development Review Process that accompanies this form explains each of the criteria.

Scenic Resources

1. In the General Management Area, the scenic resource protection criteria shall not apply to woven-wire fences for agricultural use that would enclose 80 acres or less.

Staff initial:

HP

- ☐ This application includes new woven-wire fencing on property that is within the General Management Area (i.e. it is in a zone district that begins with "GG") and the fencing is for agricultural use and encloses an area that is less than 80 acres in size. *The scenic resource criteria do not apply to the new woven wire fence.*

[Proceed to the Recreational Resource criteria (page 4) if this application is only for fencing. Otherwise, respond to the remaining scenic criteria for other uses or development that are eligible for expedited review.]

- ☒ This application is not for new woven wire fencing. *The scenic resources criteria are applicable.*

2. The colors of structures topographically visible from key viewing areas shall be dark earth-tones found at the specific site or the surrounding landscape. The specific colors or list of acceptable colors shall be included as a condition of approval. This guideline shall not apply to additions, which may match the color of existing buildings.

Staff initial:

HP

- ☐ The application is for an addition to or modification of an existing structure, or placement of a new structure that is not topographically visible from a Key Viewing Area (KVA). The KVA(s) the structure is visible from are _____. The attached site plan illustrates how the structure is topographically screened from these KVA(s). *This criterion has been met.*

See NSA
Handout #4:
Expedited
Development
Review
Process, for
list of KVAs

Note to applicant: Show on the site plan the location of the terrain feature or landform that screens the structure with arrows identifying the vantage point from which the site is viewed from the KVA(s).

Attach plan

- ☐ The application is for an addition. As shown in the attached color chip and site photograph, the addition will match the color of the existing buildings. *This criterion has been met.*

Attach color
chip & photo
of existing
building

- ☒ The application is for an addition to or modification of an existing structure, or placement of a new structure that is topographically visible from one or more key viewing areas. As shown in the attached color chip and site photograph, the proposed addition will be dark earth tones that are found at the site or surrounding landscape. *This criterion has been met.*

Attach color chip(s) & photo(s) of structure & surrounding landscape

3. Structures topographically visible from key viewing areas shall use low or non-reflective building materials, including roofing, gutters, vents, and chimneys.

Staff initial:

HP

- ☐ The application does not involve a structure that is topographically visible from a key viewing area. *This criterion has been met.*

- ☒ The application includes structure(s) that are topographically visible from one or more key viewing areas. As shown in the attached samples, the proposed structure(s) will use low or non-reflective building materials. *This criterion has been met.*

Attach building material samples

4. Outdoor lights shall be directed downward and sited, hooded, and shielded such that they are not highly visible from key viewing areas. Shielding and hooding materials shall be composed of non-reflective, opaque materials.

Staff initial:

HP

- ☒ The application does not include outdoor lights. *This criterion is not applicable.*

- ☐ The application includes outdoor lights. As shown in the attached specification sheet, the proposed lights will be hooded and shielded and are composed of non-reflective, opaque materials. A site plan and/or elevation drawings shows the location of the lighting. Based on these drawings the lighting will not be highly visible from key viewing areas. *This criterion has been met.*

Attach spec sheet here

5. Structures within 1/2-mile of a key viewing area and topographically visible from the key viewing area shall be sited, screened and/or designed to achieve the applicable scenic standard (e.g., visual subordination, not visually evident).

Staff initial:

HP

- ☒ The application does not involve a structure that is within 1/2-mile of and topographically visible from a key viewing area. *This criterion is not applicable. Not topo visible from HCRH or Women's Forum*

- ☐ The application includes structure(s) that are within 1/2-mile of and topographically visible from (a) key viewing area(s). As shown on the attached site plan, and exterior architectural elevations or rendered photo, the proposed structure(s) will be sited, screened, and/or designed so that it achieves the standard of: ☒ visual subordination, or ☒ not visually evident

Attach elevations or photo of structure

Explain how standard is achieved.

Structure is hidden under trees and not visible from above. All colors are chosen to meet visual subordination (earth tones, non-reflective, etc).

This criterion has been met.

See NSA Handout #5: Designing for Approval

Recreation Resources

6. The development shall not detract from the use and enjoyment of established recreation sites on adjacent parcels.

Staff initial:

AP

- ☒ The attached site plan labels the uses on adjacent parcels. There is no established recreation site on an adjacent parcel. *This criterion is not applicable.*
- ☐ The attached site plan labels show that the property is adjacent to at least one established recreation site, but does not detract from the use and enjoyment of the site. The proposed development will not generate noise, dust, or odors at levels significant enough to impact the use. Also, the site plan shows that the proposed development would not interfere with access to the adjacent recreation site(s). *This criterion has been met.*

**Label
adjacent
uses on
attached
site plan**

Cultural Resources

7. The expedited development review process shall only be used to review proposed development that does not require a reconnaissance survey or historic survey.

Staff initial:

AP

Note to applicant: If an Indian tribe sends a letter in response to the application indicating that the proposal affects a treaty right or cultural resource, then the application can not be reviewed using the expedited development review process.

Reconnaissance Survey

Proposed development does not require a reconnaissance survey if it meets any of the following (check at least one that applies):

- ☐ Is limited to the modification, expansion, replacement, or reconstruction of existing buildings and structures.
- ☒ Will not disturb the ground (e.g. storage shed without a foundation)
- ☐ Occurs on a site that was previously disturbed by human activities where the depth and extent of the grading does not exceed prior ground disturbance.
- ☐ Involves minor ground disturbance, as defined by depth and extent (e.g. fence construction, footings for a deck, etc.)

Width _____ x Length _____ x Depth _____

Note to applicant: The project will not qualify for expedited review if the Gorge Commission disagrees that the activity results in minor disturbance.

- ☐ Occurs on a site that has been adequately surveyed in the past, or has been identified by the Gorge Commission, USFS Archaeologist, or private archaeologist as having a low probability of containing cultural resources.

**Show area
and type of
disturbance
on plan**

Attach survey

This criterion has been met.

Historic Survey

A historic survey is not required for the following activities (check at least one):

- ☒ There are no structures 50 years old or older on the property.
- ☐ There is/are structures 50 years old or older; however, the application does not alter the structure(s), nor does it compromise features of the surrounding area that help define the historic character of the structure(s).

This criterion has been met.

Natural Resources

Staff initial:

JP

Show rights-of-way or easement boundary on site plan

Staff initial:

MP

8. The development is outside buffer zones for wetlands, streams, rivers, ponds, and lakes. This guideline shall not apply to development located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained.

- ☐ The proposal is for development located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained. *This criterion is not applicable.*

- ☒ As shown on the attached site plan, proposed development is outside buffer zones for wetlands, streams, rivers, ponds, and lakes. *The criterion has been met.*

9. The development will not adversely impact sensitive wildlife or plant species or is at least 1,000 feet from known sensitive wildlife areas or sites (excluding sensitive aquatic species, deer winter range, and turkey habitat) and known sensitive plants. This guideline shall not apply to development that does not disturb the ground or is located inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained.

- ☒ As shown on the attached site plan and confirmed by planning staff, the proposed development is over 1,000 feet from known sensitive wildlife areas or sites (excluding sensitive aquatic species, deer winter range, and turkey habitat) and known sensitive plants. *This criterion has been met.*

- ☒ The proposed development does not disturb the ground or is inside road, utility or railroad rights-of-way or easements or other areas that have been previously disturbed and regularly maintained. *This criterion is not applicable.*

- ☐ Although proposed development is within 1,000 feet of a known sensitive wildlife area or site, the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (for GMA lands) or U.S. Forest Service (SMA lands) has determined that the area or site is not active, that development will not compromise the integrity of the wildlife area or site, or that development will not occur during a time of year that the wildlife species are sensitive to disturbance.

- ☐ Although proposed development is within 1,000 feet of known sensitive plants, a representative of the Oregon Natural Heritage Program or an expert in botany or plant ecology has determined that development will not occur within 200 feet of a sensitive plant species.

See land use staff for agency contacts

Attach agency confirmation

NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION

In accepting this application for expedited review, the Planning Director is granting preliminary approval of the development. The Gorge Commission, U.S. Forest Service, Indian tribal governments, and property owners within 750 feet of the subject tract will be given 14 days to provide comments. If no comments are received, the decision shall become final at the close of business on the 14th day. If substantive written comments are submitted, the Planning Director will either modify the decision to address the comments and re-issue it for a 14-day appeal period or re-direct the application to full review if comments establish that the proposed development is not eligible for expedited review.

Comments must be directed to the applicable approval criteria. Those in **bold** above are listed in MCC 38.7100 of the County code. Failure to provide comments during the comment period will preclude a right to appeal.

Conditions/Limitations of Approval

1. If, during construction, cultural or historic resources are discovered, the applicant/owner shall immediately cease development activities and inform the Multnomah County Land Use Planning Division, Columbia River Gorge Commission, and the U.S. Forest Service of any discovery pursuant to MCC 38.7045(L) & (M), or MCC 38.7050(H) as applicable. Once halted, construction activities shall not resume until these standards have been satisfied.
2. Approval of this land use permit is based upon the statements made in this application and attached materials. No work shall occur under this permit other than that which is specified in these documents.
3. Development of structures must be commenced within 2 years of the date of this decision, and completed within 2 years of the date of commencement. The property owner may request an extension of either of these timeframes, as provided in MCC 38.0700. Such a request must be made prior to expiration of the permit.

This decision is final at the close of the comment period unless comments are received. If no comments are received, the effective date of the decision is May 9, 2018.

FOR STAFF USE

At close of the comment period (check one that applies):

- ☐ No substantive written comments were received. The decision is final.
- ☐ Substantive written comments were received. The Planning Director will issue a letter addressing the comments and may modify this preliminary decision.
- ☐ Written comments were submitted showing that the proposed development is not eligible for expedited review. The project will be reviewed using the full development review process.

Staff initial: _____

Date: _____

Any comments received are included in the County records for this application.

- ☐ Fill out NSA DR Database Form for Gorge Commission and include copy with file.


**MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON
PROPERTY RECORDS**

Property Information

Property
InformationTax
SummaryAssessment
HistoryImprovement
InformationNew
SearchSearch
ResultsPrintable
Summary

Logoff

Search Results for R322841

Pay Now

Owner NameMONGAN,ANNIKA &
COLLINS,JANICE R**Property ID Number**

R322841

Owner Address39149 E KNIERIEM RD
CORBETT, OR 97019**Situs Address**39149 E KNIERIEM RD
CORBETT, OR 97019**Alternate Account Number**

R944360470

Neighborhood

R020

Map Tax Lot

1N4E36BD -00100

Levy Code Area - Taxing Districts

074

Portland Maps[Click to Open Map](#)**Information on Ordering Copies**[Click to Open Order Form](#)

Property Description

Exemption**Expiration Date****Tax Roll Description****Map Number**

SECTION 36 1N 4E, TL 100 6.84 ACRES

361N4E

1N4E36BD -00100

Parcel**Account Status**

A - Active

Property Use**Year Built****Acreage**

B - RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED

1968

6.84

Related Accounts**Linked Accounts****Split/Merge Account****Split/Merge Account Message**

Special Account Information

Sales Information

Deed	Grantor (Seller)	Grantee (Buyer)	Instrument	Date	Consideration Amount
WD	GRANBERG,DEANNA L	MONGAN,ANNIKA &	<u>2016127411</u>	10/10/16	\$740,000
INST	GRANBERG,DEANNA L	GRANBERG,DEANNA L	BP05210594		\$0

2018 Land Information (Unedited and Uncertified)

ID	Type	Acres	Sq Ft
----	------	-------	-------

INFORMATION SUBJECT TO DISCLAIMER - SEE [HOME PAGE](#)

© 2018 [Tyler Technologies, Inc.](#) - The Software Group Division



George PLUMMER <george.a.plummer@multco.us>

Re: T2-2018-10321 Expedited NSA Site Review for an accessory building

1 message

Annika Mongan <gypsika@gmail.com>

To: George PLUMMER <george.a.plummer@multco.us>

Fri, Apr 20, 2018 at 12:24 PM



Click with Caution - Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links, and Requests for Payment or Login Information.

Hi George,


hello from Germany! Here are the details:

The colors we picked are the same as we used for the re-painting of our quonset barn last year, which are a matte grass green matched with a darker forest green. All of the siding is wood. The roofing is forest green metal and will have a dark non-reflective asphalt tar coating applied. The windows were chose for low reflectivity (<10). The door is wooden, with window panels on the top half (same material and reflectivity as the normal windows).

Thanks again for all of your help!


Annika

STAKES FOR MEASURING
TOTAL AREA OF FLOOR PLAN




FLOOR PLAN FOR 8-SIDED
OUT BUILDING


open, no plumbing
open floor plan, no plumbing
4/9/2018 L Peterson




① NORTH ELEVATION



③ EAST ELEVATION



② SOUTH ELEVATION




④ WEST ELEVATION

[illegible]

Proposed yurt for yoga/office
work upslope of drain field.
No interior plumbing, open
floor plan. No concern to
septic. No site visit required,
2016 ~~ESER~~ w/ as-built reviewed.
4/9/18
L Peterson

140' per 1967
permit record

subject



SITE PLAN
1" = 60'-0"