ATTACHMENT B

(PC-2018-10262)

Detailed GIS Analysis of Landslide Map Data Conducted by Multnomah County Asset Management, 2018

	Total Map		Total # Taxlots	% of Total	Total #	% of Total
	Coverage (sq	% Coverage of	Affected	# Taxlots	Buildings	# Building
	miles/acres)	Planning Jurisdiction	(Full/Partial)	Affected	Affected	Affected
Existing County Land	slide Maps					
Existing Slope						
Hazard	36	_	366	4.95%	_	
			2980 (includes			
	23224	13.24%	full and partial)	40.26%	934	9.31%
DOGAMI Landslide D	eposits Maps			1		
Deposits + Scarp						
Flanks	46	_	983	13.28%	_	
	29567	16.91%	2085	28.17%	611	6.09%
Deposits + Scarp						
Flanks (Pre-Historic)	22	_	729	9.85%	_	
	14469	8.09%	1496	20.21%	505	5.03%
Deposits + Scarp						
Flanks (Historic)	25		198	2.68%		
	16431	9.19%	877	11.85%	90	0.90%
DOGAMI Landslide S	usceptibility Ma	ips				
DOGAMI Shallow						
Landslide						
Susceptibility (Medium Risk Areas)	26		770	10.40%		
(Wedium Risk Areas)		_			_	
	27571	13.24%	2723	36.79%	4389	43.75%
DOGAMI Shallow						
Landslide Susceptibility (High						
Risk Areas)	43	15.81%	341	4.61%	1921	19.15%

	27554		1374	18.57%		
DOGAMI Shallow Landslide Susceptibility						
(Medium + High Risk Areas)	85		1023	13.82%	4394	
Aleasy		24.25%			4394	42.00%
	55125	31.25%	4009	54.17%		43.80%
DOGAMI Deep Landslide Susceptibility						
(Medium Risk Areas)	34	_	679	9.17%	_	
	21849	12.50%	2632	35.56%	1342	13.38%
DOGAMI Deep Landslide Susceptibility (High Risk Areas)	24		253	3.42%		
	15424	8.82%	1286	17.38%	537	5.35%
DOGAMI Deep Landslide Susceptibility (Medium + High Risk Areas)	58		932	12.59%		
	37273	21.32%	3918	52.94%	1795	17.89%
DOGAMI Shallow + Deep Landslide Susceptibility (Medium + High Risk Areas)	93		3399	45.93%		
	59656	34.19%	5669	76.60%	4609	45.95%
	55050	54.1570	5005	70.0070	4005	43.3370
Areas OUTSIDE of All	Landslide Area	(deposits, scarp flank	s, shallow/deep su	iscep)- New	DOGAMI da	ta, does no
include the existing s	lope hazard					
	Total Area Outside All Landslide Maps Above	% of Unincorporated County Planning Jurisdiction outside Mapped Landslide Areas	Total # Tax Lots Fully Outside Mapped Landslide Areas	% of Total Tax Lots outside mapped landslide area	Total # of Buildings Not Covered	% of Tota # Buildings not covered
acres	100206					
					1	

square mile

157

57.47%

1162

15.70%

5220

52.04%

All Landslide Area (deposits, scarp flanks, shallow/deep suscep)- New DOGAMI data, does not include the existing slope hazard

		% of unincorporated		% taxlots		
	Total Area	county planning		within		% of tota
	Outside All	jurisdiction within	Total # tax lots	mapped	#	#
	Landslide	mapped landslide	within mapped	landslide	Buildings	buildings
	Maps Above	areas	landslide areas	area	covered	covered
Acres	74153					
square mile	115	42.53%	6239	84.30%	4811	47.96%
						% of Tota
	Total Map		Total # Taxlots	% of Total	Total #	#
	Coverage (sq	% Coverage of	Affected	# Taxlots	Buildings	Buildings
	miles/acres)	Planning Jurisdiction	(Full/Partial)	Affected	Affected	Affected
Existing County Land	slide Maps				L	
Existing Slope Hazard	36		366	4.95%		
Hazard	23224	13.24%	2980	40.26%	934	9.31%
Fuisting Clause	23224	13.2470	2980	40.2076	534	9.5170
Existing Slope						
Hazard Map + Lands						
With Average Slope						
of 25% or More						
Falling Outside						
Existing Slope Hazard Map Areas	108	39.71%	4065	54.93%	1272	12.68%
nazalu Map Aleas	108	33.71%	4005	54.55%	1272	12.00%
Slope 25% or more o	utside existing sl	one hazard man				
Area in Acres	45980					
Area in Square Miles	71.8					
	71.0					
			% increase from			
Existing Slope Hazard Map + Lands			previous slope			
With Average Slope of 25% or More			hazard coverage			
Falling Outside Existing Slope Hazard		Existing Slope hazard	-			
Map Areas		area	hazard coverage			
Area in Acres	69201	23224				
Area in Square Miles	108	36	200.00%			
		e. However landslides t				
than 100 square met	ers (1,075 square	e feet) may not be iden	tified due to the			

resolution of the lida landslide areas in the their level of suscepti specific information of Prediction or estimat included	inventory may l bility. Because it on every landslid	nave been mitigated, is not feasible to col e, potential mitigatic	thereby reducing lect detailed site- on has been ignored.		
*Excludes lands in Multnomah County not managed by county planning including cities and unincorporated urban lands managed by cities by intergovernmental agreement. **Building footprints obtained from Metro data. Building layer information collected in 2008 and does not show post- 2008 development.					