

1600 SE 190th Avenue, Portland Oregon 97233-5910 • PH. (503) 988-3043 • Fax (503) 988-3389

## **DRAFT** Attachment 1 – to the 2021 PC Work Program

List of 'on-deck' potential future Planning Commission Work Program Projects
Updated December 22, 2020

Below is a list of 'on-deck' projects that are not included in the current work program. Staff maintains this list as a way to keep track of projects for inclusion in future work programs along with other sources such as legislative bills related to land use and the 2016 Comprehensive Plan. Though 'on-deck' projects are not included in the current work program, projects may be brought to the Planning Commission when an issue becomes more pressing and/or the opportunity arises to elevate the project. 'On deck' projects (or specific aspects of these projects) may be bundled with related projects for efficiency. The following nine items are new since last year: B9, B12, B17, and B48 through B52.

## **Table B: 'On-Deck' Projects** - Unlikely to be advanced in 2021 given existing resources. Can be considered for advancement to a future Work Program during future annual work program discussions **Project Name & Brief Summary** Year Added Status Source **Year Either** Added to Work Program or **Identified** Significant Environmental Concern Permit / Goal 5 Resources SEC-v Create Type 1 review and/or exemption to SEC-2016 Work Comp Plan v permit for small projects, such as an addition, efficiencies: session 2016 if pre-defined colors and materials used. held June (PC-2019-3. 2019. 11927) Decision made to change the scope of the project Fold some items into housekeepi ng project.

|    | T                |  |             |      |           |
|----|------------------|--|-------------|------|-----------|
|    |                  |  | And defer   |      |           |
|    |                  |  | more        |      |           |
|    |                  |  | difficult   |      |           |
|    |                  |  | work to the |      |           |
|    |                  |  | project     |      |           |
|    |                  |  | noted in B8 |      |           |
|    |                  |  | below.      |      |           |
| B2 | ESEE             | Updates to SEC habitat, SEC stream and SEC             | Work        | 2017 | Comp Plan |
|    | Implementation   | water resource extent based off                        | session     |      | '         |
|    | ; 2017           | Comprehensive Plan ESEE analyses.                      | held April  |      |           |
|    | (PC-2017-7228)   | Comprehensive   Name 2022 aman, 2000                   | 3, 2017.    |      |           |
| В3 | Update SEC       | Implements Comprehensive Plan Policy 5.18 -            | Some        | 2017 | Comp Plan |
| ВЗ | •                | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                  |             | 2017 | Comp Fian |
|    | Maps, overlay    | 5.26: Update SEC maps and stream centerlines.          | mapping     |      |           |
|    | extent and       |  | has         |      |           |
|    | stream           |  | occurred.   |      |           |
|    | centerlines (PC- |  | May         |      |           |
|    | 2017-9602);      |  | integrate   |      |           |
|    | 2017             |  | with ESEE   |      |           |
|    |                  |  | project     |      |           |
|    |                  |  | above.      |      |           |
| B4 | Consider         | Multnomah County produced aerial LiDAR                 | Not yet     | 2016 | Staff     |
|    | adopting LiDAR   | based maps identifying lands topographically           | begun.      |      |           |
|    | visibility maps  | visible at different altitudes above the ground        |             |      |           |
|    | to exempt more   | from local Identified Viewing Areas. Project goal      |             |      |           |
|    | development      | is to legislatively adopt LiDAR based maps             |             |      |           |
|    | requests from    | within the boundaries of the SEC-v zoning              |             |      |           |
|    | SEC-v review;    | district so staff has authority to exempt projects     |             |      |           |
|    | 2016             | from SEC-v review, which will not be visible.          |             |      |           |
|    | 2010             | Staff currently must make this determination           |             |      |           |
|    |                  | •  |             |      |           |
|    |                  | through a land use review.                             |             |      |           |
|    |                  | Adamb Lidan mana af waat billa fan Ol                  |             |      |           |
|    |                  | Adopt Lidar maps of west hills for 0'                  |             |      |           |
|    |                  | feet to update SEC-v layer, and/or;                    |             |      |           |
|    |                  |  |             |      |           |
|    |                  | <ul> <li>Adopt Lidar maps of west hills for</li> </ul> |             |      |           |
|    |                  | 0,5,10,15,20,25,30,35,40 feet and refer                |             |      |           |
|    |                  | to these maps in exemption. Goal is to                 |             |      |           |
|    |                  | justify more exemptions for projects                   |             |      |           |
|    |                  | which will not be visible at top height of             |             |      |           |
|    |                  | structure.   |             |      |           |
|    |                  |  |             |      |           |
|    |                  | Create exemption for projects under                    |             |      |           |
|    |                  | 400 or 500sf if certain specified or                   |             |      |           |
|    |                  | referenced materials and colors are                    |             |      |           |
|    |                  | used.  |             |      |           |
| B5 | SEC-h building   | Implements Comprehensive Plan Policy 5.36:             | Not yet     | 2018 | Comp Plan |
| ВЗ | _                | ·  | •           | 2010 | Comp Plan |
|    | footprint; 2018  | Limit size and footprint of houses in SEC-h            | begun.      |      |           |
|    | l                | overlay in order to minimize harm to wildlife.         |             |      |           |

| В6  | Tree Removal<br>and Tree<br>Planting in SEC-<br>h; <b>2018</b>                              | Implements Comprehensive Plan Policy 5.40: Update development requirements related to tree removal and tree plantings.  | Not yet<br>begun.                          | 2018 | Comp Plan |
|-----|---|---|--|------|-----------|
| В7  | Add prohibited uses list to PAM code from the West Hills Reconciliation Report; <b>2019</b> | Amend PAM code to specifically list prohibited uses that are in the West Hills Reconciliation report. Consider adding the allowed and conditional uses from the Reconciliation Report as well.  | Not yet<br>begun.                          | 2019 | Staff     |
| B8  | SEC-h Sub-<br>designations  | Consider sub designations in the SEC-h that are protective of species of concern / critical habitat areas. This would require additional ESEE analysis to determine the geography and recommended criteria for these additional Goal 5 overlays.  | Not yet<br>begun.                          | 2019 | FPNA      |
| B9  | Clarify term<br>'within' at MCC<br>39.5860 (B)(2)   | 39.5860 (B)(2): Consider a definition for the term 'within' for MCC 39.5860(B)(2): "Development shall occur within 200 feet of a public road capable of providing reasonable practical access to the developable portion of the site."  | Not yet<br>begun.                          | 2020 | Staff     |
| B10 | SEC criteria – vegetation favorable to pollinators  | Consider SEC mitigation criteria that allows for native shrubs / low growing vegetation that favors pollinators (bees, butterflies etc.). Especially useful under power lines easements.  | Not yet<br>begun.                          | 2019 | FPNA      |
| B11 | Define 'subject<br>property' for<br>the purpose of<br>MCC<br>39.5860(A)(7)                  | Consider defining 'subject property' for the purpose of MCC 39.5860(A)(7), "The nuisance plants in MCC 39.5580 Table 1 shall not be planted on the subject property and shall be removed and kept removed from cleared areas of the subject property." This would be helpful when considering projects that are within an easement. | Not yet<br>begun.                          | 2019 | FPNA      |
| B12 | Simplify and<br>Restructure the<br>SEC Code   | The SEC code appears to have become overly complex and difficult to navigate. The goal of this project will be to achieve the same or better Goal 5 protections through a more streamlined SEC Code.  | Not yet<br>begun.                          | 2020 | Staff     |
| Puk | olic Facilities   | s and Utilities   |  |      |           |
| B13 | Public Facilities;<br>2017  | Implements Comprehensive Plan Strategy 11.10-1: Public Facilities. Requires alternatives analysis prior to approving electrical substation or water system storage tank or reservoir intending to solely serve uses within the UGB.   | Not yet<br>begun.                          | 2017 | Comp Plan |
| B14 | Under-<br>grounding<br>Communication<br>Lines; <b>2019</b>                                  | Implements Comprehensive Plan Strategy<br>11.14-2: Undergrounding Communication Lines.<br>Require undergrounding of personal power and  | Not yet<br>begun.<br>Possible<br>candidate | 2019 | Comp Plan |

|  | (PC-2019-<br>11702)   | communication lines serving new or replaced development.   | for<br>housekeepi<br>ng bill.  |      |           |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Procedures, Review Process, Use Assessment, Covenants, Tax Deferral, Lot of Record |   |  |  |      |           |  |  |  |
| B15  | Lot of Record<br>Maps; <b>2017</b>                              | Adopt historic tax assessment property configuration maps and establish associated standards to help streamline Lot of Record (legal parcel) determinations. Consider process improvements including creating a Type 1 lot of record review.  Comprehensive Plan Policies 1.1 and 2.40 | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2017 | Comp Plan |  |  |  |
| B16  | Lot of Record –<br>Measure 49<br>Remainder Lots;<br><b>2019</b> | Add a standard in MCC 39.3005 (Lot of Record Generally) that explicitly allows the County recognize remainder lots or parcels.   | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2019 | Staff     |  |  |  |
| B17  | Lot of Record<br>flexibility                                    | Concept: Create a degree of flexibility in the code around LOR. Are there any ways to better help property owners resolve LOR problems who didn't create the issue?  | Not yet<br>begun   | 2020 | Staff     |  |  |  |
| B18  | Lot of Record<br>Deed<br>Restriction;<br>2017                   | Implements Comprehensive Plan Policy 3.3: Lot of Record Deed Restriction.  | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2017 | Comp Plan |  |  |  |
| B19  | Procedural<br>Provisions<br>Update (PC-<br>2012-2296);<br>2012  | Update procedural provisions.  | Planning Commissio n work sessions held 10.1.12, 2.4.13 and 6.3.13. Project was put on hold until after conclusion of Code Consolidati on. | 2012 | Staff     |  |  |  |
| B20  | Review<br>Processes; <b>2018</b>                                | Consider most appropriate review path for various uses. Comprehensive Plan Policies 1.1 and 2.40   | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2018 | Comp Plan |  |  |  |
| B21  | Conditional<br>Uses; <b>2018</b>                                | Re-evaluate Conditional Use / Community Service provisions to determine if currently listed Conditional Uses are still appropriate within each zoning district. Comprehensive Plan Policies 2.8 and 3.6  | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2018 | Comp Plan |  |  |  |

| B22 | Right to Farm<br>and Forestry<br>Measures in RC<br>& MUA-20;<br>2018           | Implements Comprehensive Plan Policy 2.32 & Strategy 3.15-1 & 3.15-2: Implement right to farm measures for new or expanding uses in RC zone when adjacent to EFU or CFU zones. Implement right to farm measures for new, replacement, or expanded uses on MUA-20 zone when adjacent to EFU or CFU. Includes deed restriction for new and replacement dwellings and additions protecting surrounding forestry practices. | Not yet<br>begun.   | 2018 | Comp Plan |
|-----|--|---|---|------|-----------|
| B23 | Extending Wildlife Habitat Tax Deferral Program (PC-2015-4106); 2016           | Implements Comp Plan Policy 5.3 (Formerly Policy 3.2 of the 2015 Sauvie Island / Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan). Extends the county's wildlife habitat tax deferral program to additional zoning districts.   | A briefing was held with the Planning Commissio n. ODFW must take action before county code amendmen ts can be adopted. Project advanceme nt dependent on ODFW resource availability. | 2016 | Comp Plan |
| B24 | Creating distinct tiers for Type 1 permits; 2019                               | Explore to create a distinction between Type 1 reviews that require an application that is assigned and processed by a planner and those that are processed over the counter.  A possible example:  Type 1-a: Building Permits, Type A Home Occupations, Floating Home Permit, etc.  Type 1-b: GEC, FD, etc.  The idea is that Type 1-a would be subject to different/simpler process than Type 1-b.                    | Not yet<br>begun.   | 2019 | Staff     |
| B25 | Add standards<br>to CS and CU in<br>the National<br>Scenic Area<br>code (NSA); | Consider adding Conditional Use criteria to CS uses in Chapter 38 (NSA). Request to tie CU/CS to site review criteria.  | Not yet<br>begun.   | 2019 | Staff     |

|     | 2019   |  |  |      |           |
|-----|--|--|--|------|-----------|
| Oth | er Projects  |  |  |      |           |
| B26 | Code changes related to Measure 49 approved dwellings and properties; 2016 | Consider code amendments to reference unique allowances and restrictions related to 2007 property rights Ballot Measure 49 approved dwellings and properties. Topics to research include but are not limited to farm and forest zoning aggregation requirements, PLA restrictions and references for setbacks to different types of forest dwellings in CFU zones.                                 | Not yet begun. May combine with item B.18 above. May also include in EFU/CFU Omnibus | 2016 | Staff     |
| B27 | Short Term<br>Rental; <b>2017</b>  | Add short-term rental definition and potentially amend Home Occupation regulations to clarify how much of home can be dedicated to short-term rental and consider requiring owner occupancy.   | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2017 | Staff     |
| B28 | Surveys<br>Required; <b>2016</b><br>(PC-2019-<br>11569)                    | Assess when a survey should be required to confirm new development is accurately sited, particularly with respect to property setbacks. Objective is to address issue of structures not being constructed in the approved locations.   | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2016 | Staff     |
| B29 | Non-<br>Conforming<br>Uses; <b>2018</b>                                    | Update non-conforming use standards. Consider other models including City of Portland, and consider breaking standards into sub-categories such as use, structure, and setback.  | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2018 | Staff     |
| B30 | Signs; <b>2018</b>   | Re-evaluate sign code provisions for possible updates.   | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2018 | Staff     |
| B31 | Property Line<br>Adjustments<br>(PC-2014-3619);<br>2013                    | Consider making PLAs a Type I permit. Consider code amendments addressing whether lots with road frontage should maintain that frontage after a PLA. Also, assess standards related to septic drainage systems. Consider adding flexibility to EFU equal area requirements for PLAs to include adverse possession claims and to fix encroachment issues.  Comprehensive Plan Policies 1.1 and 2.40 | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2013 | Comp Plan |
| B32 | Raising Fowl<br>(PC-2013-3094);<br>2014                                    | Define thresholds for raising of fowl (such as chickens) for personal and commercial use.  Comprehensive Plan Policies 1.1 and 2.40  | Multiple<br>work<br>sessions<br>have been<br>held.                                   | 2014 | Comp Plan |
| B33 | Emergency<br>Projects; <b>2018</b>   | Create expedited permit review process for reconstruction in the event of widespread community emergency. Establish requirement  | Not yet<br>begun.  | 2018 | Staff     |

|     |                         | that bond be submitted for all emergency  |                          |      |           |
|-----|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|------|-----------|
|     |                         | repair/response projects.   |                          |      |           |
|     |                         |   |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | Review APA model disaster ordinance to see if   |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | there are some things we should do now  |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | (procedurally) to make sure we can function   |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | after a disaster if we can't get a PC quorum  |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | together, or to speed up permit review  |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | somehow. Proactively adopt alternative  |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | procedures or even rules for rebuilding in the  |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | event the governor declares an emergency that   |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | lasts longer than X days?   |                          |      |           |
| B34 | Oxbow Park              | Implements Comprehensive Plan Policy 8.11-1:  | Not yet                  | 2018 | Comp Plan |
|     | District; 2018          | Work with Metro to develop a park designation   | begun.                   |      |           |
|     |                         | for Oxbow Park.   |                          |      |           |
| B35 | Historic                | Implements Comprehensive Plan Strategy 6.1-   | Not yet                  | 2018 | Comp Plan |
|     | Preservation;           | 4: Historic Preservation  | begun.                   |      |           |
|     | 2017                    | -Add uses to HP overlay   |                          |      |           |
| B36 | Rural Center            | Implements Comprehensive Plan Policy 2.16:  | Initial                  | 2017 | Comp Plan |
|     | Commercial and          | Rural Center Commercial and Industrial Uses.  | scoping                  |      |           |
|     | Industrial Uses;        | Removes limitation that industrial uses must  | begun.                   |      |           |
|     | 2017                    | serve the rural community and surrounding   |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | area.   |                          |      |           |
| B37 | Private Property        | Assess whether land use code should be  | Not yet                  | 2016 | Staff     |
|     | Burials; <b>2016</b>    | amended to address requests for private   | begun.                   |      |           |
|     |                         | property burials. Preliminary goal is to have   |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | ability to authorize, where allowable, in a short                                       |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | timeframe.  |                          |      |           |
| B38 | Accessory               | 1) Clarify how one story is measured for  | Not yet                  | 2019 | Staff     |
|     | Structure               | Allowed Use review. Intent is to prevent easy   | begun.                   |      |           |
|     | Provisions; <b>2019</b> | unpermitted conversion of storage loft to living  |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | space. 2) Consider limiting size of tree houses to                                      |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | discourage unpermitted occupation as living   |                          |      |           |
| D20 | Tueletie Desie          | quarters.   | laaa                     | 2010 | Chaff     |
| B39 | Tualatin Basin          | Assess the exemption in the Geologic Hazard   | Issue                    | 2019 | Staff     |
|     | Water Quality;          | provisions which refer to Tualatin Basin water  | scoped as                |      |           |
|     | 2019                    | provisions (OAR 340-041-0345(4)) to see if  | part of PC-<br>2016-5384 |      |           |
|     |                         | exemption language can be relaxed further and whether doing so would require amendments | where it                 |      |           |
|     |                         | to Erosion and Sediment Control, Minimal  |                          |      |           |
|     |                         | Impact Project and Stormwater Control   | was<br>determine         |      |           |
|     |                         | provisions for compliance with DEQ rules. The   | d a discrete             |      |           |
|     |                         | driving issue is that minor projects in the   | project was              |      |           |
|     |                         | Tualatin Basin are occasionally being required  | warranted.               |      |           |
|     |                         | to go through GH review rather than ESC or MIP  | Further                  |      |           |
|     |                         | review.   | research                 |      |           |
|     |                         | 1 CVICVV.   | needed,                  |      |           |
|     |                         | Amendment should indicate that erosion and  | including                |      |           |
|     |                         | sediment control practices are still required for                                       | discussion               |      |           |
|     |                         | ground disturbing activities.   | with DEQ.                |      |           |
|     | 1                       | <u>, -</u>  | ,                        | ı    |           |

| B40 | Flood Hazard            | Rewrite Flood Hazard code to improve  | Not yet     | 2019 | Staff     |
|-----|-------------------------|---|-------------|------|-----------|
|     | Rewrite; <b>2019</b>    | readability.  | begun.      |      |           |
| B41 | Willamette              | Implements Comprehensive Plan Policy 5.15;  | Not yet     | 2016 | Comp Plan |
|     | River Greenway          | Update to WRG regulations.  | begun.      |      |           |
|     | Updates; <b>2016</b>    |   |             |      |           |
| B42 | Temporary Use           | Implements Comprehensive Plan Policy 10.15:   | Not yet     | 2018 | Comp Plan |
|     | of Live-aboard          | Consider standards to allow temporary use of  | begun.      |      |           |
|     | Boats; <b>2018</b>      | live-aboard boats.  |             |      |           |
| B43 | Third Party             | Should the Administrative Procedures be   | Not yet     | 2019 | Staff     |
|     | Experts;                | amended to specify that the Planning Director   | begun.      |      |           |
|     | 2019                    | has the authority to require a third party  |             |      |           |
|     |                         | expert(s), when the Planning Director believes  |             |      |           |
|     |                         | that an outside expert should provide   |             |      |           |
|     |                         | additional information and/or that a second   |             |      |           |
|     |                         | opinion is warranted in order to provide more   |             |      |           |
|     |                         | clarity/certainty in a land use matter?   |             |      |           |
|     |                         | Should applicant be required to pay third party   |             |      |           |
|     |                         | expert?   |             |      |           |
| B44 | Parking Code            | Update the use formulas in the County's   | Not yet     | 2019 | FPNA      |
|     |                         | parking code.   | begun.      |      |           |
|     |                         |   |             |      |           |
|     |                         | Consider, among other things, the parking   | Also see    |      |           |
|     |                         | requirements for religious uses – currently tied  | item B.53   |      |           |
|     |                         | to pew space.   | (Removing   |      |           |
|     |                         |   | Barriers to |      |           |
|     |                         | Update to reflect uses currently in code.   | and adding  |      |           |
|     |                         |   | support for |      |           |
|     |                         | Expressly allow option for parking study.   | Transporta  |      |           |
|     |                         |   | tion        |      |           |
|     |                         |   | Demand      |      |           |
|     |                         |   | Manageme    |      |           |
|     |                         |   | nt          |      |           |
|     |                         |   | Strategies  |      |           |
|     |                         |   | in the      |      |           |
|     |                         |   | Zoning      |      |           |
| D/I | CII and CC Cad-         | Conditional Use Approval suitoria that the con-   | code)       | 2010 | EDNIA     |
| B45 | CU and CS Code          | Conditional Use Approval criteria that the use be consistent with the character of the area - | Not yet     | 2019 | FPNA      |
|     | Define<br>'Character of | consistent with the character of the area -<br>consider adding the word 'rural' to that       | begun.      |      |           |
|     | the area'               | standard. Standard is at MCC 39.7015()(1): "Is  |             |      |           |
|     | the area                | consistent with the character of the area"  |             |      |           |
|     |                         | Consistent with the tharacter of the area   |             |      |           |
|     |                         |   |             |      |           |
| B46 | Define the term         | Consider project to define the term 'primarily'   | Not yet     | 2019 | FPNA      |
|     | 'primarily' in the      | in the standard at MCC 39.7015(A)(8): "The use  | begun.      |      |           |
|     | standard at             | is limited in type and scale to primarily serve   |             |      |           |
|     | MCC                     | the needs of the rural area."   |             |      |           |
|     | 39.7015(A)(8)           |   |             |      |           |
|     |                         |   |             |      |           |

| B47 | Tie Design Review standards to native plant list                                    | Similar to above, consider tying Design Review landscaping standards to same native plant list with same prohibition on nuisance plants.  | Not yet<br>begun. | 2019 | FPNA        |
|-----|---|---|-------------------|------|-------------|
| B48 | Retroactive permitting of structures that do not meet current zoning.               | Consider criteria for retroactive compliance for structures and development if they would have met certain zoning requirements in effect at the time but didn't receive land use or building permits.   | Not yet<br>begun. | 2020 | Staff       |
| B49 | Metro –<br>Regionally<br>Significant<br>Industrial Areas                            | Parts of Unincorporated Multnomah County are designated at Regionally Significant Industrial Areas (RSIA) by the State and Metro. There are criteria for uses and development that are not listed in the zoning code. Currently, planners instruct applicants and potential buyers to look into Metro's requirements found in Metro's Title 4.  | Not yet<br>begun  | 2020 | Staff       |
| B50 | Exemption for small temporary accessory structures                                  | Add exemptions for all land use review for small temporary accessory structures such as children's play houses, mailboxes, dog houses, etc. May not be able to exempt in FH zone per FEMA requirements. May need to define thresholds, such as assuming no BP needed, not occupiable space and no more than one story. LUP team discussed on 7/24/20 and agreed such an approach would be helpful. See Tualatin code for example.   | Not yet<br>begun  | 2020 | Staff       |
| B51 | Restriction on city or county refusal of building permit in residential subdivision | Add new provision to Land Division Code:  ORS 455.175 Restriction on city or county refusal of building permit in residential subdivision  (1)As used in this section:  (a) "Conditions of development" means requirements that, as part of a residential subdivision, a developer, declarant or owner must construct public improvements that are contained in:  (A)A development agreement under ORS 94.504 (Development agreements) to 94.528 (Recording);  (B)Conditions of approval under ORS 92.040 (Application for approval of subdivision or partition), 215.416 (Permit application) or 227.175 (Application for permit or zone change); or | Not yet<br>begun  | 2020 | Legislature |

- (C)Any other agreement with, or conditional approval by, a local government.
- (b) "Residential subdivision" means a residential development requiring a developer, declarant or owner to subdivide land, as defined in ORS 92.010 (Definitions for ORS 92.010 to 92.192), and to obtain a permit under ORS 215.416 (Permit application) or 227.175 (Application for permit or zone change).
- (c) "Substantial completion" means the city, county or other appropriate public body has inspected, tested and found acceptable under applicable code requirements, unless the parties agree to a lower standard:
- (A)The water supply system;
- (B)The fire hydrant system;
- (C)The sewage disposal system;
- (D)The storm water drainage system, excepting any landscaping requirements that are part of the system;
- (E)The curbs;
- (F)The demarcating of street signs acceptable for emergency responders; and
- (G)The roads necessary for access by emergency vehicles.
- (2)A city or county may not deny a building permit allowing the construction of residential dwellings under a residential subdivision on the basis that the conditions of development have not been met, if:
- (a)Substantial completion of conditions of development for the residential subdivision occurs; and
- (b) The developer, declarant or owner, to secure the completion of the remaining public improvements included as conditions of development for the residential subdivision:

|     |  | (A)Obtains and maintains a bond; or   |   |      |       |
|-----|--|---|---|------|-------|
|     |  | (B)Undertakes an alternative form of financial guarantee, if any, that is acceptable to, but may not be required by, the city or county.  (3)Subsection (2) of this section does not prevent a city or county from declining to issue certificates of occupancy for any residential dwellings if all conditions of development are not fully completed or the conditions for the release of the bond are not fulfilled. [2019 |   |      |       |
|     |  | c.397 §1]  Note: 455.175 (Restriction on city or county refusal of building permit in residential subdivision) was enacted into law by the Legislative Assembly but was not added to or made a part of ORS chapter 455 or any series therein by legislative action.   |   |      |       |
| B52 | Clarifying intent<br>of 'customarily<br>incidental or<br>subordinate' in<br>accessory<br>structure code. | Consider clarifying "customarily incidental or subordinate" language in accessory structure code.   | Not yet<br>begun  | 2020 | Staff |
| B53 | TDM in the Zoning Code <b>2019</b>   | Removing Barriers to and adding support for Transportation Demand Management Strategies in the Zoning code (i.e. parking fees).   | Not yet<br>begun.  Also see<br>item B.44<br>(Revise<br>Parking<br>Code) | 2019 | TSP   |