ORS 251.355	JCVP-03	
Measure Argument for County Voters' Pa	mphlet	
Important! Read all instructions before completing this form. Use this form when filing a 'Measure Argument' County Voters' Pamphlet' with your County Elections office. Please note that each county produces a sepamphlet. If the jurisdiction or district is located in more than one county a separate 'Measure Argument form must be filed and the fee paid or certified petition provided to each county where the argument is	parate County Voters' t for Voters' Pamphlet'	
Filing Information		
Election: Primary 20 General 20_18 Special		
✓ Original Statement	9	
Argument in Favor Argument in Opposition Order # A - L		
"This information furnished by" (as it should appear in the Voters' Pamphlet):		
Cascade Policy Institute Argument paid for or petition provided by:		
	<u> </u>	
Cascade Policy Institute E-Mail: john@cascadepolic	y.org	
Phone: Cell: Work: (503) 242-0900 Home:		
Contact information for authorized changes:		
John A. Charles, Jr. E-Mail: john@cascadepolic	y.org	
Name of person authorized to make changes to Argument		
Phone: Cell: Work: (503) 242-0900 Home:	DI 18	
Filer checklist for Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet (VP).	()	
Typewritten & signed Measure Argument form and Argument for County VP.	71 ACC	
Fee or certified petition (Petition ID #) provided.	55 c	
(Mapplicable) Endorsement Statement #:		
word Co區t (325 MAX).	의 호 등	
By signing this document, I (we) hereby state I (we) am (are) responsible for the content of th	is argument. ०६५ 251.415	
Organization name person(s) is(are) authorized to represent, if applicable: Cascade Poli	icy Institute	
John A. Charles, Jr. Signature redacted	09/07/18	
Printed name of person furnishing argument	Date	
Printed name of person furnishing argument Signature of person furnishing argument	Date	
Printed name of person furnishing argument Signature of person furnishing argument	Date	
Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further details.		
For Office Use only:		
County: Of the Required Info? Of thes O No	at (325 max):	
Signour & res & rig	digital copy? O Yes ONo	
O Check #: 1,27 9 Received o	figital copy? O Yes ONo	

Amount \$_

400.00

intake Staff initials:

Review Staff initials:

Metro's Bond Measure Will Make Housing More Expensive

Measure 26-199 may be well intentioned, but it won't work.

Advocates claim that borrowing \$652.8 million will relieve the housing crisis. In fact, it will raise the price of all housing because property taxes will go up to pay off the bond debt. Metro claims that this will only cost the average homeowner about \$5.00/month, but that's misleading. No one knows when bonds will be sold or what the interest rates will be. Therefore, the cost per homeowner could be much higher.

Regardless, the total cost of repaying the loans, plus interest, likely will exceed \$1 billion in new property taxes.

Also, there is **no cap** on how much the government may spend on each housing unit, therefore voters cannot know what they are buying. Many recent public housing projects have cost more than \$250,000 per unit. If that trend continues, the measure might only pay for about 2,480 new units.

Private sector homebuilders produce over 10,000 new units each year; adding 99 more annually through this bond measure will be meaningless.

Measure 26-199 also allows Metro and local governments to keep 5% of bond proceeds for "administrative costs." This means \$32.64 million will be lost to the bureaucracy.

Since 1970, regional population has grown by 78%, while the supply of buildable land allowed by Metro has only grown by 10%. Lack of land supply is the central problem, and this measure does nothing to address it.

In addition, Metro has imposed a "construction excise tax" on new housing since 2006. This tax should be repealed. We cannot make housing more affordable by taxing it.

VOTE NO on Measure 26-199, so that we can focus on other solutions that will actually work.

John A. Charles, Jr. President & CEO Cascade Policy Institute Portland, OR

ORS 251.355 JCVP-03
Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet
Important! Read all instructions before completing this form. Use this form when filing a 'Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet' with your County Elections office. Please note that each county produces a separate County Voters' Pamphlet. If the jurisdiction or district is located in more than one county a separate 'Measure Argument for Voters' Pamphlet' form must be filed and the fee paid or certified petition provided to each county where the argument is to be printed. Filing Information
Election: Primary 20 Special Special Special
Original Statement Amended Statement Measure $\# 26 - \cancel{199}$
Argument in Favor Argument in Opposition Order # A - 1
"This information furnished by" (as it should appear in the Voters' Pamphlet):
Argument paid for or petition provided by:
Taxpoyers Association of Olegen E-Mail: Oregon Watchelog @ GMAIL. Com Name of person or organization paying or submitting petition for argument
Phone: Cell: Work: 603-603-9009 Home:
Contact information for authorized changes:
Name of person authorized to make changes to Argument E-Mail: OV Cgcn cuartchdog @ GMAIL-Com
Phone: Cell: Work: 503 · 603 ·
Filer checklist for Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet (VP).
Typewritten & signed Measure Argument form and Argument for County VP.
Fee or certified petition (Petition ID #) provided.
(If applicable) Endorsement Statement #:
☐ Word Count (325 MAX).
By signing this document, I (we) hereby state I (we) am (are) responsible for the content of this argument. ORS 2S1.415
Organization name person(s) is (are) authorized to represent, if applicable:
Jasen williams Signature redacted 9/10/18
Printed name of person furnishing argument Ing argument Date
Printed name of person furnishing argument Signature of person furnishing argument Date
Printed name of person furnishing argument Signature of person furnishing argument Date
Note: If this argument is not being filed by a registered Oregon Political Committee, you may be required to register as a political committee with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Finance Manual for further details.
For Office Use only:
County: Multipopush Required Info? Signed Oves O No Word count (325 max): 304 Signed Oves O No Providing digital copy? (Signed Over O No
Cash-receipt #: 2901 Signed Oves O No Providing digital copy? O Yes O No Received digital copy? O Yes O No

Endorsements? O Yes #

Intake Staff Initials:

Review Staff inItials:

O Check #:_

Amount \$ 400

8 reasons to oppose 26-199 By Taxpayer Association Oregon

- 1. Oregonians already live in the top 10 highest tax states in the nation.
- 2. Raising property taxes (on the nation's top 10 highest tax state) will make everyone's homes MORE unaffordable, not less.
- 3. Did you know, Metro is one of the ONLY regional governments in the entire nation? It is a \$660 million bureaucracy that doesn't exist in other states. The Oregonian Editorial Board said of Measure 26-199, "Metro's involvement means adding a new layer of bureaucracy." (6-10-18).
- **4. New slush fund could spend on anything but affordable housing.** Buried in the text of the measure, Metro hints that some of the money, "without limitation" would be spent on "grocery, coffee shop, ... and other commercial, office and retail uses."
- **5. Sky-high Price Tag.** Metro estimates it will cost \$225,000 per apartment unit which is nearly DOUBLE what many private developers say is needed to build simple affordable apartment units.
- **6. Metro has no experience building affordable housing.** You'll be writing them a \$652 million blank check.
- **7.** Metro's long history of financial mismanagement. The Oregonian stated, "A 2016 audit exposed serious defects in how the agency manages its planning and spending on capital projects...the audit concluded that managers moved capital projects along without adequate planning or approved budgets. They overspent without going through channels for approval, misreported expenditures" (6-24-18).
- 8. Oregonians are already paying a billion more in new taxes in the last two years. Oregon lawmakers recently passed a \$1.3 billion small business tax (2018), 10-cent gas tax increase (2017), newly created payroll income tax (2017), car tax (2017) and over 560 fee increases (2017).

Taxpayer Association of Oregon Urges No on 26-199

-- Follow our popular Oregon tax and political news website at <u>OregonWatchdog.com</u> -- updated daily for 17 years.

Words: 304

Measure Argument for County Voters' Pamphlet

County Voters' Pamphlet' with your County Elect Pamphlet. If the jurisdiction or district is located	cions office. Please note that each county pro	duces a separate County Voters'	
form must be filed and the fee paid or certified p		-	
Filing Information			
Election: Primary 20	General 20_18 Special_		
Original Statement Amende	d Statement Measure # 26	_ 199	
Argument in Favor ✓ Argumen	t in Opposition Order # //	<u>-3</u>	
"This information furnished by" (as it sho	uld appear in the Voters' Pamphlet):		
Affordable Housing for Who?	,		
Argument paid for or petition provided by	y:		
Affordable Housing for Who?	E-Mail: andy@duyckn	nachine.com	
Name of person or organization paying or subm			
Phone: Cell:	Work: (503) 685-9400 Home:		
Contact information for authorized char	ges:		
Andy Duyck	ndy Duyck E-Mail: andy@duyckmachine.com		
Name of person authorized to make changes to		7 0	
Phone: Cell:	Work: (503) 685-9400 Home:	SEP TO	
Filer checklist for Measure Argument for Coun	ty Voters' Pamphlet (VP).		
Typewritten & signed Measure Argume	nt form and Argument for County VP.	P	
Fee or certified petition (Petition ID #_) provided.		
(If applicable) Endorsement Statement	t:	: 08	
Word Count (325 MAX).		io G	
By signing this document, I (we) hereby stat	e I (we) am (are) responsible for the cont	ent of this argument. ORS 2S1.415	
Organization name person(s) is(are) author	ized to represent, if applicable: Afforda	ble Housing for Who?	
Andy Duyck	Signature redacted	09/10/18	
Printed name of person furnishing argument	Signature of person furnishing argume		
Printed name of person furnishing argument	Signature of person furnishing argume	ent Date	
Printed name of person furnishing argument	Signature of person furnishing argume	ent Date	
Note: If this argument is not being filed by a register with the Secretary of State. Refer to the Campaign Fi		d to register as a political committee	
For Office Use only:		Word count (325 max): 3 2 4	
O County: MalT	Required Info? Yes No		
O Cash-receipt #: O Check #: / 3 3	Signed? Q Yes O No	Providing digital copy? O Yes ONo Received digital copy? O Yes O No	
Amount \$ 400	Endorsements? O Yes # No Intake Staff initials:	Review Staff initials:	
		/ (

Measure 26-199 is the wrong solution to a very real problem!

Like many Oregonians, we are concerned about the exponentially growing costs of housing in the Portland area. Buyers and renters alike are struggling every day to find housing that both fits the needs of their family and is manageable for their household budget. Our government ought to step forward and lend a helping hand to those most in need. However, **Measure 26-199** is the wrong solution, and may even worsen our housing problems.

Measure 26-199 asks voters to increase their property taxes by \$652.8 million to allow Metro, our regional government tasked with overseeing land management, to step into the business of housing. With these funds, Metro says it will build new homes and renovate existing homes, but has done very little planning for its specific use of these funds. In fact, Metro's own documents say the money can be repurposed for "childcare services, healthcare services, greenspace, grocery, coffee shop, onsite utility and building facilities, and other commercial, office and retail uses."

The need for more and affordable units is undeniable. The problem with this proposal is not that our leaders are focusing on the wrong problem, it is that they have the wrong solution. <u>It simply does not make any sense to raise taxes on housing to make housing more affordable</u>. Whether you are a renter or a homeowner, you will see your housing costs increase while receiving no additional service in exchange.

Rather than increasing our property taxes by \$652.8 million, Metro should spend time addressing the barriers to affordable housing, such as increasing the supply of land for new homes, leveraging public resources to incentivize the development of affordable units and developing housing policies that prioritize affordability. All of these ideas fit in Metro's existing mission without any new taxes.

Affordable Housing for WHO? is a group of elected officials, businesses and community members concerned about the Metro housing bond.