

### **Multnomah County is** creating an earthquake-ready downtown river crossing.

BETTER - SAFER - CONNECTED

November 9, 2020

### Community Task Force – Agenda Meeting #19

| Project:  | Earthquake Ready Burnside Bridge       |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| Subject:  | Community Task Force Meeting #19       |  |  |
| Date:     | November 9, 2020                       |  |  |
| Time:     | Early Arrivals: 5:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.  |  |  |
|           | Meeting Timing: 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. |  |  |
| Location: | WebEx Virtual Meeting                  |  |  |

#### TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Amy Rathfelder, Portland Business Alliance Art Graves, Multnomah County Bike and Pedestrian Citizen Advisory Committee Dennis Corwin, Portland Spirit Ed Wortman, Community Member Frederick Cooper, Laurelhurst Neighborhood **Emergency Team and Laurelhurst** Neighborhood Association Gabe Rahe, Burnside Skate Park Howie Bierbaum, Portland Saturday Market Jackie Tate, Community Member Jane Gordon, University of Oregon Jennifer Stein, Central City Concern Marie Dodds, AAA of Oregon Neil Jensen, Gresham Area Chamber of Commerce Paul Leitman, Oregon Walks Peter Englander, Old Town Community Peter Finley Fry, Central Eastside Industrial Council

Stella Funk Butler, Coalition of Gresham **Neighborhood Associations** Susan Lindsay, Buckman Community Association Tesia Eisenberg, Mercy Corps Timothy Desper, Portland Rescue Mission William Burgel, Portland Freight Advisory Committee

#### PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS

Megan Neill, Multnomah County Mike Pullen, Multnomah County Heather Catron, HDR Cassie Davis, HDR Steve Drahota, HDR Liz Stoppelmann, HDR Jeff Heilman, Parametrix Allison Brown, JLA Sarah Omlor, Envirolssues

### **Meeting Purpose:**

Sharon Wood Wortman, Community Member

- Provide a project update on recent activities
- Provide an overview on bridge types and trade-offs
- Explore criteria themes and topics that reflect community interests





Multnomah County is creating an earthquake-ready downtown river crossing.

BETTER - SAFER - CONNECTED

November 9, 2020

## Agenda:

| Time      | Session  | Lead          |
|-----------|--|---------------|
| 5:30 p.m. | Early Arrivals   | Project Team  |
|           | WebEx meeting platform will be available for folks that want to<br>join early and test computer functions before meeting start     |               |
| 6:00 p.m. | Welcome, Introductions and Housekeeping  | Allison Brown |
|           | <ul><li>Meeting protocols</li><li>Round table introductions</li></ul>  |               |
| 6:05 p.m. | Public Comment   | Allison Brown |
|           | Acknowledge any Public Comments received   |               |
| 6:20 p.m. | Project Update   | Steve Drahota |
|           | Working Groups   | Jeff Heilman  |
|           | <ul> <li>Urban Design &amp; Aesthetics</li> </ul>  |               |
|           | Bridge & Seismic   |               |
|           | Historic & Cultural Resources  |               |
| 6:40 p.m. | Bridge Types Overview  | Steve Drahota |
| 7:00 p.m. | Criteria Development   | Steve Drahota |
|           | Review key themes and draft criteria topics  | Allison Brown |
|           | <ul> <li>Preview what we are hearing from the Urban Design &amp; Aesthetics<br/>and Bridge &amp; Seismic working groups</li> </ul> |               |
|           | <u>CTF Discussion</u>  |               |
|           | Did we miss anything?  |               |
|           | <ul> <li>Do these topics represent the key interests we should consider for<br/>Type Selection?</li> </ul>                         |               |
| 7:40 p.m. | Next Steps   | Allison Brown |
| 7:45 p.m. | Open Discussion  | All           |
| 8:00 p.m. | Adjourn  | All           |

The purpose of the CTF is to serve as an advisory body to Multnomah County by:

- Considering the potential environmental impacts of the alternatives
- Providing informed insights and opinions on the impacts being evaluated
- Discussing technical recommendations, suggesting measures to avoid, minimize or mitigate potential impacts
- Representing the interests, needs and opinions of community, business organizations and groups
- Considering input and information from other community members, stakeholders and interested parties.

CTF members approached by interest groups other than their own constituencies are encouraged to share these conversations at CTF meetings. For information contact Mike Pullen, County Communications Office at <a href="mike.j.pullen@multco.us">mike.j.pullen@multco.us</a>





# Earthquake Ready Burnside Bridge Better. Safer. Connected.



| <b>EQRB Working Grou</b>   | p / Focus Group Sch  | nedule   |                             |  |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
| NAME   | OBJECTIVE  | WHAT / AGENDA TOPICS   | WHEN                        | STATUS                                       |
| Constructability   | Construction<br>methods and<br>durations;<br>range of potential<br>impacts           | Initial discussion of bridge foundation,<br>movable bridge, and fixed approach bridge<br>construction measures and risks.  Discussion of Type Selection evaluation<br>criteria.                                    | mid-January, 2021           | Not Scheduled                                |
|  |  | 2. Refined discussion of movable bridge and fixed approach bridge construction measures and risks. Discussion of construction impact mitigation to adjacent buildings. Discussion of long-term maintenance issues. | mid-February,<br>2021       | Not Scheduled*                               |
|  |  | 3. TBD   | TBD (April 2021, if needed) | Not Scheduled                                |
| Bridge and Seismic   | Technical bridge<br>design<br>differentiators;<br>seismic<br>performance<br>findings | 1. Project Orientations for Long-span alternative and Bridge Design Criteria; Conceptual Design findings for East / West approach spans; Conceptual Design findings for Movable bridge span.                       | 10/23/2020                  | Completed                                    |
|  |  | 2. Discussion of foundation design approach and findings; seismic design approach and findings; and key option differentiators. Discussion of Type Selection evaluation criteria.                                  | mid-December,<br>2020       | Not Scheduled                                |
|  |  | Discussion of CTF bridge type selection recommendation.  | TBD mid-March<br>2021       | Not Scheduled                                |
| Historic & Cultural<br>Resources (Includes<br>Cultural Resoures<br>Consulting Parties) | Impacts to historical<br>and archaeological<br>resources                             | Address final issues on DOEs before requesting FHWA and ACHP concurrence   | 10/2/2020                   | Completed                                    |
|  |  | Consulting Parties Meeting: DOEs, draft FOEs, potential mitigation   | 11/30/2020                  | Not Scheduled                                |
|  |  | 3 Coordinate on relevant actions needed to address comments on the DEIS, and for 106 agreement   | April 2021                  | Not Scheduled                                |
|  |  | 4. 106 Agreement coordination  | June 2021                   | Not Scheduled                                |
| Natural Resources  | Impacts to natural resources   | Approximately 3 more meetings in 2020 with NMFS in preparation for submitting the BA   | Oct, Nov, Dec               | 1st scheduled.<br>Others not yet<br>schedule |
|  |  | 2.Check in with federal and state resource permitting agencies following DEIS issuance.  | March, 2021                 | Not Scheduled                                |
|  |  | Second check in with federal and state permitting agencies. Any updates with Bridge Type study and other issues relevant to permits  |                             | Not Scheduled                                |
|  |  | Per permit application submittal coordination with federal and state permitting agencies   | November, 2021              | Not Scheduled                                |



# **Earthquake Ready Burnside Bridge**Better. Safer. Connected.



| NI A BAIT                    | OD IEOTIVE                                    | WILLAT / A OFNID A TODICO                    | NAME OF STREET | OT ATUO       |
|------------------------------|---|--|----------------|---------------|
| NAME                         | OBJECTIVE                                     | WHAT / AGENDA TOPICS                         | WHEN           | STATUS        |
| Urban Design &<br>Aesthetics | To inform early                               | 1. UDAWG Chartering; UDAWG process;          | 9/29/2020      | Completed     |
|                              | urban design and aesthetics                   | Project Background; Architectural            |                |               |
|                              | considerations.                               | context and setting                          |                |               |
|                              | considerations.                               | 2. Character of Portland reflections;        | 10/14/2020     | Completed     |
|                              |   | Bridge technical Needs and Criteria          |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   | 3. Key UDAWG Themes; Menu of Bridge          | 10/28/2020     | Completed     |
|                              |   | types; Bridge Aspirations and                |                |               |
|                              |   | Opportunities                                |                |               |
|                              |   | Reduced List of Bridge Options;              | 11/4/2020      | Scheduled     |
|                              |   | Focused West Bridge Approach Study at        |                |               |
|                              |   | Waterfront Park; Initial Type Selection      |                |               |
|                              |   | evaluation criteria discussion for West/East |                |               |
|                              |   | Approach Spans                               |                |               |
|                              |   |  | 44/40/2022     | 0 1 1 1       |
|                              |   | 5. Reduced List of Bridge Options; Focused   | 11/18/2020     | Scheduled     |
|                              |   | Movable Bridge Study; Initial Type           |                |               |
|                              |   | Selection evaluation criteria discussion for |                |               |
|                              |   | Movable Spans                                |                |               |
|                              |   | 6. Total bridge Composition; Bridge          | 12/2/2020      | Scheduled     |
|                              |   | Typology; Draft recommended visual and       |                |               |
|                              |   | Urban Design Type Selection evaluation       |                |               |
|                              |   | criteria                                     |                |               |
|                              |   | 7. Finalize recommended visual and Urban     | 12/16/2020     | Scheduled     |
|                              |   | Design Type Selection evaluation criteria;   |                |               |
|                              |   | Input of Range of feasible Bridge types;     |                |               |
|                              |   | Input on Visual Performance Standards        |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   | 8. To discuss Community input and criteria   | 3/10/2021      | Scheduled     |
|                              |   | weightings and rating definitions            |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   | 9. To review community input and CTF         | 6/2/2021       | Scheduled     |
|                              |   | preferred bridge type recommendation         |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
| Diversity / Equity /         | engagement<br>strategies, DEI<br>criteria and | Review and get input on DEI-related          | Jan/Feb 2021   | Not Scheduled |
| Inclusion                    |   | Criteria and Measures and Range of           |                |               |
|                              |   | Feasible Bridge Type Options                 | 14 0004        | N 10 1 1 1 1  |
|                              |   | 2. Review and get input on CTF Bridge        | May 2021       | Not Scheduled |
|                              | measures and                                  | Type recommendation.                         |                |               |
|                              | bridge type options                           |  |                |               |
|                              | that align with DEI principles.               |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |
|                              |   |  |                |               |



# Earthquake Ready Burnside Bridge Better. Safer. Connected.



| EQRB Working Group / Focus Group Schedule |                 |   |                           |               |  |
|---|-----------------|---|---------------------------|---------------|--|
| NAME                                      | OBJECTIVE       | WHAT / AGENDA TOPICS  | WHEN                      | STATUS        |  |
| Multi-Modal                               |                 | Discussion of Active Transportation     Network options & benefits (Eastbank     Esplanade connection); Discussion of Draft     Multi-modal Type Selection evaluation     criteria                        | mid-December,<br>2020     | Not Scheduled |  |
|   | modal networks. | 2. Discussion of community input and criteria weightings and rating definitions; Discussion of select DEIS comments; Refined bridge cross-sections and profile grades for range of feasible bridge types. | Mar, 2021                 | Not Scheduled |  |
|   |                 | To review community input and CTF preferred bridge type recommendation  | May, 2021                 | Not Scheduled |  |
|   |                 | 4. To review final information within the FEIS  | July, 2021 (if<br>needed) | Not Scheduled |  |